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FOREWORD

This handbook has been prepared by the faculty of the “Advanced Regional Analysis Course” (ARAC) to support a program of study that prepares Army officers for Civil Affairs and Psychological Operations assignments abroad. In the course, students survey five world “regions” – Africa, Asia, Europe, Latin America, and the Middle East – and study one of them in some depth. The faculty uses the seven discrete “Political Military Systems” discussed herein as common reference points for teaching the course's five regionally focused seminars. More important, they are introduced to the students as a reliable framework for analysis that, when applied judiciously, will lead to a sophisticated understanding of the dynamics within the foreign country or region of their assignment. Furthermore, the framework provides the start point for specific analyses of associated operational issues, questions, and problems. The theories, concepts, and insights developed in-conjunction with these seven systems are drawn from broadly accepted scholarship; but they are selected, organized, and presented to support the course’s practical goal of preparing officers for challenging Civil Affairs and Psychological Operations assignments abroad.

We welcome your comments, ideas, and critiques. Address them to: Advanced Regional Analysis Course, Attn: Seminar Director, P.O. Box 74145, Ft. Bragg, NC 28307.
INTRODUCTION

As a Civil Affairs or Psychological Operations officer conducting or planning for operations in foreign environments you will need a solid grasp of the military, political, and sociological dynamics at work within the “Area of Operation.” Experience shows that developing a sophisticated understanding of the operational environment in another country or region is a very complex endeavor. Success requires a deliberate analytical approach using a well-vetted framework and solid methodology.

By analytical approach, or analysis, we mean the study of the whole by dividing it into discrete parts, examining the nature of each part, and determining how they function in relation to each other and the whole. The objective is not merely a multi-faceted description of a certain phenomenon, physical or social, but an explanation of how those facets relate to each other and create the whole. For example, we cannot explain how a Swiss watch works simply by disassembling it and describing the various parts. We must also determine how those parts fit and operate in conjunction with each other.

The “Political Military” Systems

The seven Political Military systems discussed in this handbook provide a carefully constructed framework for use in analyzing an operational environment. Each system addresses an important aspect of that environment, nominally assumed to be that of a foreign country. When used collectively, and in conjunction with the research methodology reviewed in appendix A and B, these systems will develop a comprehensive base of knowledge about the country or region of interest. When assessed in conjunction with each other, they form the start point for multi-discipline analyses of the issues and questions that are associated with Civil Affairs or Psychological Operations activity abroad. You will exercise with these systems in the Advanced Regional Analysis Course with the intent that you apply them in your assignments after graduation.

The seven systems presented here have been selected to ensure that all the “parts” or dimensions of complex foreign environments are captured and brought under examination. While this specific list, or framework, is somewhat arbitrary, we consider it a comprehensive one that incorporates insights from a range of academic disciplines to ensure the development of a fully dimensioned understanding of the operational environment. Recently we have reorganized this handbook from sixteen factors to seven systems to allow a simpler understanding by the user, as well as integrating elements of the PMESII system developed by Joint Forces Command.

How to Use the Handbook

Each chapter is devoted to an individual “system” or dimension of a country or region. The chapters begin by defining important terms and introducing
academic approaches that have been developed to help interpret data and explain events within the domain of that factor. The aim is to provide important fixed markers that are commonly used when examining the area under study through that chapter's particular domain or lens and, at the same time, alert you to the often broad scope of thought and approach within it. For example, while the “physical environment” is succinctly defined early on in Chapter 1, the review of literature that follows illuminates the multitude of approaches and perceptions that lurk just under the surface of the definition. The chapters then turn to a practical distillation of approaches, concepts, cautions, and questions. You should use these as guides to help you with the task of sorting through the voluminous available data and opinion relevant to the factor, or aspect, of the area under study. This task, the selection and evaluation of data is the crucial start point for all analyses.

Understanding how each of these systems or dimensions are reflected or manifested in the area you are studying is, in most cases, just the first step in your analysis. Just as the mainspring and gears of a watch do not operate in isolation from each other, neither do the various discrete systems taken up by the chapters in this handbook. For instance, Chapter 2 alerts you to the fact that ethnicity can have its “roots” in other systems, and Chapter 5 warns that, “the study of economics cannot be divorced from the political context.” Determining how such interrelationships function in the country under study puts a premium on reflection and breadth of thought, and the final section of each chapter is written to help you begin this process.

This leads to a third aspect of the analytical process supported by this handbook. Generally, your analyses will be undertaken in response to an identified issue, problem, or question. The analytical task may be broad or narrow – for instance, “how does it work?” versus “does it need a new mainspring?” in the case of Swiss watches – but it will call for explanation or prediction, as opposed to mere description. The issues and questions arising in your Civil Affairs and Psychological Operations assignments will be diverse, for example: “What type of civil affairs projects can make a difference in Ethiopia?” “How might current socio-political dynamics in Venezuela affect U.S. political-military operations, such as disaster relief, there?” “What propaganda themes will advance peacemaking/peacekeeping efforts in the Balkans?”

To answer such questions with confidence you must determine how each of the Political Military systems might affect the particular issue, or question being addressed. That effect is issue dependent, and its impact on your analysis can, and often does, vary from country to country and issue to issue. This then is really the heart your analysis – assessing how each of these

---

1 Apart from their role in developing a comprehensive assessment to the area, some of these concepts can also be used in a more discrete fashion. For example, given a well developed democracy, the "median voter theorem" might be helpful in assessing the likelihood of country "x," perhaps Finland, adopting a particular policy, such as whether or not to join NATO.
factors acts on the issue in question and then drawing the appropriate conclusions. In so doing, do not discount any of the systems *a priori*. You need to understand and consider all of them and then, if you conclude that several are not relevant to your conclusion, explain why.

In summary, use these seven systems as a framework for beginning analyses that address operational and planning issues in foreign environments. Determine how each is manifested in that area and how each influences the other there. Then assess those insights against the specific issue, or question at hand. The result will be solidly grounded conclusions that you can use with confidence.
CHAPTER ONE

PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT SYSTEM

I. Natural Environment and the Physical Environment System

Introduction

The economic, political, social, cultural, and even military behavior and development of any society is influenced by that society's physical environment. The effects of the environment can be discerned – sometimes distinctly, sometimes subtly – in almost every aspect of a society, including the way it is organized and ruled; its trade patterns; its population growth, distribution, and migration; its eating habits and style of clothing; and its military formations. If an analyst wants to do sound political military analysis, their first step is to understand the physical environment of the region in which they operate. Just as no one would attempt to launch an attack without first studying maps of the battle area – preferably with a good leader's reconnaissance on the ground as well – neither can they hope to analyze a state or culture without first knowing the environment in which it exists.

Definitions

The following are terms that are associated with the physical environment.

- **Alluvial fan.** A broad, circular fan-shaped deposit of sediments found in deserts where erosional stream channels meet the valley floor and spread out, depositing the stream load.

- **Alluvium.** River deposits, such as silt, sand, and mud.

- **Aquifer.** An underground reservoir of water, which can be extracted for surface use.

- **Atmosphere.** The body of air and gas, which surrounds the earth.

- **Avalanche.** A generic term for any slide of snow, ice and debris.

- **Biodiversity.** The number of species present in a given ecosystem.

- **Birth rate (Crude birth rate).** The number of live births per 1000 individuals annually within a population.

- **Carrying Capacity.** The maximum number of inhabitants that an environment can support without detrimental effects.
• **Climate.** The long-term trends in weather conditions for an area; the condition of the atmosphere over time.

• **Country.** An independent political entity, also known as a State.

• **Culture.** The belief systems, attitudes, languages, social relationships, institutions, and material goods transmitted within a society.

• **Cultural geography.** The branch of geography dealing with human culture and its impact on the earth.

• **Cultural landscape.** The evidence of human impact on a physical environment.

• **Demography.** The study of population statistics and trends, such as births, deaths, and disease.

• **Ecology.** The study of the interrelationships between life forms and their environment.

• **El Nino Southern Oscillation (ENSO).** A periodic warming of the ocean waters in the eastern Pacific Ocean that affects global weather patterns.

• **Endemic.** When a disease is always present and has established an equilibrium among a population.

• **Epidemic.** A condition where disease is prevalent, but localized to one segment of a population or one area.

• **Equator.** Zero degrees latitude; divides the earth into the Northern and Southern hemispheres.

• **Erosion.** Forces that shape the earth’s surface that include water, wind, and ice.

• **Estuary.** The wide end of a river when it meets the sea; salty tidal water mixes with the fresh water of the river here.

• **Fault.** A fracture in rock where there has been movement and displacement.

• **Fertility rate.** The number of live births per 1000 women of the childbearing age group (15-44); also known as general fertility rate.

• **Floodplain.** A flat, low-lying area, near a river or stream, which is subject to flooding.
• **Flora.** Plant life.

• **Geography.** The study of the earth's physical and human features.

• **Geology.** Science of the earth's crust, strata, origin of rocks, etc.

• **Global warming.** The theory that the temperature of the atmosphere is increasing due to the increase in gasses such as carbon dioxide.

• **Hurricane.** A tropical storm that contains winds of at least 74 miles per hour (119 km/h). Also known as a cyclone in the northern Indian Ocean and a typhoon in the western Pacific Ocean.

• **International date line.** An imaginary line near 180° longitude that exists to separate the two simultaneous days that exist on the planet at the same time.

• **La Nina.** A periodic cooling of the ocean waters in the Pacific Ocean which affects global weather patterns.

• **Latitude.** Angular degrees based on the equator; the equator is 0 degrees latitude and the North Pole is 90 degrees north while the South Pole is 90 degrees south.

• **Longitude.** Angular degrees based on the Prime Meridian (0 degrees) at Greenwich, London; degrees are east or west of Greenwich and meet in the Pacific Ocean at 180 degrees.

• **Meteorology.** The scientific study of the atmosphere.

• **Monsoon.** A wind system in Southeast Asia, which changes direction seasonally, creating wet and dry seasons.

• **Nation.** A culturally homogeneous group of people, which share a common language, institutions, religion, and historical experience.

• **Pandemic.** The condition where a disease is spread over a large area throughout a population.

• **Physical geography.** The branch of geography dealing with the natural features of the earth.

• **Plate tectonics.** The surface of the earth is composed of many large plates, which slowly move around the planet, meeting
and diverging, creating a variety of earthquakes, volcanoes, and mountains at their margins.

- **Population density.** The number of people per a unit of area.
- **Precipitation.** Any form of water that falls from the atmosphere to the surface of the earth (e.g. rain, snow, sleet, and hail).
- **Primary city.** A city which is greater than two times the next largest city in a nation, or contains over one third of a nation’s population. Usually very expressive of the national culture and often the capital city.
- **Push-pull factors.** The push factor involves a factor that acts to drive people away from a place and the pull factor is what draws them to a new location.
- **Region.** An area, which has marked common characteristics.
- **Relief.** The differences between the highest and lowest elevations in an area.
- **Ring of Fire.** An arc stretching from New Zealand, along the eastern edge of Asia, north across the Aleutian Islands of Alaska, and south along the coasts of North and South America. Includes two-thirds of the world’s active volcanoes, and three-quarters of active and dormant volcanoes.
- **Scale.** The relationship between distance on a map and on the earth’s surface.
- **State.** An independent political entity, also known as a country. A “state” (with a lower case “s”) is a division of a State.
- **Urban.** The built-up, non-rural area in a region.
- **Urbanization.** An increase of people moving to urban areas.
- **Watershed.** The area, which a river and its tributaries drain.
- **Weather.** The short-term atmospheric conditions; the condition of the atmosphere at any given time.

**II. Introduction to the Natural World**

When discussing the natural or physical environment, the analyst must be concerned with the three-dimensional terrestrial space centered on the earth's surface which all material things are contained.
a. **Spheres.** When examining the physical environment, the analyst can divide the world into four spheres. Naturally, these four spheres do not exert influence independently. In fact, they do not exert influence at all – environmental influence is passive. Mountain ranges, deserts, grasslands, or waterways may facilitate or impede migration of species. Weather patterns are affected by bodies of water or landforms. The effects of rain can be beneficial or disastrous, depending on the location of rivers, wadis, valleys, and the type of soil on which the rain falls. To understand a region's physical environment, don't look at just one sphere or one factor within a sphere (e.g., climate or resource distribution), look at it in total.

(1) **Atmosphere.** Atmosphere is the layer of gas around the earth. The condition of the atmosphere at any given time we call **weather**. The condition of the atmosphere over time we call **climate**. Climate, more than anything else in the environment, sets limits to what man can physically and economically do. For example, the debilitating heat and humidity of the tropics and the bitter cold of Siberia and northern Canada have hindered development in these regions. The cultural habits of many societies are linked directly to climate conditions. For example, the midday siesta – which is by no means limited to Central America – is a result of people's understandable desire to avoid work in the hottest part of the day. Other aspects of the atmosphere are of interest to us as well. For example, the thin air in the high altitudes of the Andes or Himalayas limits the amount of physical exertion that can be done in these regions. The quality of the atmosphere has also become an important concern lately as noted from issues arising from air pollution or depletion of the ozone layer by chlorofluorocarbons.

(2) **Lithosphere.** Lithosphere is the soil and rock crust of the earth and all that is beneath it. Landforms may facilitate or inhibit transportation and interaction among societies. The fertility of the soil or its ability to retain moisture can have a significant influence on societal development. Transplanted soils, such as the alluvium of the Nile River in Egypt or the loss of the Yellow River Valley in North China, can be critical to the support of civilizations. Minerals, gems, oil, and liquefied natural gas have at one time or another had a great influence on societies around the world.

(3) **Hydrosphere.** Hydrosphere is water in liquid form, including rain. Water is key to human civilization and to plant and animal life. If water is scarce, people may have to move around to find it, leading to a nomadic lifestyle. If it is plentiful, a more sedentary lifestyle may be possible. Water can provide a means of irrigation, a source of power, or routes of transportation. For example, fully 70 percent of the land under cultivation in Pakistan is irrigated; much of the electricity in the United States is provided by hydroelectric plants; the extensive interdigitation of water with the land in the United States and Europe
facilitated contact among societies, while the lack of extensive interdigitation in Africa has hindered interaction and exploration there. Average rainfall can be a significant influence as can the time of rainfall or even the quality of the rain. Finally, even if water is plentiful and the rain clean, water must be safe to drink. As with the atmosphere, pollution in the hydrosphere is a serious issue. There is an on-going debate in certain parts of the world today over who owns the rain and who is responsible if the rain is contaminated.

(4) **Biosphere.** A biosphere consists of all living things on the earth. This includes everything from seaweed to sunflowers to sequoias and from microbes to muskrats to men. Three important aspects of the biosphere are human population, food, and disease. They are, of course, interrelated.

b. **Balance of Spheres.** For people to exist, there must at least be a subsistence level of food and water. As with the hydrosphere, if food is scarce, a society may have to search for it and so become nomadic. If food is plentiful, societies may be sedentary, which may in turn allow for greater specialization and development within the society, since fewer people have to be involved in the quest for food. However, food must not only be plentiful, the diet it provides must be balanced. There are several examples of people having plenty to eat who still suffer from malnutrition, such as the 19th century Irish whose diet consisted largely of potatoes. Finally, what is considered food in some societies may not be acceptable in others.

c. **Disease.** Disease may override all other factors in the biosphere. Disease may be transmitted by microbes, insects, animals, or humans. It can inhibit development or even deplete a population. Many diseases are not killers, but can incapacitate or cripple their victims. Some diseases may not infect humans, but can decimate animals or plants, depleting the food supply. Finally, curing a disease, or developing immunity to it, may increase the population beyond the carrying capacity of the environment to adequately support it.

When disease is prevalent but confined to a small segment of a population, or one area, it is called **epidemic.** When it is spread over a large area throughout a population, it is called **pandemic.** When a disease is always present and has established an equilibrium among a population, it is considered **endemic.**

d. All four spheres can be and often are present in a single location. For example, a piece of soil will of course have mineral material from the lithosphere. Additionally there will be elements from the hydrosphere present as moisture within the soil, the biosphere as insects and plants, and even the atmosphere as pockets of air between soil pieces.
 III. Geography

It should be clear by now that analysts study the physical environment to discern its interrelationship with human beings. Geologists, hydrologists, biologists, zoologists, meteorologists, and the like, study the environment as an end in itself. Political military analysts, on the other hand, are interested in the field of study known as geography, which is the study of the relationships of various human phenomena to terrestrial space. There is also a field known as physical geography, that deals with non-human phenomena, which was discussed in part through the four environmental spheres.

Geography deals with the patterns of human distribution and use of the earth. It also asks why humans use the earth differently in different places and times, and how this is related to human economies, cultures, political systems, etc. Geography is concerned whenever the concept of place or the question of where is involved.

a. Location Versus Situation. When studying geography, the first thing to do is to distinguish between the concept of site or location and situation.

(1) Site/location is the actual ground on which a geographic phenomenon rests. This is important only insofar as it helps us understand the relationship between one area and the rest of the world.

(2) The effect of those relations is that geographic phenomenon's situation. It is not only important to know where things are, but also to know why they are there and how their location allows them to influence or be influenced by things elsewhere. This should not be difficult to understand. If the mission is to “take that hill,” it is not because that hill is valuable in and of itself, but because controlling it is an advantage over the enemy. On a larger scale, knowing the number of miles of navigable waterways in a particular region is less important than knowing the patterns of distribution and interaction those waterways support. Situation, then, is relative. It is also changeable.

b. Geographic Situation. The growing concern among many nations over ownership of continental shelves is one example of how a geographical situation can change. Ordinarily, under international law, a continental shelf is considered to be the territory of the country from which it extends. The shelf normally does not extend for more than a few miles, but in some places it goes out considerably farther. Until relatively recently, even these longer extensions did not cause much trouble among countries. Now since technology has improved enough to allow the exploitation of the resources in the shelf, especially oil, countries are beginning to lay claim to territory they previously ignored. A similar occurrence is taking place with regard to small, often deserted atolls, such as the Spratley Islands in...
the South China Sea, which are claimed by no less than seven countries – all of whom hope to extract the oil believed to be in the vicinity.

c. **Regions.** One of the concepts used for analysis in geography is that of the “region.” An area may be distinctive as a region because it coincides with the distribution of a particular type of climate, landform, or soil, or because it is characterized by a particular type of economic activity, land use, political system, culture, religion, etc. The first problem in regional analysis is to determine what gives the region its essential distinctive quality. Only then can the analyst draw satisfactory regional lines.

The concept of “region” is a useful intellectual device for analysis, but it must be remembered that regional distinctions are products of our own mind, not complete descriptions of reality. In this sense, there are no “natural regions,” only man-made or man-perceived classifications of regional differences. As such, regions can be single factor or multifactor. Regional differences are usually related in part to differences in the physical environment. Differences are due as much to human society as to the physical environment.

d. **Regional Core.** Regions usually spread from a “regional core,” also known as a “culture hearth.” This is the hub or axis where a distinctive regional feature is most prominent. It may be a physical feature (e.g., a fertile plain, river, valley, or seacoast) or a cultural feature (e.g., center of political or military control, or dominant trading center). Usually, this core is the first area to develop the dominant regional characteristic, which then spreads over the surrounding area as far as physical or cultural conditions will allow. The impact of a cultural characteristic may extend well beyond areas to which it is suited.

e. **Transitional Zone.** On the periphery of a region is the “transition zone” where the qualities that delineate one region significantly diminish and are replaced by another set of qualities belonging to another region.

**IV. Mental Maps**

When analysts study a region or the world, they need to be conscious of the tendency to see the world through their own “mental map.” This mental map is the way one perceives the world and will rarely accurately reflect the world as it is.

a. **Culture.** Part of the phenomenon of mental maps are due to culture and to the use of ethnocentric maps in the education system. There are numerous examples of this type of distortion, such as placing the United States (or any other country) in the middle of a map, splitting Russia in half, or placing Alaska and Hawaii in the corner of a map off the coast of California, which belies the distances involved.
b. **Classification.** Another source of distortion in an analyst’s mental map comes from the use of classifications as analytical tools. For example, the Philippines and India are both considered part of the “region” of Asia when in actuality, they have very little in common. Similarly, the trend to think of the Muslim world as being in the Middle East (another distortion of a mental map); when in reality, the most populous Muslim country, Indonesia, is in Asia.

c. **Time.** A third distortion may come from a limited amount of time on the ground in the region by the analyst. This can be a case of a little knowledge being more dangerous than none at all. For example, a foreign traveler in China is generally limited to going from one city to another. Therefore, they may come away with an impression of China as an urbanized country, even though 80 percent of the population lives in the countryside. By the same token, an American soldier along the DMZ with the 2d Infantry Division in the Republic of Korea (ROK) may believe that the ROK is an underdeveloped country, unless he takes the time to visit Seoul and other parts of the country. In addition, the longer it has been since a person or analyst has been in a country, the less accurate their information about that country will be. This is both due to the fact that memories fade or become distorted; and because countries evolve and change.

d. **Situation.** Another way an analyst’s mental map is formed depends on the areas and problems they are studying. It is natural for someone to think that the area on which they concentrate is the most important in the world and that the decision-makers are not paying near enough attention to it. Additionally, as situations and crises arise, certain countries assume greater importance. Some distortions (bias) are natural and unavoidable, but being cognizant of this tendency can go a long way to lessen its effect.

V. **Human Society and Environment**

There are six basic principles that underlie the connection between human society and the environment.

a. **Principle 1.** Humans must form a workable connection with their environment. This does not have to be an optimal relationship, but it must at least allow the society to survive.

b. **Principle 2.** A human society and its environment form a system of interrelated elements. Change in one element can have significant ramifications throughout the system. Environmental change can occur two ways.

   (1) First, change may **occur naturally** through such events as volcanic eruptions, floods, winds, or species proliferation and die offs. Any
naturally occurring situation that molds, evolves, and changes the earth.

(2) Second, change may be induced by human influence. Humans have fundamentally remade the landscape in every area of the world. Humans dig canals, change the course of rivers, build harbors, tunnel through mountains, cut down forests or plant new ones, deplete the soil or make it fertile, make deserts bloom, and turn verdant lands into deserts. The changes humans create in their environment, influence their settlement and activities. Human induced change is not usually as sudden as changes made by natural disaster, but are no less dramatic – or catastrophic.

c. **Principle 3.** A given environment can support more than one type of society.

(1) Environmental conditions may provide opportunities and possibilities for multiple societies, but only human activity can make them reality.

(2) The environment influences society, but does not determine it. Different groups may find or observe different things in similar environments and produce different results. Of the many things that are possible, or which environmental conditions will allow, humans will choose certain courses due to a variety of factors that help shape their civilization, such as political pressure, tradition, or cultural values. Environmental conditions may make one or more choices more attractive or more successful than others, but choices may differ widely among societies and at different times. This, of course, does not mean that the environment can be ignored in analysis, but it should not be considered the only influential factor.

d. **Principle 4.** No environment is favorable or unfavorable to all types of societies. A change in any element of culture may alter the significance of the natural surroundings. This is particularly true with regard to the impact of technology. Consider how the advent of air conditioning has influenced population distribution in places as disparate as Houston and Hong Kong. Even natural resources are resources only if they can be used to meet human purposes. The influence of the environment may become less important as technology increases, or things once taken for granted may become issues (e.g., clean air and water).

e. **Principle 5.** Just because a society is blessed with an abundance of natural resources does not mean that it will prosper. History abounds with examples of societies that languished in the midst of plenty because of cultural constraints, inability to use the wealth at hand, or incompetent leadership. Similarly, a society in an austere environment short of resources may still prosper, such as Japan or the newly industrialized Asian countries.
f. **Principle 6.** The environment can affect human affairs in two ways: how humans see the environment and how it actually is. The first is actual or operational influence. Mountains and rivers may be impassable, soil sterile, or water scarce. The second way is perceived influence. Humans may simply believe that they cannot cross mountains, grow crops, or find ample water. These beliefs will cause them to act in certain ways, whether the beliefs are true or not. **Military** history alone is rife with examples of armies defeated by enemies who attacked over routes believed to be impassable.

**VI. Environmental Challenges**

Environmental conditions vary from country to country, and some regions of the world are more susceptible than others to natural disasters. Likewise, some regions may be more or less remote, or accessible to relief operations. This segment provides an in-depth look at the environmental conditions, forces and actors that have distinct geographic significance for political military affairs around the world.

a. **Man-Made Disasters.** Man-made disasters cost the most in terms of human suffering, loss of life and long-term damage to a country’s economy and productive capacity. Recent decades have seen a marked increase in what are known as “complex emergencies.” These events are considered complex because war and internal conflict lead to the breakdown and collapse of social, political and economic structures. Sometimes these emergencies are accompanied by natural disasters, which compound their complexity. Inevitably agriculture and food production are major casualties.

(1) **Pollution.** In a recent study by 1,360 researchers in 95 nations, the biggest review of the planet’s life support systems ever, found that in the last fifty years a rising human population had polluted or overexploited two-thirds of the ecological systems on which life depends, including clean air and fresh water. These damages to the planet could spur disease, deforestation or “dead zones” in the seas.

Pollution can damage the biosphere, the hydrosphere and the lithosphere and can come from numerous sources to include:

- Industrial wastes
- Industrialization in developing countries without legislative controls on emissions and destruction of industrial wastes
- Household garbage
- Human wastes
- Over-fertilization
• Human and natural disasters (oil spills, waste runoff, etc.)

In the Andean region for example, government herbicide spraying efforts to curb coca production, as well as the result of pre-cursor chemicals used in cocaine processing labs being released into the environment have caused pollution of rivers and streams.

(2) **Deforestation.** The clearing of forests across the Earth has been occurring on a large scale for many centuries. At the current rate of deforestation, the world’s rain forests could vanish within 100 years, causing unknown effects on global climate, and plant and animal species.

Deforestation is brought about by:

• Conversion of forests and woodlands to agricultural land to feed the growing population.

• Commercial logging, which supplies the world with wood.

• Felling trees for firewood and building material, as well as heavy grazing by domestic animals like goats.

• Using “slash and burn” technique leads to erosion. In most tropical regions, the soil is very poor in nutrients and after 2-3 years farmers must move on. They move on to “slash and burn” another section of forest while abandoning the previously cleared area.

• Development of cash crops and cattle ranching, both of which earn money for tropical countries. This may be followed by over grazing.

As an extreme example, Haiti now has only about 1.5% of its original forest cover remaining due to logging, burning, and conversion to farmland.

Deforestation presents multiple societal and environmental problems. The long-term consequences of global deforestation is the potential to jeopardize life on earth as we know it. More immediate consequences include loss of biodiversity; the destruction of forest-based societies; and climate disruption.

b. **Natural Disasters.** Natural disasters come in many forms but have certain common characteristics. First, for the most part, they are not strictly predictable, and in most cases, there is little or nothing that can be done to prevent them from occurring. Secondly, they can have a profound effect on the lithosphere and the biosphere of the affected area. They often cause extensive loss of life and property, in both developing and developed
countries. The economic impact, on both individuals and states, may be catastrophic. In some developing countries, with less capacity to repair destroyed infrastructure or damage to agricultural enterprises, the economic effects may be felt for years.

“The costs of natural disasters – lives lost, homes destroyed, economies disrupted – have skyrocketed in this century, as population densities have increased in areas that are vulnerable to earthquakes, hurricanes, landslides, and other natural hazards” – US Geological Survey (USGS).

Among the many types of natural disasters that may affect a state or region are:

(1) **Earthquakes.** Earthquakes are caused by stresses below the earth’s outer surface. These stresses initially build up until the rocks fracture along a “fault plane”. This causes vibrations, also known as seismic waves which then travel in all directions from the area of fracture. Approximately 80% of all seismic energy is generated from a belt found at the border of the Pacific Ocean known as the Ring of Fire. Earthquakes destroy buildings and infrastructure and often cause heavy loss of life. Earthquakes that take place under the ocean can cause tsunamis or tidal waves.

(2) **Volcanic Eruptions.** According to the Smithsonian Institution, there are 1511 known “active” volcanoes in the world with many more currently dormant. Volcanic eruptions result in deposits of ash and mud flows which can affect agriculture over a wide area, destroying crops and grazing land and clogging irrigation channels. The danger to life posed by active volcanoes is not limited to the eruption of molten rock or showers of ash and cinders. Mudflows triggered by an eruption, melting ice and snow, are equally troublesome. Most active volcanoes are found in a belt, called the Ring of Fire, that circles the Pacific Ocean and includes two-thirds of the world’s active volcanoes.

(3) **Hurricanes, Tornadoes, Tropical Storms.** A tropical storm can only be classified as a hurricane when it sustains winds in excess of 73 mph. A hurricane normally moves slowly at speeds of 20-25 mph, bringing torrential rain, thunderstorms, very strong winds (140+ MPH), and on low lying coastlines, deadly “storm surge.” Hurricanes are also known as typhoons in South-East Asia and as cyclones in the Indian Ocean. They may cause floods and destroy standing crops, kill or injure livestock, damage homes, and food stores. Islands are particularly vulnerable. A single storm may be sufficient to destroy an island’s infrastructure and cripple its economy. In the case of tornadoes, also known as twisters or cyclones, the intense wind speed (up to 300 mph) is the primary cause of destruction and fatalities.
(4) **Mudslides.** Mudslides (debris flows) are often caused by other natural disasters such as volcanic eruptions, earthquakes, hurricanes and other tropical storms and flooding. Mudslides contain more water than landslides. They can contain solid material too, but generally have fewer large rocks and trees than landslides. Mudslides can move as fast as 22 mph because of their high water content. Heavy rains and rapid snowmelt can trigger mud flows on unstable slopes. Volcanoes can trigger slides through rapid snow melt.

(5) **Drought.** Drought impacts the social, environmental, and economic standard of living. Drought destroys food crops, kills humans and animals and has long-term effects on the environment. Drought affects population groups in different ways; understanding and monitoring these differences is important to minimizing their results. Drought is also associated with insect infestations, plant disease, and wind erosion. Shallow wells, water-holes and small reservoirs dry up or become contaminated, which affects human and animal health. Loss of vegetation makes land vulnerable to erosion by wind and rain. Erosion in turn results in loss of soil fertility, which reduces crop yields. Increased runoff from eroded soil can bring flooding, silting up of dams and irrigation works and further erosion. In forested areas, drought increases the incidence of forest fires. It may cause human migration away from the affected areas.

(6) **Tsunamis.** A tsunami (the Japanese word for tidal wave) is a large sea wave caused by a submarine earthquake or volcanic explosion. When the ocean floor is tilted or offset during an earthquake, a set of waves similar to the concentric waves generated by dropping an object into water is created. A tsunami can have wave lengths of 60 to 120 miles and may reach speeds of 500 mph. When the wave enters shallow water, it grows rapidly and may reach heights of 50 feet or more (although they have reached heights of 135 feet). Most tsunamis originate along the Ring of Fire surrounding the Pacific Ocean.

(7) **Floods.** Floods are common occurrences in many countries. Annual floods can actually be beneficial to agriculture, but unexpected floods resulting from abnormally heavy rainfall, sometimes hundreds of miles away, can be devastating. Humans, dwellings, livestock, infrastructure and communications can be swept away or ruined in a matter of hours.

(8) **Pestilence and Diseases.** Pests and diseases can spread rapidly, disregarding national boundaries. Periodic outbreaks can have disastrous consequences for humans, crops, forests and livestock. The most dangerous plant pests are locusts, other types of grasshopper, armyworm and birds. The desert locust poses a recurrent threat to agriculture throughout Africa north of the equator, the Near East and Southwest Asia. Epidemics of contagious livestock diseases, such as...
Rinderpest and Foot-and-Mouth disease, bring sickness and death to animals and disrupt trade between countries.

c. **Deadliest Natural Disasters.** The following are the deadliest natural disasters in the last 100 years:

- **2004:** An earthquake in the Indian Ocean caused tsunamis that spread across South East Asia and East Africa that killed over 120,000 people in Indonesia, India, Sri Lanka, Thailand and even Somalia.

- **2003:** A 6.3 earthquake devastated the Iranian city of Bam, killing more than 50,000 people.

- **1999:** Rain caused mudslides in Venezuela that resulted in a death toll as high as 30,000 killed.

- **1998:** Hurricane Mitch devastated much of Honduras and Nicaragua. More than 10,000 were killed and 2 million left homeless.

- **1991:** Bangladesh lost more than 130,000 people from cyclone-induced flooding.

- **1990:** Landslides caused by an earthquake in western Iran caused 40,000 to 50,000 deaths.

- **1988:** An earthquake measuring 6.9 devastated Armenia, killing over 100,000 people.

- **1985:** An eruption of the Nevado del Ruiz volcano in Colombia melted about 10% of the volcano’s ice cover, leading to a massive mud flow that inundated the city of Armero and killed almost 25,000 people.

- **1976:** An earthquake measuring 8.0 struck Tianjin, China killing 255,000 people.

- **1970:** A 7.8 earthquake at Mount Huascarán, Peru, triggered a rock and snow avalanche that buried the towns of Yungay and Ranrahirca, killing up to 20,000 people.

- **1970:** Bangladesh lost more than 300,000 people to cyclone-induced flooding.

- **1960:** A 9.5 earthquake off the coast of Chile caused tsunamis that killed over 2000 in Chile, Hawaii, Japan and the Philippines.
• 1959: Massive floods in China kill at least 2 million people.

• 1931: Massive flooding of the Yangtze River in China caused more than 3 million deaths both from flooding and starvation.

• 1919: Flu killed millions world wide, and tens of thousands in the U.S.

NOTE: 1347-50: Though more than 100 years ago, the Black Plague killed 25 to 50 million and changed or effected nearly every aspect of life throughout Europe stretching all the way to the Steps of China.

VII. Physical Environment Summary
The physical environment interacts with several other systems. The physical environment may or may not be a limiting factor depending on its interplay with these other systems. A favorable physical environment may contribute to a country’s development, but it is not a guaranty of success.

VIII. Interrelationships With Other Systems
The physical environment cannot be overlooked due to its impact on and relationship with many of the other seven systems.

a. Social. Cultural geography is the branch of geography that deals with human culture and its impact on the earth. It covers such topics as cities, religion, language, economic geography, political geography, food, entertainment, sports, and agriculture.

b. Political. In many cases the physical environment is one of the determining factors in the political partitioning of region or country. Boundaries between countries and internally between states, provinces or districts result from aspects of physical geography.

c. National Security. The physical environment can aid or hinder national security issues. In some cases the physical environment, when presenting obstacles to a potential aggressor, can serve to enhance the national security of a country. In others, a lack of natural barriers to a potential invader may result in the need for a larger and better equipped national security apparatus to provide protection and deterrence against invasion.

d. Economic. About 45% of the world's population makes their living through agriculture. The amount of arable land, the state of infrastructure in the countryside (to be able to get agricultural products to market), and the amount, location and accessibility of any other natural resources helps to determine the types of economic advantages or disadvantages a country might have.

e. Information. The prevalent media in use in a country can be affected by the physical geography. Mountain ranges can limit radio and television
signals and lower the effectiveness of certain types of media in reaching portions of country.

f. **Infrastructure and Technology.** Technology adaptation can be used to overcome obstacles presented by the physical environmental conditions. Technology may be used to exploit resources, or lack of technology may prevent a country from fully exploiting its resources.

**IX. Questions to Consider**
The following are questions to consider when analyzing the physical environment.

a. What are the consequences of economic development on the physical environment and vice versa?

b. What effects has the physical environment had on the country’s economy?

c. What effects has the physical environment had on the history of the country?

d. What effects has the physical environment had on culture and other social systems?

e. What advantages and disadvantages stem from factors relating to the physical environment?

f. What effects has the physical environment had on foreign influence?

g. How has the effect of the physical environment changed over time?

h. What effect does the physical environment play on the country’s military?

i. Can the current and planned future state of technology, science, and industry surmount all problems?

**X. Research Sources**

**Physical Environmental Reading**


Electronic Sources
b. http://www.ran.org/ran
e. http://www.earthtimes.org
g. http://geography.about.com
i. http://www.unep.org
k. http://www.terraserver.com
l. http://www.mapmart.com
m. http://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/library/deforestation
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CHAPTER TWO
SOCIAL SYSTEM

I. Culture and the Social System

Introduction

The analyst uses culture as an important factor to explain and predict behavior. This chapter distinguishes culture and other social variables such as religion, and ethnicity, from other systems; and introduces the analytical aspects of the social system.

Context is the essence of culture. Consequently, this chapter imparts a sense of what determines cultural context and elaborates on ways in which context can become an avenue for effective communication regardless of political difference of economic condition. Attention is given to the archetypal (i.e. universal) symbols and relationships that encode meaning throughout all cultures in all ages, with additional emphasis being placed on religion and ethnicity.

Through this window, a method of understanding culture is developed so the analyst can see “how culture works” and the means by which some cultures have survived thousands of years while others have succumbed. This dynamic perspective shows the importance of symbols, role behaviors and ritual beliefs as avenues of communication and interaction. Specific cultural variables and activities are discussed in terms of the five senses, which are the portals of cultural communication. This leads to recognition of cultural activities and artifacts as avenues of communication including art, music, food and clothing, all of which reveal clues about cultural norms involving time and space. Main questions involve cultural approaches to social activity and institutional manifestations of cultural values. What role does formal religion play? How do we experience a culture? When and where does family fit in cultural behavior? What determines attitudes toward time and space, life and death, good and evil?

Definitions

a. Culture. For our purposes, culture is learned and shared attitudes, values, and ways of behaving in a society. Culture includes customs, folkways, manners, mannerisms, etiquette, behaviors, body language, gestures, celebrations, milestones, dress, outlooks, perceptions, and thought patterns. It is embodied in history, art, myths, legends and heroes. It addresses appropriate responses to situations. It determines the circumstances and quality of apology, retribution, reward, punishment, equity, commiseration, disdain, shame, guilt, congratulations and pride. It selects and applies social sanction and reward. It expresses itself in superstitions, outlooks, perspectives, conventional knowledge and points
of view. It encompasses the sense of time, individuality, possessions, sharing, self-worth and group-worth. It establishes the social hierarchy, defining roles by sex, age, position, religion, wealth, family and profession. In essence, culture defines what is and is not okay, accepted, and normal.

Culture also includes what you may call “big 'C' Culture.” This culture includes the fine arts, theater, famous artists, films, music, dance, and literature.

1. **Subcultures** share the basic norms of the larger culture, but have many norms that are unique to the subculture. Ethnic minorities, regional groups, and even generations are examples of subcultures.

2. **Countercultures** are cultures within a larger culture that may have once been a part of the mass but have rejected and resist its norms for some reason. The anti-Viet Nam War movement in this country and the gypsy culture in many European countries are examples.

b. **Ethnicity.** Most individuals would define ethnicity based on race, skin color, language, or nationality. This outward manifestation, however, is only a first step in defining ethnic differences. This perception is based on primordial ties – ties that initially define who a person is, what he is like, and how others are different. Thus, we can define ethnicity as “a self-perceived group of people who hold in common a set of traditions not shared by others.” These traditions may be based on language, religion, history, or other ties considered the 'building blocks' of ethnicity.

c. **Groups and Sub-Groups.** Culturally speaking, a group shares a sense of common identity among members. This common identity works at different levels. A sub-group, may share some of the identity of the larger group, but differs or deviates in some key way – a club, profession, or dissident group. At its broadest level, groups might include a collectivity called an “ethnic group.”

d. **Religion.** Religion is the organized expression of a culture’s belief system.

e. **Social System.** A social system is a network of social relationships that is organized, integrated and shares a common value system. A social system is an interdependent network of social institutions, statuses and roles that support, enable and acculturate individuals, and that provide participatory opportunities to achieve personal expectations and life-goals within hereditary and non-hereditary groups, in either stable or unstable environments.

f. **Society.** Culture relates to society. Society refers to those regularized mutual patterns of behavior among a specific group of people who share a geographical area and culture. Attitudes, values, and patterns of behavior
characterize a society. These patterns of behavior demonstrate social organization; i.e., reciprocal rights, duties, and expectations between individuals in the group. Society and culture are interdependent concepts. One cannot exist apart from the other. However, they are not the same. Society refers to people and their social organization, while culture refers to their learned and shared way of life. Because of differences in environment and historical experience, members of different human societies exhibit widely different patterns of behavior. Since social behavior is based upon commonly held ideas, or notions of what is the correct response to a given stimulus, the most basic differences between peoples in different societies lie in the realm of ideas.

II. Social Sub-Systems

One way to examine a state’s social system is to break it down into components. One such method developed by the Joint Forces Command and based on their PMSEII systems, uses three sub-systems. In this the analyst can examine how an individual is shaped and enabled to pursue life’s goals and to meet their expectations.

a. **Support.** The support sub-system consists of basic necessities, family-tribe-ethnicity-class-cast, religion, culture and arts. These are factors that shape the individual’s development. Sub-components such as family, tribe and religion all contribute greatly to who the person will become and what future behaviors can be anticipated.

b. **Enabling.** The enabling sub-system consists of education, healthcare, social welfare and humanitarian agencies. These components provide individuals and groups with the skills and socialization to pursue life goals and achieve expectations.

c. **Affinity.** The affinity sub-system consists of unions, associations, criminals, insurgents, terrorists, displaced persons and refugees. The analyst examines the results of how well or not the individual or group’s goals and expectations have been achieved. These outcomes manifest themselves in the types of affinity groups that individuals align themselves with. The three outcomes are positive, negative and involuntary. When examining a state that is failing to one degree or another, these affinity groups will invariably be negative.

Stable vs. Unstable Societies

a. **Stable Societies.** A stable society is identified by low uncertainty, equitable distribution of wealth, services meet expectations. Those enabling functions provided through social welfare programs, the intervention of humanitarian agencies (especially true in distressed countries); and the presence and adequacy of basic necessities (an area where external agencies play key roles) is also a significant determinant in the stability of the social system.

b. **Unstable Societies.** An unstable
society is identified by high uncertainty, and societal stress evident. When
the basic necessities of life are not available or provided for within a social
system, there is a great tendency for instability and individuals to gravitate
toward criminal, insurgent and terrorist affinity groups. III. Elements of
Culture
Common Characteristics
a. Many characteristics contribute to the makeup of a culture. The following
are basic factors that shape or influence a culture.

(1) The nature of man – a constant – and his ability to think abstractly
and communicate is a primary building block.

(2) Geographic environment, which contributes to food, dwellings,
survival practices, etc. is the factor that largely differentiates cultures.

(3) Technology is the factor that is largely a response to environmental
adaptation, therefore another differentiating factor.

(4) Demographic makeup, homogeneity, diversity, numbers of men,
women, and children, elites and poor, impact greatly on culture.

b. All cultures are concerned with factors that contribute to the welfare of the
society. These have to do with man’s need to have order in his daily life
and include:

(1) Producing and distributing goods and services.

(2) Marriage, sex and procreation.

(3) Training for adulthood (education).

(4) Maintaining order and general public safety.

(5) Providing values, which are cornerstones of a culture.

(6) Adapting to a changing environment.

c. Despite differences all cultures have certain characteristics in common:

(1) Members share values.

(2) Members share the method of learned behavior.

(3) All aspects of the culture are integrated. All factors impact on all
other factors.

(4) Cultures share symbols, which include religion, mythology, heroes,
language, alphabet, music, and art.
d. Bear in mind that there are few remaining homogeneous cultures in the world, and that most cultures contain subcultures and countercultures.

(1) **Subcultures** share the basic norms of the larger culture, but have many norms that are unique to the subculture. Ethnic minorities, regional groups, and even generations are examples of subcultures.

(2) **Countercultures** are cultures within a larger culture that may have once been a part of the mass but have rejected and resist its norms for some reason. The anti-Viet Nam War movement in this country and the gypsy culture in many European countries are examples.

**Components of Culture**

Every culture has many interactive components. Experience has taught that the following are the most useful for identifying and analyzing cultural differences.

a. **Language.** Language is both a shaper and reflector of the culture. To the extent that thought and language are interdependent, language is key to understanding culture. No culture can be completely understood without understanding the language. Languages will be rich in words about what is culturally important, and poor in words that have little cultural significance. However, knowing the language in no way indicates mastery of the culture.

b. **Values, Beliefs, and Behaviors.** Cultures and related value issues tend to articulate around distinct geographic lines, particularly where religion is concerned. This fact invites an in-depth look at the primary cultural characteristics and actors in specific geographic areas of the world.

(1) Values reflect the norms and beliefs of a culture (the standards for behavior).

(2) Beliefs reflect what a culture sees as true.

c. **Religion.** Religion is the organized expression of a culture’s belief system.

d. **Social Organization.** Social Organization concerns family, class, caste, and influences patterns of acceptable behavior.

(1) **Groups.** Since we define culture as learned and shared behavior it is clearly a group phenomenon. Accordingly, an understanding of group structure and process illuminates culture. All societies are comprised of a number of groups that may be related to each other in various ways. Political military analysis requires that the analyst correctly identifies important groups in a society and correctly assesses patterns of behavior (norms) characteristic of each group.
(2) **Primary and Secondary Groups.** Behaviorally, a primary group refers to groups that are small, intimate, and informal. The family is an excellent example of a primary group. In Africa, a lineage segment (of closely related kinfolk) in a local area would be another good example. Secondary groups, on the other hand, are utilitarian, formal, and impersonal. School classes, student bodies, labor unions, and PTAs are examples of secondary groups. In America, a religious denomination would normally be a secondary group. In Senegal, an Islamic religious brotherhood might well be a primary group. A person's primary group associations are usually more important to him, and will more likely affect his behavior than his secondary group associations.

e. **Technology.** Technology is a culture’s response to adaptation to environment and a reflection of its ability to continue to adapt.

f. **Politico-Economic.** Politico-economic organization reflects how cultures control production of goods and services.

g. **Law.** Law is the codified system of values and a culture’s means of maintaining order. This also relates to folkways, and mores; and profoundly influence how one society will interact with another. They can have a significant effect on interstate relations. For example, a Judeo-Christian ethic – a Western model of appropriate behavior – results in certain expectations by Americans that others share our concern for individual human rights, whether or not the foreign cultures share the same ethic. A political military analyst is expected to be able to assess the influence of folkways and mores on the formulation of national policies.

h. **Education.** Education is the process by which a culture trains its members for adult participation.

i. **History.** Interwoven with the above components is the history of a culture, the degree to which it was or was not affected by outside contact, and how that culture has adapted to its past.

**IV. Role of Language in Culture**

Culture determines what a group of people think, but language is both an expression and determinant of how they think. While every culture may have developed words for all observed phenomena and concepts, natural, scientific, philosophical, or otherwise, the language of a culture derives richness and vocabulary beyond the common from the specific environment. The importance a culture places on a subject can in part be determined from the richness of the vocabulary in that area. For example, English has over 300,000 scientific and technical terms, Arabic over 6000 terms referring to a camel and its parts, and Eskimo dialects have at least 25 words to describe
snow. On the other hand, one word for snow or ice is sufficient in most equatorial countries (Eskimos also have no word for war).

a. **Cultural Gaps.** Language is not the biggest gap to bridge. It can be learned.

b. **Filters.** Language is not the only filter when communicating with someone of another culture.

(1) The individual’s **personality** imposes its own filter. Gender also plays a role in filtering communication.

(2) The individual’s **culture** is another screen. Again, this is the acceptable group behavior superimposed upon the basic human nature.

(3) **Language** is the final filter, and even when both communicators can speak a common language, the speaker who is speaking a foreign language, no matter how skilled, is subject to missing the cultural nuances affected by slang and other environmentally derived richness.

(4) **Nonverbal communication**, gestures, facial expressions, personal space, affect cross-cultural messages as much as spoken language. Mediterranean and Middle Eastern cultures, for example, observe very little personal space for the individual. Effective communication depends on proximity. Many Americans and Northern Europeans feel uncomfortable when in close proximity to another human being who is not a close family member. A comfort zone of two to three feet of separation is not unusual.

V. Social Controls

One way a society imposes cultural competence is through social controls. These controls reflect a society's regular and expected patterns of behavior that members are expected to live by.

Acculturation

a. Society's purpose is to make each member a fully responsible and functional individual within the whole. Accordingly, it does whatever is necessary to aid any one of its members to learn proper and appropriate behavior for any given social setting or situation. The individual, in becoming culturally competent, subordinates his desires and expectations to the shared rules and requirements (norms and values); not only for the larger society, but also for every specific demand within the life as a whole. The intent of society is responsible participation. Most members of the society eventually absorb the ideas and are appropriately "acculturated."

b. Obviously, a native-born adult member of a society has a natural advantage over the analyst who is trying to acquire years of cultural competence in a
short period. However, outside observers sometimes have unique insightful over insiders due to their lack of embedded bias and prejudices. There is also the phenomenon that cultural differences tend to standout to outsiders.

Social Controls
Rules in a culture, also known as norms, are embodied in folkways, mores, and laws. As you might expect, not everyone in a given society follows the norms. It follows that all societies have mechanisms for social control and operate at different levels.

a. **Folkways.** The lowest level of mechanism for social control is “folkways,” which are the manners and customs of a culture. They catalog the “normal” way to do things. Although these are taken very seriously, law does not enforce them, and failure to follow them is not considered an act of immorality. Folkways usually refer to behavior-like manners, dress, greetings, and other such activities. The observance of folkways makes for smooth interactions in society. Rewards for compliance include acceptance, inclusion, and esteem. Ridicule, avoidance, negative comments, and similar mechanisms usually enforce them negatively.

b. **Mores.** “Mores” are more powerful social controls. These might include sexual and marriage rules and other requirements of virtuous conduct. Mores are social rules and regulations of a moral nature. Their observance furthers membership, inclusion, regard and esteem. Various sanctions enforce them, although again, scorn, ridicule, isolation and exclusion are the primary mechanisms. Society permits its members to withhold from a transgressor any discretionary good thing.

c. **Laws.** The highest level of social control is that of laws. Laws are rules and regulations that are enforced by the state. The state may legitimately use force to assure compliance. Laws usually grow out of folkways and mores.

Culture, Change, and Predicting Behavior
Ideas, models, norms, values, and behavior are always changing. Usually, the changes occur so slowly that members of a society easily accept them. However, a variety of factors can accelerate change to the point that local models, ideas, and social organization have difficulty adequately coping. This is frequently the case with changes brought about by shifts in the physical environment (drought, epidemic disease) or conditions in the economy associated with development.

**Understanding Change.** Conditions of accelerated change often provoke regional tension, instability, and strife. An astute analyst anticipates such circumstances and may be predict the outcomes. This involves explaining and
predicting how individuals in specific groups will act in response to social change. It requires an understanding of the key themes, models, and patterns of behavior in that cultural context. At the same time it necessitates a good historical perspective. An ability to recognize tendencies and patterns in similar circumstances in the past. Finally, it requires that the analyst clearly understand the range of viable economic and political options available to the group(s) under study. Often, the analytical techniques provided by the disciplines of economics, political culture, and political science can apply. Of course, to perform this role competently, the analyst must not only understand the processes of cultural adaptation to change, but also the dynamics of change in specific physical environments and political economies.

VI. Ethnocentrism and Cultural Relativism

Ethnocentrism and cultural relativism are opposed approaches to understanding and explaining behavior in foreign-cultural contexts.

a. Ethnocentrism. Ethnocentrism is the practice of interpreting and evaluating behavior and objects by reference to the standards of one's own culture rather than by those of the culture to which they belong. It results from the acculturation of the analyst that has internalized his own culture's norms. This internalization generates the notion that his culture's norms are superior to all others.

b. Ethnocentrist. An ethnocentrist carries the notion to the point of defining an action as right or wrong by the standards of his own culture. Other ways of doing things make sense or do not make sense only in this light. Ethnocentrism allows a person to be satisfied and complete within the context of his own culture. However, it embodies, engenders and communicates an attitude of superiority of one's own ideas over all others. Consequently, ethnocentrism may decrease the analyst's ability to understand, explain or accurately predict behavior in other cultures. In extreme cases, it can lead to xenophobia. The Shiite fanatics of Iran provide a classic example.

c. Cultural Relativism. Cultural relativism is the practice of interpreting and evaluating with reference to the normative and value standards of the culture to which the behavior belongs. It views the world and explains behavior in terms of the relevant culture (the culture under analysis). In this approach, behavior is appropriate or inappropriate. It makes sense and follows patterns, based upon the norms and values of that culture. The relativist carefully avoids judging others based only on his own cultural prism.

For the analyst, cultural relativism provides access to the other person's point of view and perspective. This, in turn, provides insight, competent explanation of otherwise opaque and strange happenings, and a powerful ability to predict human action and reaction.
d. **Cultural Relativism and Ethnocentrism.** Although a powerful analytical tool, cultural relativism should not serve as an article of faith. Extreme cultural relativism is actually derogatory of the culture being analyzed in that it assumes the absence of universal natural laws or human traits. All people bleed when cut; all cultures prohibit stealing, murder, rape, etc. Indeed, ethnocentrism provides the analyst with familiar cultural examples to compare and contrast. It tells him how different or how the same is the culture he analyzes. Finally, it provides him with a lexicon which people of his own culture can understand when he reports his findings.

e. **Going Native.** This unflattering phrase describes behavior, not analysis. The person who adapts his behavior to that of a foreign culture gains a lot in his ability to accomplish missions, avoid problems and find out information. However, some people interpret these gains as signals that they can scrap their own core values in favor of the ones under analysis. At this point, they have gone native, and are of no further use to their country.

VII. **Categories, Labels, and Stereotypes**

Political military analysis selects those cultural factors (categories) that influence political and military behavior in a given state or region, and determines their likely influence on the situation at hand. It considers local groups, local social organization, key cultural themes and values, and historically sanctioned modes of adaptation to social change. Finally, it expresses these relations with precise, carefully defined terms that clearly illustrate social dynamics.

a. **Labels.** Often, one must categorize groups of people, e.g., traditional, secular, Western, Islamic, etc. However, these labels are often arbitrary and may be misleading – they may or may not conform to the way the people themselves define their social universe. Terms in popular English usage, which Americans frequently apply to foreigners with little empirical justification, further complicate identification of social groupings. For instance, we often describe Islamic residents of the Middle East and North Africa as Arabs, even when they are of non-Arab ethnic origin. Ethnic distinctions among Africans are popularly ascribed to “tribalism,” even though the actual African distinctions are often more analogous to European “nationalities” or even to economically defined social classes. While the competent analyst is obliged to use labels, they must use them with great care, avoiding imprecision and stereotyping.

(1) The labels that are of most interest to political military analysts are cultural distinctions. That is, they are distinctions based upon commonalities in ideas and behavior among certain populations. Within this very broad category are a number of sub-categories. These, too, are labels that require careful use.
(2) Distinctions among language, cultural values, and socio-political cohesion also provide insight. For example, what analytical category does the word Jewish suggest? Is it a religious, racial, national, cultural, or linguistic term? Who is a Jew? It is such a complicated issue that the definition has never been officially defined in Israel. Obviously at times the term Jew has been used in all categories. For instance the term “anti-Semitism” generally refers to anti-Jewish prejudices. However, the word Semitic refers to a language family to which both Hebrew and Arabic belong. Therefore, technically speaking anti-Semitism refers to Arabs and Jews.

b. Phenotype. Do not confuse patterns in human physical features with patterns in human behavior. Human distinctions based on differences in outward physical appearances (phenotype) might not correspond to differences in cultural norms and values. An example would be Americans of Asian descent who exhibit very few behavioral traits unique to the Asian society of their ancestors. Although some human populations do exhibit a higher incidence of unique physical or genetic traits within themselves, the analyst must avoid stereotyping groups by phenotype.

c. Linguistic Category. Analysts must be careful in their use of labels. A term that is primarily a linguistic category might not imply the corresponding social or political correlations. For instance, not all native speakers of English are ethnically English, nor are they all subjects of the English sovereign.

d. Ethnic Category. If the term designates a general ethnic group (Hausa, Swahili, or Somali), a corresponding political cohesion does not necessarily apply. For example, few scholars agree on the meaning of 'Arab'. Is it a linguistic group, a race, a culture, or simply a political term? The word 'Arab' generally describes the people of the Arabian Peninsula, and to some extent their immediate neighbors. However, it also conjures up very different perceptions by different people in the Middle East. Must all Arabs be Muslims? Some Muslims would adamantly affirm that they are synonymous. Christian Arabic speakers of Jordan, Iraq, or Palestine would vociferously deny that. Are all people of an Arab nation Arabic? The Maronite Christians of Lebanon have died by the thousands to assert their distinct cultural identity. “Who/what is an Arab?”

e. Cultural vs. Political Terms. A clear distinction should be drawn between terms that primarily describe shared cultural identity, such as “society” or “ethnic group” or “nation,” and terms that have primary reference to a governing political structure, such as “state.” The analyst must be able to discern the difference and understand the ramifications of this distinction for a specific state or region.
f. **Cultural Identity vs. State Boundaries.** In many areas of the world, primary cultural identities do not correspond to state boundaries. The contradictions between the culturally specified identities of local populations on the one hand, and the identity of a recently created state on the other, force national decision-makers to devote considerable attention to nation building. By definition, this involves efforts to undermine peoples' loyalty to other institutions. India and Nigeria are good examples where occasionally, these attempts threaten regional stability or great power interests.

g. **Historic Trends.** Many Western states are typically formal, secular, and territorial in nature. Other states contain societies with various systems of government that may or may not interface well with the national political structures. In times of transition and stress, some societies revert to social or political traditions characterized a better, earlier age. Combined a desire for the past with religious revitalization, and the results can be revolutionary. The Mahdiya in the Sudan in the 1880's and the Ayatollah Khomeini's in Iran in the late 1970’s are good examples of this. The analyst should be cognizant of such events by noting the historically sanctioned behavior exhibited by a society undergoing great stress.

The competent analyst must be able to sort factors and apply labels that assist in understanding social organization and behavior. They must know when certain factors and labels are important and when they are not. Good political military analysis requires an incisive elaboration of the ideas behind collective behavior. To achieve this, great precision must be exercised in the use of categories, and labels; and avoid overly generalistic stereotypes.

**Elements of Culture**

The following tables by Dr. Gary Weaver from his book *Culture, Communication and Conflict*, lists many of the terms, factors, categories and labels that sociologists and anthropologists use to analyze cultures (75-76). Further study will illuminate what these terms measure, and how those measurements aid in analysis.

**Table 2-1. Characteristic Culture**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abstract</th>
<th>Associative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gesellschaft</td>
<td>Gemeinschaft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Society</td>
<td>Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Rural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apollonian</td>
<td>Dionysian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterogeneous</td>
<td>Homogeneous</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2-2. Social Structure**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individualistic</th>
<th>Collective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Small or nuclear family</td>
<td>Extended Family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overt social rules</td>
<td>Implicit social rules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loose in-group/out-group distinction</td>
<td>Rigid in-group/out-group distinction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Achieved or earned status  | Ascribed status
---|---
Flexible roles  | Rigid roles
Loosely integrated  | Highly integrated
Class  | Caste
Social and physical mobility  | Little social or physical mobility
Low power distance  | High power distance

### Table 2-3. Philosphic Outlook

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mastery or Control Over Nature</th>
<th>Harmony with or Subjugation to Nature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Melodramatic / Escapist</td>
<td>Tragic / Realistic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humane / Inhuman</td>
<td>Human / Inhumane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective</td>
<td>Subjective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Qualitative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alloplastic</td>
<td>Autoplastic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mind / Body Dichotomy</td>
<td>Union of Mind and Body</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2-4. Psychological Orientation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Psychology of Abundance</th>
<th>Psychology of Scarcity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schizoid or Fragmented</td>
<td>Comprehensive or Holistic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need for Achievement</td>
<td>Need for Affiliation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abstractive and Logical</td>
<td>Anthropomorphic and Complexive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masculine</td>
<td>Feminine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Responsibility</td>
<td>Indirect Responsibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Use of Extensions</td>
<td>Little Use of Extensions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension Transference</td>
<td>No Extension Transference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steep Pleasure Gradient</td>
<td>Flat Pleasure Gradient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weak Uncertainty Avoidance</td>
<td>Strong Uncertainty Avoidance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guilt - internal</td>
<td>Shame - external</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2-5. Thought Patterns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analytic</th>
<th>Relational</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Theoretical learning and knowledge</td>
<td>Experiential or Kinesthetic Learning and Knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichotomous / Divisions</td>
<td>Holistic / Joining together</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear-separations</td>
<td>Nonlinear-comprehensive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abstractions / Prose</td>
<td>Imagery / Poetry</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2-6. Basic Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Doing</th>
<th>Being</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Change / Action</td>
<td>Stability / Harmony</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What / Content</td>
<td>How / Style</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individualism</td>
<td>Belongingness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independence</td>
<td>Interdependence / Dependence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-reliance</td>
<td>Reliance upon others</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2-7. Perception

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mind / Body Dichotomy</th>
<th>Mind / Body are One</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monochronic Time / Action</td>
<td>Polychronic Time / Action</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2-8. Interaction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Low-Context</th>
<th>High-Context</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Competition</td>
<td>Cooperation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal Emphasis</td>
<td>Nonverbal and Verbal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Written or Electronic</td>
<td>Face-to-Face</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impersonal</td>
<td>Personal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizoid / Fragmented Relationships</td>
<td>Holistic / Interdependent Relationships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monological</td>
<td>Dialogical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practical / Aloof</td>
<td>Nonpurposive / Involved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easy to Break Action Chains</td>
<td>Difficult to Break Action Chains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systematic</td>
<td>Spontaneous</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VIII. Cross Cultural Communications

Given a basic background in culture, the analyst can understand the psychological paradigms that characterize and affect communication between differing cultures. Specifically, a contrast is drawn between “To do” and “To be” cultures as a means of illustrating the ways in which cultural norms pre-determine behavior. The results of contrasting these systems is a set of observations that demonstrates distinct behavioral propensities of cultural groups related to life time aspirations, attitudes towards work and social status, community values, etc. The nature of these propensities is closely related to politics and military affairs and highlights the potential for social volatility that translates in communications breakdowns or community tendencies toward violence.

a. To Do vs. To Be. Dr. Gary Weaver identifies a cultural spectrum with an achievement based, “to do” culture on one end and an ascription based “to be” culture on the opposite end.

(1) A “to do” culture, which encompasses the United States and many Western European countries, exhibit the following characteristics:

- You are what you have achieved.
- You are self-reliant.
- You are independent (from friends and family).
- You look toward the future.

(2) A “to be” culture, which encompasses many developing nations, as well as some ancient and highly developed civilizations in Asia and the Middle East, exhibit the following characteristics:
• Your status is derived from your family, not what you have done.

• Your success relies on a group effort.

• You are dependent on your friends, family, and the social organization.

• You look back to your history and heritage.

This tool is very useful, but only when viewed as a statistical norm. Any culture can have aspects of either “to do” or “to be.”

b. Cultural Imperatives. The analyst should use the following imperatives for cultural analysis to direct their research.

(1) Cultural Relativist. Be a cultural relativist, but not an extremist. Adjust your point of view to understand the other person's position, and be able to empathize. “Table” your own point of view for the purpose of explanation and prediction, but never abandon it. Avoid going native in thought or deed.

(2) Avoid Ethnocentrism. When you find yourself thinking “these people are dirty, stupid, lazy, immoral, evil, etc.,” you are probably being ethnocentric.

(3) Appropriate Behavior. Most people in a culture behave appropriately. Conversely inappropriate behavior happens less often in a culture. Hence, if most people behave in a certain way, it is appropriate to the culture. This provides predictability to reactions.

(4) Cultural Continuum. All differences between one culture and another culture are positions on a continuum, without a fixed scale. That is to say Germans value family more or less than Spaniards, but you must resort to examples to demonstrate how much more or less.

(5) Cultural Generalization. Cultural generalizations, although at time may be sound and valid, suffer from the same defects as all generalizations. The analyst might use their knowledge of machismo to predict a Mexican election, but may not assume any particular Mexican male is macho.

(6) Culture and Time. Cultural generalizations are often snapshots of time and place. The analyst can expect that cultural truths change over time. They vary between urban and rural situations. They may erode with the advent of external factors such as global mass media, industrialization or other forms of development.
IX. Religion and the Social System

Religion is the organized expression of a culture’s belief system. Religion is one of the most important and pervasive phenomena in the human experience. Even someone who does not himself subscribe to any particular religious belief cannot help but be struck by the influence religion has had on societies and cultures around the world and over time. It has permeated the collective psyche of mankind. It has inspired much of the world's finest art, literature, dance, drama, music, and architecture. We use religious occurrences to mark time, such as A.D., B.C., A.H. Religion has influenced the types of political systems under which we are governed, the economic systems in which we trade, and the social systems in which we live. There is no example in history of a culture without religion, and all governments and states that have tried to eliminate religion have failed. People have endured the most horrible of pogroms and oppression and have made great sacrifices to cling to their religious beliefs. Even in societies normally held to be secular or “non-religious,” e.g., Western democracies or Confucian China, religion has been and remains a basic and powerful social force. Across time, space, and cultures, the search for gods is a constant theme in the community of man.

The analyst should avoid arguing the rightness or wrongness of religion, or promulgate a particular religious belief. Instead, they should define what religion is, the role it plays in various societies, and how it is studied. From there, try to figure out what effect a particular religious belief has on the political, social, economic, and cultural fabric of the society in which the religious order exists. Remember, political military analysts are not theologians, just observers.

Religion Explained

Religion develops from man's attempt to explain the world beyond his control. Since man is not the final answer therefore, there must be something greater than man. Once he accepts this concept, he will try to modify his behavior to coincide with what he perceives as the edicts of that greater power. Religion, then, is both the inner experience an individual has when he senses a supernatural force; and the ways in which he tries to bring his own personal and social life into harmony with that force.

Since religious practice always involves some form of community, the term “religion” is commonly used to refer to an organization of people who subscribe to similar beliefs and practices. There is a difference in focus when referring to religion, on one hand, and a religion, on the other.

X. Types and Traits

Worldviews

There are five common worldviews evident in the world. These worldviews illustrate the results of different core beliefs.
a. **Animism.** The animism worldview focuses on the man-nature relationship as the key element. The “supernatural” is the same and connected with the natural forces. The focus is on the present relationship between man and the natural forces. This worldview is common in both western industrialized nations and also primitive groups that do not give personal attributes to the natural forces. The animism worldview places primary emphasis on the continuity of the ethnic group through history. People receive guidance, wisdom, direction, and blessing through intermediate shamans and ceremonies. Native American and African religions, and Shintoism (Japan) demonstrate this worldview.

b. **Monism.** The monism worldview places primary emphasis on the oneness or unity as the defining characteristic of reality. Unlike other worldviews, time and events are connected in circular patterns, not a linear timeline of history. The goal of persons is to become one with a “god”, “universal mind”, or the “cosmic spirit.”

c. **Monotheism.** The monotheism worldview is represented by the three faith traditions that believe in one god: Judaism, Christianity, and Islam. This worldview sees a bound relationship between God, mankind, and nature.

d. **Philosophies.** The philosophies and movement worldview emphasizes the need to keep a perpetual balance and harmony among all the elements of existence. The Yin and Yang represent the complementary elements of light/dark, male/female, good/evil, hot/cold, etc.

e. **Movements.** The movement worldview considers the whole of existence and does not distinguish between past or present. The faith traditions of Taoism and Confucianism represent this worldview.

**Common Traits of Religion**

There are numerous religions in the world that differ dramatically from one another and which among them have thousands of sects. However, all religions have at least three traits in common. These traits are a belief in a force superior to man, a code of conduct, and the concept of transcendence.

a. **Belief in superior force.** The first common trait, already alluded to, is belief in a force superior to man. This may be a god, a pantheon of gods, or an impersonal, abstract force. Most Western religions have personal gods, which are individual beings. Some Eastern religions instead believe in a creative principle or force.

b. **Code of conduct.** The second common trait is a code of conduct, which will assist the believer in harmonizing his life with the divine will. This code is often the foundation of a society's moral and ethical systems, such as the Ten Commandments of the Judeo-Christian tradition or the Eightfold Path of Buddhism.
(1) **Morality** is a way of living based on precepts believed to be true.

(2) **Ethics** is a way of explaining, defending, or construing moral action.

c. **Concept of transcendence.** The third common trait to religions is the concept of transcendence – that we can somehow escape this world. Every religion will have transcendence as its ultimate spiritual goal, whether by following moral rules as a path to an other-worldly paradise, by practicing forms of physical or mental discipline to escape the endless cycle of desire and suffering in the world through enlightenment, or by devoutly appeasing the gods through prayer and ritual.

**XI. Traditions and Principles of Religion**

Aspects of Religion

A comparison of two or more religions can be made by looking at several different aspects.

a. The first aspect is the **numerological** belief; e.g., the types of supreme power the religion reveres. Is it based on an impersonal absolute, on personal monotheism, or on polytheism?

b. Second is the **historical** aspect. Who, if anyone, is the founder of the religion? Is he real or mythical? Where and when was he born? What was his life like? When and under what conditions did he found the religion? Did he claim to be of supernatural origin or to have a special relationship with the deity? How did he die and when? How did the religion spread and develop over time?

c. Third is the **revelatory** aspect. Is the religion based on a revelation to the worshipers from the deity (such as in Christianity, Judaism, or Islam), or is it based on self-discovery (such as in Hinduism, Buddhism, or Taoism)?

d. The fourth aspect is the **cosmology** of the religion. How and why was the world created? Is it real or illusory? Is it eternal? If not, how and when will it end? Has it ended before, only to be re-created? Are there several dimensions of it?

e. The fifth aspect consists of the **anthropological** beliefs. How does the religion view man and human nature? What is man? How did he come to be? Why? What is the value and purpose of life? Do only humans have souls or is all life valued equally? Is man immortal? Will he live again? Must he?

f. The sixth aspect consists of views toward **proselytization** and **excommunication**. Is the religion a missionary religion? How does one qualify for membership in the religion? Is there anyone who can be forbidden membership? What means can be used to obtain a conversion?
Under what conditions can a member be expelled? Once expelled, can he be readmitted? If so, under what conditions?

g. The seventh aspect comprises sociological precepts. What types of earthly social order does the religion call for? Are all believers equal before the Divinity? Who is not equal, on earth or in heaven, and why? What types of roles do people play in both the religious and secular communities? How is religion used to determine who plays what role? What types of interpersonal relationships are called for? What types of segregation or integration are established and on what basis? Who are the leaders? Who are the followers? Why?

h. The eighth aspect deals with the soteriology (the study of salvation) of the religion and addresses the concept of transcendence. Is the spiritual goal of the religion salvation or liberation? Have they already been chosen, or does everyone still have a chance to gain merit to achieve the goal? How is such merit gained? How is the goal achieved? Is it possible to know that one has achieved it prior to death? If we achieve it, what happens then? What happens if we do not achieve it?

i. The ninth aspect is the sacramental component and deals with that which the religion holds as sacred. This has several aspects.

(1) Sacred space and time. Sacred places are those locations where one goes to worship. They may include shrines, temples, and churches as well as rivers, mountains, and promontories. Why are they sacred? What is the proper conduct within them? Sacred events usually occur in sacred places and times. Why are they considered holy? The places and dates of the founder's birth and death are normally held to be holy, as are the place and date of the founding of the religion. What is the proper form of celebration? Even those religions that lack this type of historical grounding will often see the place where the believers dwell as holy and will have religious festivals and holidays to mark certain occasions.

(2) Sacred words and writings. This category can be broken down into at least three subdivisions.

(a) First are the scriptures. Is there a Holy Book or Books? Is it held to be the word of God? Is its author known? Was there only one author or many? Is there only one acceptable scriptural language or may any language be used?

(b) The second are sacred stories. These may be in the scriptures or may be secondary works. They may be based on fact or be legends, myths, or fables, but are generally allegorical. They are a profound aspect of any religion if for no other reason than to tell us how people see themselves in relation to their god. For example,
Shintoism says the Japanese islands are godly creations and their inhabitants are descended from gods; the Judeo-Christian tradition holds that man was created in God's image, but fell from grace in the Garden of Eden; Hinduism says that the four social castes sprang from parts of Brahma's body--the lower the body part, the lower the caste.

(c) The third subdivision of sacred words are the **moral codes** contained in scripture and stories. It may be laid out explicitly, or merely implied.

(3) **Sacred people.** These may be historical, legendary, or contemporary. They can be divine, such as the Dalai Lama or the Japanese emperor; semi-divine, such as Christian saints; mortals with a special connection with the gods, such as a shaman or a witch doctor; or simply mortals with special training, such as priests, preachers, monks, or imams. Part of studying sacred people is to determine if the religion has a clergy. If so, who are they? How are they selected and trained for their profession? Are they venerated? Can anyone join the clergy or are certain categories of people (e.g., women) excluded? What is the clergy's relationship with the population at large?

(4) **Sacred symbols.** All religion is symbolic in that it is an outward manifestation of an inner experience. If man could not symbolize, there could be no religion. Symbols may be verbal, such as invocations like "Praise the Lord," "Amen," or "In the name of Allah, the merciful and compassionate." They may be actions, such as bowing the head and placing our palms together to pray or making the sign of the cross. Symbols may also be physical, such as the Kaaba, Buddhist and Hindu statues, or the Crucifix. Some sacred places or writings are also sacred symbols. For example, Christians swear on the Bible, while Muslims face Mecca while praying.

j. The tenth aspect of religion the analyst can study is its **ritual and rules of worship.** Ritual is one of the most important aspects of religion. There are rituals for every aspect of life from birth to marriage to funerals. There are rituals for daily prayer, for celebrating holy times and for worshipping in holy places; for asking the gods for a good crop during the planting season and for thanking them when the crop is harvested; for choosing sites for houses, shrines, and graves and protecting those sites from evil once they are chosen. Even if we worship privately, we tend to do so with socially acceptable rituals. When studying these rituals try to determine what they mean and why. What is the tradition behind them? Who can perform the ritual? Where and when? Who can observe or participate in it? Who is expressly forbidden from observing or participating? Why? Do believers worship as congregations? Must they? How does the believer prepare himself for ritual or worship? How does the ritual for congregational worship differ from the ritual for individual
worship? Is the purpose of ritual and worship to gain favors from the gods or to avoid their wrath?

k. The final aspect of religion is the difference between the Little Tradition and the Great Tradition. There is no religion today that is practiced purely as espoused by its founder or indeed as espoused by its current believers. In religion, as with culture in general, we must distinguish between what is said and what is done, what is preached as the ideal and what is really practiced. With religion, however, this concept becomes more complex. Robert Redfield said that there are two ways of expressing religious motifs.

(1) One is what he called the “Great Tradition”. This is intellectual, literate, scholarly, elitist, orthodox, and it has a long-term perspective oriented on history. The Great Tradition is the religion of scholarship; debate; beautiful cathedrals and churches; and omnipotent, omniscient, omnipresent deities. The Great Tradition is the religion of the priest, whose responsibility lies in maintaining the whole social and religious order for the long-term welfare of the believers.

(2) The other means of religious expression Redfield called the “Little Tradition”. This is illiterate or non-literate, oriented on the present or on cosmic time. It is the religion of the common man and is transmitted by family and community rather than through formal training. The Little Tradition is the religion of myths, festivals, local shrines and temples, and local gods and spirits. The Little Tradition is the religion of the shaman, who deals with the exigencies of daily life and the immediate and temporal welfare of his clients. For the analyst, the Little Tradition may often be more important, because it has a greater influence among the common folk. The practices of the Little Tradition and its shaman may allow for a channel of communication or manipulation.

XII. Religious Operating Levels

Most people who subscribe to a particular religion are not converts. They are members of a particular religion because their parents were. Though the religion one espouses is usually an accident of birth, there are normally provisions in a religion for conversion. However, in many societies, one does not “join” a religion per se, since religion cannot be separated from the totality of life; e.g., Hindu India or Islamic Arabia. For most religious people, religion is an integral part of their day-to-day existence, not just something they do once a week for an hour or two.

Religion can operate on at least five levels in a society. These are individual, social, economic, military, and political.
a. **Individual.** Since societies are made up of individuals, we include the individual level as our first. Religion meets man's basic psychological need to come to terms with the world around him. As one wag has said, "religion lets us explain the inexplicable, ponder the imponderable, and 'unscrew the inscrutable.'" It provides comfort and guidelines man can find nowhere else.

1. **Sense of self.** Religion gives man a sense of self. Some even define religion as that which allows man to come to terms with his real self. As such, it gives one a sense of belonging and maybe even well being. It helps answer questions like who am I? Where did I come from? Why am I here? What happens when I die? How and why was the world created? What am I to this world? Religion often helps us feel that no matter how insignificant or inconsequential we may be to our fellow men, we are important at least to our god. He/she cares for us, even if no one else does.

2. **Higher state of consciousness.** Those who come to grips with their true selves often feel that they can now see the world more clearly, that they have reached a higher state of consciousness. This can be a deeply personal religious experience, usually described as achieving a state of inward joy, or serenity and inner peace. Some may feel as if they have been enlightened or have “seen the light.” Many feel as if they have actually been “born again,” leaving behind their old life to begin a new one in service to their god. To many people, this is the most important aspect of religion.

3. **Moral codes.** Religion also helps people establish personal moral codes. For many, “good” and “bad” and “moral” and “immoral” are relative and arbitrary; therefore, they are meaningless, without the sanction of a divine will behind them. These codes may address behavior as mundane as proper clothing and eating habits or as serious as sexual relations and the sanctity of life. Religious codes help people make tough choices that might not otherwise be made. With a religious code, choices and options are prescribed by a Higher Authority.

4. **Psychological.** The final facet of the psychological level is its power to encourage acceptance of one's role in life while, paradoxically, giving hope for something better at another place and/or time.

Religion helps the common man go through troubled times, and hardships. He accepts his fate because it is ordained by karma and dharma, because of kismet or God's will, or because there is a better world waiting for the meek on the other side. Religion can also inspire hope. Men beseech their gods for protection, help, wealth, and health. Through religion, personal
Social

concerns are met with religious truths. Religion may well have risen out of man's realization that in this world we are ultimately all alone.

Religion helps us prepare emotionally and intellectually to bear with those aspects of human existence that are beyond our control. There are some that posit that the purpose of religion is not so much morals as it is morale. As Will Durant has poignantly pointed out, “As long as there is poverty there will be gods.” But never be misled into believing that religious fervor is a function of an economic environment.

Many of the post-modernists would have you believe that a poverty-free society would discard religious beliefs. This has time and again been proven to be more of an ideological wish than reality. For instance the wealth of the Kuwaiti citizen has not diminished an increasing movement toward a more strictly interpreted Islam.

b. Social. The second level at which religion operates is social. Religion always finds expression in some sort of community. In fact, for a belief system to be considered a religion, it must have at least two adherents. They may be considered heretics, but at least it is accepted that they have a religion. If only one person holds a belief system, he is merely considered mad. Religion operates on the social level in several ways.

(1) Community. One social function of religion is that it provides a definition of the community. Just as religion always is grounded in a community, so do communities define themselves at least in part by reference to religion. This may in fact be the central concept of the community's collective identity, such as in pre-World War II Japan or modern-day Israel and Pakistan. The religion may also stipulate that certain non-believers be accorded conditional membership in the community, such as Islamic perceptions of Jews and Christians as “People of the Book.” Finally, religion also helps identify “them” vs “us.” Non-believers are then classified as “infidels,” “pagans,” “heathens,” or “heretics” or as simply the uninformed.

(2) Normative standards. Another social function is to establish a normative standard for social organization and conduct. These standards normally regulate social relationships and may address everything from sex, marriage, and male-female relations in general to filial piety, teacher-student relations, and relationships at the workplace.

(3) Social legitimization. The third social function of religion is social legitimization. It can be used to justify a social system or to support its overthrow. Members of the society may be encouraged to accept their lot in life because God has willed it so. Those who are better off in the society enjoy their status and wealth because they are the chosen
of God. Similarly, if the social system is at odds with a religion, the religion can be used by its believers to incite rebellion.

(4) **Social mobilization.** The fourth social function is social mobilization. Religion can serve as the focus or impetus for social action. Many charitable organizations are religious-based, and some religions call for believers to care for the less fortunate. For instance within Christianity the Protestant movement put emphasis on personal salvation through faith while Catholicism has emphasized good works as being in tandem with faith. Similarly, a community’s religious center may also serve as the social center. Many social activities are oriented on religious events, times, and places. Religious leaders often serve as social leaders and are in some cases more effective in this realm than political figures.

(5) **Scholarship.** The final social function is scholarship. Religion has historically been one of the main vehicles used to promote literacy. People learn to read in many parts of the world by studying scriptures. A basic textbook in many Islamic countries is the Qu'ran. Monks and other religious leaders often double as teachers. Universities and other institutions of higher learning have been established in the name of most major religions. Similarly, missionaries of several denominations have established schools around the world. Many of these schools have had a significant impact on the education systems of the countries in which they were established, even if efforts to proselytize were not especially successful. Religion, as interpreted by more devout clergy, however, may also retard scholastic, or more properly, scientific progress, by proscribing the study of certain subjects or by dictating how facts may be interpreted, understood, or presented. This is generally very rare and more of an ideological mantra than a reality.

c. **Economic.** The third level at which religion operates is economics. Religion generally encourages certain types of economic practices while prohibiting others. Economic topics religion can address include the distribution of wealth, welfare responsibilities, taxation, banking practices, economic development, investment, use of material resources, acceptable occupations or vocations, and economic relations with other countries. For instance, within Islam usury or interest loans are forbidden. The American Catholic bishops regularly issue tracts on economic justice.

d. **Military.** The fourth level at which religion operates is the military. Is military service compatible with religious beliefs and if yes, under what conditions? Who serves? Who is prohibited from serving and why? Who fights and who only serves in supporting roles? With whom do we fight, why, with what, when, and under what conditions? Is special merit gained
by fighting? How is the enemy to be treated if captured or defeated? What can we expect from the enemy if we are captured or conquered?

e. **Political.** The fifth level at which religion operates is politics. There are several aspects to this.

(1) **Legislation.** Religion may promote or oppose certain types of legislation, such as those regarding birth control or abortion, divorce, taxation, or child care. On the other hand, the government may be compelled to pass laws that circumscribe religious practices regarded as unhealthy or pernicious by the society as a whole, such as polygamy.

(2) **National integrity.** Religion can be used to promote national integrity among a country's peoples. Countries as diverse as Israel, Pakistan, and Burma have appealed to religion as a way to overcome ethnic or other divisions among the citizenry. On the other hand, religiously pluralistic societies such as Malaysia and India have had to overcome religious differences in their struggle for national unity. Some countries, like the United States, have even stressed tolerance of religious differences as a means of encouraging national integration.

(3) **Mobilization.** Religious organization or principles can be used as the focus for political mobilization. Even secular leaders of parties may appeal to religious values as an attempt to gain support from the polity for their positions on issues considered secular by some.

(4) **Regimes or programs.** Religion can also be used to justify support of opposition to political regimes or programs. This is common in Islamic countries.

(5) **Leaders.** Religion can influence the political system through its influence on individual leaders. The religious belief of political leaders can have a significant impact on the efficacy of a government or on its policies. The deep religious convictions of America’s President Carter or, Iran's Ayatollah Khomeini are cases in point.

(6) **Government.** Religious influence on government need not be limited to a few leaders here and there. In some countries, especially those with political systems that are specifically religious-based, political representations may be determined by religious affiliation. A certain number of seats in the legislature or certain numbers or types of government jobs may be reserved for particular religious groups. The Iranian majlis, (parliament) for instance, has seats reserved for Christians, etc.

(7) **Foreign policy.** The final political area upon which religion may impact is foreign policy. Nations may band together over certain
issues in a display of religious solidarity or may side against another nation for religious reasons. Examples are non-Arabic Islamic nations who side against Israel on many issues to show their support for their Islamic brethren, or the U.S.-Israel relationship, which is based at least in part on the Judeo-Christian connection. The Organization of Muslim Countries (OIC) is one such example.

XIII. Religious Influence on Politics

Of the five levels of influence a religion has on a society, the analyst should be most concerned with the political level. Donald E. Smith has identified five aspects that can be studied to determine the political influence a religion may exert in a given society. Naturally, none of the five dictate a given level of political involvement. They merely indicate whether or not such proclivities exists.

a. **History.** The first aspect is how the religion views history. Religion helps to determine how history is remembered, interpreted, and used. If history is seen as unreal, the believers will be unconcerned with political power. If history is seen as crucial, then believers will be more prone to seek political power as a means to influence the course of history. Islam is an example of a religion deeply imbedded in history to the extent that history before the advent of the Prophet Muhammed is called the era of Jahaliya – the era of ignorance.

b. **Tolerance.** The second aspect is tolerance of other religions. Generally, the more tolerant a religion is of other religious beliefs and practices, the less politically active it will be. On the other hand, intolerant religions encourage garnering political power as a means to suppress what are seen as heretics or apostates. More frequently demagogues use religion and its presumed uniqueness to demonize other groups out of political expediency. Islam is unfortunately used by political demagogues as a vehicle in this context.

c. **Ecclesiastical organization.** The third aspect is ecclesiastical organization. The better organized a religion is, the better suited it is to political action, at least in general. However, Sunni Islam is an exception to this in that they have no structured clerical hierarchy, but on a grassroots level exert considerable power.

d. **Church vs. state.** The fourth aspect is the way the religion views Church-State relations. If there is a clear distinction between ecclesiastic and political roles, the less politically influential the religion will be. If no such distinction is made, the odds of political involvement increase.

e. **Regulations.** The final aspect is social regulation. The more a religion stipulates regulation of social life, the greater the areas of potential conflict between religion and other aspects of the society. The believers may then
seek political power to resolve such disputes in their favor. Conversely, the less regulation called for by the religion, the fewer points of conflicts there will be, and there will not be as great an incentive to seek political influence.

Caveats for the Study of Religions

a. **Shamanism and animism** are still very much alive today, especially in the civil affairs/psychological operations arena in developing countries. The analyst may find that this considerably complicates their attempts to study the religions of their regions.

b. Distinguish between **communalism and religion**. It can be bewildering to see adherents of religions that preach tolerance and love, killing one another in the name of their religions. This does not necessarily mean that they do not believe in their religion, just that other concerns take precedence. In this case “love thy brother” may be construed to mean “kill thy non-brother.”

c. When studying a religion, attempt whenever possible to distinguish between major **sects and denominations**. Learn what it is that makes them different. In some cases, the differences may be so great as to make them all but separate religions.

d. Religion is an integral part of a culture, but it is **only a part**. There are many different aspects of life and culture that will distinguish people from one another, no matter how close their religious beliefs. A Japanese Christian is still Japanese, and a Javanese Muslim is still a Javanese. Their affiliation with Christians and Muslims in the United States, or the Middle East, while important, are not nearly as significant as the differences among them.

e. Religions may **change over time**, although the irony is that in trying to maintain “relevancy” they may secularize themselves out of existence by attempting to fit within the current zeitgeist. A myriad of social, political, economic, and intellectual forces are constantly buffeting religious concepts. Religious teachings and practices must confront these, but carefully as to avoid becoming a therapeutic “feel good” exercise.

f. Religions have a **fundamental paradox** in that the basic legitimating idea – the spiritual goal – is unattainable in this life. One must die to get to heaven or achieve Nirvana. This has not proven to be a handicap, as shown by the influence religion has retained even today. It may in fact be one of the reasons religion has remained a powerful force.

g. The worst thing analysts can do is put religion in a purely **secular context**. Adherent’s beliefs are based on faith. For them, their belief is true and not open to dispute. Similarly, miracles are quite real for believers. What to us may seem commonplace, coincidental, or phony – such as faith healing,
speaking in tongues, or water stains that look like a religious figure – can be major religious experiences for someone who believes. The analyst should also be aware of the danger of allowing their assessments to be influenced by attraction to the appeal of a religions tradition.

Religious Summary
As Americans we are imbued with the idea of the separation of Church and State. We have difficulty understanding those cultures in which separation of religion from government, or other spheres of human activity, is unthinkable. Within Islam, for instance, the persuasiveness of the religion has a profound influence on every aspect of the political military analytical systems. For instance, there is a whole field of study on Islamic economics. The Qu’ranic and Hadith prescribes very particular ways of conducting business and the use of wealth, advocating social justice and governing other aspects of economics.

Within Christianity the concept of social justice is emphasized. The notion of a “just war “ is a facet of Christian theology, which was widely discussed during the 1991 Gulf war. The view of Islam toward “jihad” and the interrelationship of the military and use of force in the “house of peace, house of war” concept must be understood by those assigned to the Middle East. The interrelationships between political systems, ideology, and religion must also be recognized. The interplay between ideology and religion is often so intense as to make them appear inseparable. For instance the “Islamists” have basically abducted the religion of Islam and transformed it into an ideology with a “heaven on earth” millenarianism to obtain power, and institute a political system of their own preference.

Religion is a complex and fascinating topic. As stated earlier, even someone who does not believe in a particular religion must respect it as a major social force. William and Ariel Durant put it eloquently when they wrote—

Even the skeptical historian develops a humble respect for religion, since he sees it functioning, and seemingly indispensable, in every land and age. To the unhappy, the suffering, the bereaved, the old, it has brought supernatural comforts valued by millions of souls as more precious than any natural aid. It has helped parents and teachers to discipline the young. It has conferred meaning and dignity upon the lowliest existence, and through its sacraments has made for stability by transforming human covenants into solemn relationships with God. It has kept the poor (said Napoleon) from murdering the rich. For since the natural inequality of men dooms many of us to poverty or defeat, some supernatural hope may be the sole alternative to despair. Destroy that hope, and class war is intensified. Heaven and utopia are buckets in a well: when one goes down the other goes up; when religion declines communism grows.
XIV. Ethnicity and the Social System

Introduction

Ethnicity is a historical process that develops from society and culture. Often it is a complicated and emotional classification of people and their perspective of where they fit in the nation-state or the world. The modern nation-state is based on citizenship; implying a degree of allegiance and exclusivity from other nations or people. However, within the nation-state, or stretching across its borders with its neighbors, there are those groups of people who may not belong to the politically dominant groups. They may hold distinct cultural and/or social differences. They are labeled “ethnic” groups and at times, “minorities,” whose differences are often granted recognition in all aspects except political self-determination. At times these ethnic groups will aspire to become their own nation-state and then ethnicity produces the rise of conflicts, or wars aimed at self-determination or liberation.

Definitions

As Manning Nash writes (The Cauldron of Ethnicity in the Modern World), “Ethnicity... is clearly related to politics, to class, to economics, and to culture and ethos.” He goes on to say that ethnicity has a deep personal component either as an embattled minority or as a member of a majority struggling to maintain control. All too often the post-modernist writers try to cram every conflict into a convenient category of race, class, or gender. While related to those factors ethnicity goes well beyond them to the core identity of individuals. While many observers of the Middle East tied the bloody civil conflict in Lebanon to class and political struggle, the crux of the conflict was the powerful catalyst of ethnic (religiously based) hatreds exacerbated by economic and political power disparity.

Ethnicity. Most individuals would define ethnicity based on race, skin color, language, or nationality. This outward manifestation, however, is only a first step in defining ethnic differences. This perception is based on primordial ties – ties that initially define who a person is, what he is like, and how others are different. Thus, we can define ethnicity as “a self-perceived group of people who hold in common a set of traditions not shared by others.”

XV. Elements of Ethnicity

Building Blocks of Ethnicity

These traditions may be based on language, religion, history, or other ties considered the 'building blocks' of ethnicity. These building blocks remain unchanged over time and are not subject to politics or economics:

a. Physical body - biological components relating to blood, genes, skin color – racial divisions.

b. Language - communication that contains meanings and elements unique from other languages.
c. **Shared history** - struggles, fate, a common purpose. An implication that personal and group fate are one in the same. This would apply most emphatically to the Arab feeling of unity beyond religion, or nation, buttressed by a common (more or less) language.

d. **Religion** - beliefs and practices that relate a group to some religious affiliation.

e. **Nationality** - a desire for the symbolic and, at times, political means of an independent people.

Using these building blocks, an ethnic group develops a *perception* of themselves and where they fit in the society (nation-state). If the society is pluralistic, pecking orders based on politics, race, region, religion, or language may develop. Examples of pluralistic societies that have achieved great empires are the Greeks, Romans, Chinese, and British. Those groups (subcultures, counter-cultures) who do not assimilate and/or retained visible manifestations of their ethnicity, maintained a perception that they are distinct from the dominant group. An example being the Jews of Palestine.

**Aspects of Ethnicity**

a. **Referents.** Ethnic distinctions may be determined by eight referents: physical characteristics, name, nationality or national origin, language, religion, tribal affiliation, history, and cultural aspects such as clothes, eating habits, houses, and so on. Any of these components may be changed and a new ethnicity assumed. Individuals may adapt to changes quickly. However, group change require more time – usually more than one generation if a different ethnicity is to take hold.

Individuals may display their ethnicity with varying degrees so that their ethnicity becomes latent, minimized or active. Different situations may require different displays of ethnicity depending on geographical location, emphasis by a political leader, or perhaps arrival of immigrants. In other words, depending on the context, individuals may choose to play down or play up their ethnic identity. They may even go so far as to challenge their national identity, regardless of the context.

b. **Stratification and Segregation.** Modernization appears to have pushed societies in the opposite direction as predicted by sociologists. Instead of merging, societies search for smaller, more intimate ties against the alienation that modernization produces. Ethnicity serves as a counterweight to that alienation. As individuals seek identity, they lean toward stratification and segregation.

(1) **Stratification** deals with access to political, economic, or social privileges. In stratification, individuals hope to break the barriers that curtail their economic benefits (such as unemployment), their political
influence (lack of legitimate representation), or their social acceptance (an unfavorable background).

(2) **Segregation** deals with physical separation of people. In segregation, individuals may be subject to micro-segregation (separate facilities), meso-segregation (ghettos), or macro-segregation (confinement to reserves or homelands).

In analyzing these forms of segregation and stratification, the analyst needs to determine whether they are intentional or unintentional, formal or informal, or even official policy.

c. **Ethnicity and Internal Conflict.** Some form of internal conflict is normal in a pluralistic society. One cannot examine groups within a society without analyzing their influence over these conflicts. Thus the more ethnic groups in a society, the greater likelihood of conflict. Conflict may occur in political, economic, or social spheres and at various intensities. In order to minimize the potential for ethnic conflict, political elite’s may employ several methods:

   (1) An ideological commitment to minimize ethnicity.

   (2) A non-ethnic basis for consensus, such as religion.

   (3) Creating a sense of belonging whereby the survival of the nation takes precedence over the individual or sectarian identity.

**Ethnicity Summary**
Understanding ethnicity can provides insight on the political, economic, and social structure of a society. Ethnicity will continue to play a role in conflict as countries become less homogenous. To illustrate this, a 1988 study by Walker Connor of 168 countries, found fewer than 25 percent were considered homogenous societies. The presence of ethnic groups vying for pieces of the political and economic pie can be a decisive element for examining the success of the political elite. The analysts will find few areas of the world where ethnicity does not affect the power base of ruling elite. The analyst is charged with understanding the extent of influence that a given ethnic group may have and its potential to affect the political, economic, and social change in a given society.

**XVI. Interrelationships with Other Systems**

**Culture**

a. **History.** History explains culture as it follows the evolution of society over time. History explains the ways in which a population has adapted to the combination of its natural environment and other forces over time. History catalogs the external and internal influences and events that
condition a society, and records the reactions. History provides the examples of how culture predicted and explained past events.

b. **Physical Environment.** Societal adaptation always includes accommodations or exploitations of the natural environment.

c. **Social.**

(1) **Religion.** Religion and culture overlap, especially where religion is dominant. Religion often exerts social control, prescribes and proscribes behaviors, and embodies perspective and outlook. Religion may form societal groups or establish a social hierarchy. These and other functions of religion are also functions of culture and society.

(2) **Ethnicity.** Again, ethnicity and culture overlap. In some cases, ethnicity and culture are nearly identical.

d. **National Security.** Attitudes about and within militaries are cultural. Militaries form very cohesive secondary groups with exceedingly clear social controls, norms, and behaviors. Acculturation is so thorough and universal in military organizations, that soldiers are predictable over a larger span of behaviors than almost any other secondary group.

e. **Information.** Mass media serves to dilute culture. Soap operas, commercials, movies, and international news all provide examples of people acting successfully in different ways. The portrayed people may accept or receive rewards for behaviors, which are locally unacceptable. They may receive sanctions for doing things, which are locally acceptable.

**Ethnicity**

a. Since ethnicity is based upon religion, or language, or history, or culture and is often maintained or exacerbated by environmental, political, ideological, or economic factors, these must be analyzed in conjunction with ethnicity. For instance socio-economic factors are very important in assessing the volatility of an ethnic environment. When examining an ethnic group within a nation, generally analysts see socio-economic vertical stratification of a sect or ethnic group as a stabilizing factor while horizontal status as a destabilizer. For instance when an ethnic community is represented at all levels of the socio-economic ladder, there is little likelihood of violent conflict but when one socio-economic group occupies the lower end of the socio-economic spectrum, the potential for violence is high. This was the case with the Shia in Lebanon who were looked down upon by both Christian and Sunni Muslim communities, and were virtually powerless at the national level. A rapidly growing Shia community swarming into the cities became ripe for rebellion. “Justice comes from the barrel of the gun” became the slogan for these Shia. This slogan was borrowed from the Palestinians in their 1970’s war against the Israelis, who borrowed it from Mao Tse Tung.
b. The existence of the growing ethnic problem in every area of the world has particular interest for the political-military officer such as the SOF officer. The depth and detail of knowledge will be a much greater requirement in an ethnic conflict. For instance launching a PSYOP campaign, or a civic action project based on an assumption that the Kurds are a monolithic group with common aspirations will be a fatal mistake. And so it is throughout most of the world. Ethnicity is particularly important in the composition of the military. For instance in the United States the Army is composed of almost one-third Black or Hispanic soldiers (as of June 1998). Almost half of the total force originates from the South. Does this have an impact on our goal of an apolitical force? The Lebanese army splintered into three separate factions at the beginning of the civil war in 1976, supporting their confessional factions rather than the nation. The non-Arab or Shia soldiers of Saddam Hussein’s army had little stomach for the war in the Gulf. The bottom line is this. Most nations in the world are multi-ethnic and ethnicity as a force has put stress on the nation state as a viable concept. Can a nation survive and prosper in an environment of divided loyalties, segregated communities, and barely-concealed mutual antagonisms?

XVII. Questions to Consider

The following are questions to consider when analyzing the social systems.

Culture

a. What are the modal personality traits of the people in the area being studied?

b. How does language act as a mirror of the society? Is language a cohesive or divisive element? Does language coincide with class and/or racial divisions?

c. How are the roles of men and women defined in this culture? Is there a major difference between the common people and the elite? To what extent does this affect your study of the culture or more importantly, operating within it?

d. What are the basic values of this society? How do they translate into a worldview?

e. What are the major environmental determinants affecting the shaping of culture in this society?

f. What is the impact of the prevalent cultural attributes on the political system and popular view of government?

g. What is the prevalent view of the individual, vis-à-vis the family and state?

h. What are the significant sub-cultures within the area you are studying?
i. In Weaver's chart, where does this culture fit on each of the scales? Which of these have operational importance in explaining and predicting?

Religion
a. What is communalism? Discuss in the context of the country under study.

b. Discuss how a religion exhibits political influence as structured in the Smith outline, i.e., aspects that exhibit political influence in your area of evaluation.

c. How does religion intersect with political ideology and political systems? How powerful (or weak) is it in the nation under study?

d. How does religion of the region affect the conduct of warfare - if any?

e. To what extent is the religion or religions of the country a unifying, or divisive element?

f. Is the religion compatible with technology and modern science? Is it all encompassing or a general guide?

g. Define the national impact of history on religion and religion on history.

h. What are the general trends in the country, i.e., a move toward secularization or a revival of religious fervor?

i. What is the relationship between the leadership and secular leadership?

j. What influence do religious leaders have over the populace in matters of state?

Ethnicity
a. Define ethnicity. Identify the various ethnic groups in the nation under study. How strong are their loyalties to the central government? What is the central government’s attitude toward minorities?

b. Is ethnicity a danger to nation state and why? If not, why? Could it be exploited?

c. What is the ethnic composition of the military? The officer corps? The soldiers? What is the distribution of ethnic groups throughout the various arms and branches?

d. Does the socio-economic structure break down along ethnic lines? How? Any potential for conflict?

e. Do the ethnic minorities have a neighboring nation of the same minority with an irredentist history?
f. How unified, in terms of culture, language, religion, etc., are the minorities? Are they concentrated in certain geographical areas? Are they dominant in certain occupations? Are these occupations critical to the functioning of the country?

g. In philosophical terms can the nation under study integrate ethnic minority issues within a majoritarian democracy? Will there be some power sharing by the minority?

h. In terms of psychological operations themes, how general can these themes be, i.e., is there one-size fits all appeal or must the appeals be pencil thin in focus? In other words does the national populace respond to the same stimulus or symbols?

i. Does your own ethnic background predispose you to an affinity to one side or the other? Is there a tendency to assign devils and saints to opposing sides in an ethnic conflict, particularly among the media?

XVIII. Research Sources

Sources of Cultural Information

a. Brigham Young University publishes a Culturgram for every country in the world. These are extremely rich and concentrated sources of cultural information.

b. Travel guides available in most bookstores will have introductions, capsules, or whole chapters of cultural information.

c. Tourist bureaus and chambers of commerce of many countries publish cultural hints (usually flattering), often on websites.

d. U.S. embassies will direct you to a culturally savvy office in the country team of most countries. Often this will be USIA, which manages a cultural interchange.

e. Foreign embassies in the United States will publish or direct you to cultural information about their country.

f. Language texts and dictionaries provide great insight into what is important in a culture, especially if the text distinguishes usage from country to country.

g. Foreign books, magazines, newspapers, films radio and television programs provide familiarity with almost all components of the culture under study.

h. Language immersion programs normally include a substantial cultural component.
Cultural Reading

Religious Reading

Ethnicity Reading
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CHAPTER THREE

POLITICAL SYSTEM

I. Political Organizations and the Political System

Introduction

This chapter lays out and defines political systems. The key principles, structures and processes are identified in terms of civil society and discussed in terms of collective interests. Historical precedents are examined to show how these factors shape political tendencies over time in any given region of the world. Key questions include whether and to what extent any given people are “locked in” to their historical political legacy? What forces determine political priorities? Who exercises political power and how can this be measured?

Definitions

Many definitions of key terms will be offered throughout the chapter. However, it would be useful to start by defining some basic terms.

a. **Political System.** A political system is any grouping of primarily civil roles and institutions, both formal and informal, that exercises authority or rule within a specific geographic boundary or organization through the application of various forms of political power and influence. In the global environment political systems refer to non-state as well as state actors (JFCOM).

Those structures and processes that determine with accepted authority who gets how much of the things people value. The parts of the nation-state are part of the political system, but so are structures and processes not part of the nation-state (Mayer, Burnett and Ogden, 1996).

b. **Nation.** A large group of people sharing a common sense of belonging, a common peoplehood. This may include a sense of community (defined below) (Mayer, Burnett and Ogden, 1996).

c. **State.** “The legal entity that exercises sovereign power over a given territory. The state may or may not coincide with the nation” (Mayer, Burnett and Ogden, 1996).

d. **Community.** “A set of individuals, usually a nation as defined above, who share, in addition to a sense of common peoplehood, a set of basic values” (Mayer, Burnett and Ogden, 1996).

e. **Legitimacy.** “Authority which is widely accepted as rightful. This acceptance is above and beyond whether there is of the performance of the
system with regard to particular issues” (Mayer, Burnett and Ogden, 1996).

f. **Sovereignty.** “An essential property of a state that refers to the final or ultimate legitimate power to make and enforce rules for the society” (Mayer, Burnett and Ogden, 1996).

g. **Political effectiveness.** “The ability of a political system to resolve important issues to the satisfaction of the dominant parts of the population so as to minimize challenges to the system itself. Political systems may be effective without necessarily being either democratic or just” (Mayer, Burnett and Ogden, 1996).

II. Political Sub-Systems

**Governmental Organizations**

The following example of a government organization is based on the PMESII system of systems analysis (SoSA) developed by the Joint Forces Command in their work on effects based operations. This organization depicts the average political considerations or areas that a comprehensive analysis of a political system should focus on. This diagram depicts the essential sub-systems that are important or key to the functioning of the overall system, and that make up an average political system. However it should be noted that this is just an example and not a comprehensive model covering all nations of the world. In addition, once the analyst identifies elements of the system, they need to ascertain the strengths, weaknesses, related vulnerabilities and associated components or nodes within the sub-systems.

a. **Formal Government.** Increasingly, civilian governments have become organized on similar lines. Almost all have a parliament or legislature, a chief executive and cabinet in charge of the bureaucracies, and a head of state who is the symbolic leader of the country. Governments differ primarily in how people are chosen to fill these roles and whether there is a separation between the parliament and the chief executive and cabinet, and between the chief executive and the head of state.

(1) **Central government.** Central governments generally consist of:

(a) **Executive branches.** Consists of head of state, advisors, cabinets, ministries, departments, and embassies.

(b) **Legislative branches.** Consists of upper house, lower house, parliaments, etc.

(c) **Judicial branches.** Consists of supreme courts, appeals courts, local courts, special courts.

(2) **State/Local government.** Below a central government, most nations are further divided in state, district, municipal, or some other autonomous or special region. At the higher end, the state or local
governments will tend to mirror in all or part of their structure, the structure the central government with executive, legislative and judicial elements. While at the lower end of the spectrum the governments tend to be organized around meeting basic needs of the people.

b. Informal Government. In addition to the formal government is the information government or groups that while outside of the formal government who still have some influence over the formal government.

(1) Political interest groups. These groups consist of political parties, ethnic groups, religious sects, trade unions, corporations, special interest groups, lobbyists, etc. Basically any group that for whatever reason has a stake in what the government does, and has the population and/or resources to make their voices heard by the formal government.

(2) Regional/International influences. This category consists of other countries who have an interest in or ability to effect policies. International organizations and multinational corporations also fall into this category.

(3) Other non-state actors. This could include groups within or outside the state, such as insurgent movements, terrorist organizations, and criminal organizations that are powerful enough to have influence on the formal government.

III. Approaches to Political Analysis

a. Traditional Approach. The traditional approach to analyzing political systems was to dissect their formal governments or structures. The analysis is focused primarily on the state to exclusion of the nation. The criticisms of this approach state that it focuses too much on the formal governmental institutions, and not enough on the informal institutions, processes and non-governmental groups. (Chilcote, 1994) This shortcoming was especially relevant to “developing nations” as they had less developed formal institutions (i.e., political parties) and more varied governmental structures. However, as the nations of the world have developed more formal political systems that are organized along similar lines, there is renewed interest in the traditional approach.

b. Structural Approach. Structuralists view politics, and indeed most human activity, as a struggle between different groups in the society. They see the society as a hierarchal structure of horizontal groups (e.g., classes, gender, religious groups, ethnic groups, etc.). Structuralists view the political, economic, and cultural systems as means for the group at the top to maintain its position. The state is thus simply a tool of the dominant social group and can only be analyzed in the context of the larger social
structure and politics can only be understood as part of a larger social struggle.

There are various structuralist models, but the Marxian model is the most common. A careful distinction must be made between a Marxian and Marxist approach. The **Marxian** approach is based on the manner in which Marx analyzed political systems and is best exemplified in the less well-known *18th Brumaire*. Thus this approach is based on Marx’s methodology not his conclusions. For instance this approach will see political issues as matters of class conflict, but will not assume that revolution is the only way these conflicts will be resolved. The **Marxist** approach is based on the political ideology (and dogma) of “Marxists” or “Marxist-Leninists”. Generally this approach is based on the predictions of the future contained in *Das Kapital* and the political prescriptions offered in the *Communist Manifesto*.

The Marxian approach focuses on the socio-economic class structure of a nation. It analyzes the state in terms of the role it plays in advancing or protecting the interests of the dominant class. This approach also places great emphasis on the role of the state in economics and on the role of social institutions on supporting the status quo. Chilcote provides an overview of Marxian comparative analysis. (1994)

(1) **Economic Base.**

(a) **Mode of Production.** The forces and relations of production employed by a society at a given time (e.g., feudalism, capitalism, socialism).

(b) **Forces of Production.** The productive capacity generated by the physical capital, human capital and technology possessed by the society.

(c) **Relations of Production.** The division of labor and property rights in the society or how production is organized in the society, particularly with regard to ownership of the means of production.

(d) **Means of Production.** The physical capital, i.e., land, tools, buildings and machinery, that workers use to produce goods.

(2) **Political Superstructure.**

(a) **State.** The legal forms and instruments that maintain class rule.

(b) **Class.** Large groups of people who are distinguished by their relationship to the means of production, and their role in the relations of production.

(c) **Ideology.** Legal, political, religious, and philosophical symbols which support class rule.
(3) Marxism and Class Analysis. Classes need to be identified by their role in the mode of production and thus each society may have a unique set of classes. Any class may be dominant at one point in history and then subordinate in another. Marx identified three classes in terms of their relationship to the means of production:

(a) Workers (Owners of labor). People who do not own means of production, but have only their skills with which to make money. Their bargaining position vis-a-vis other classes depends on the abundance their skills relative to the demand for them.

(b) Landowners (Owners of Fixed Capital). People who own land or more generally any physical means of production which is in finite supply. Membership in this class will be limited by the supply of land. The distribution or redistribution of fixed capital will be highly conflictual since it is by definition a zero sum situation. That is, for one person to gain land, someone else must lose it.

(c) Capitalists (Owners of Reproducible Capital). People who own physical means of production that can reproduced such as machinery, buildings. The supply of this type of capital is not limited and the class may grow.

(4) Dialectics. This is a theory of change based on conflict. The idea is that there is a state of the world (thesis) that generates opposition, which is essentially support for negating the state of the world (antithesis). From this competition, some new state of the world emerges (synthesis).

(5) Accumulation. The accumulation of capital or wealth is the ultimate outcome of economic activity. The size and distribution of the accumulation is highly dependent on both political and economic issues.
c. **Functional or Systems Approach.** Political systems can be analyzed and compared in terms of how they perform certain functions common to all systems. Easton (1953, 1965) modeled a political system in terms of its inputs and outputs. He argued that a political system exists in an environment which places demands upon it and from which it draws support. From these inputs the system generates outputs in the form of decisions and actions. The environment absorbs these outputs and then generates feedback in the form of new demands and support. Figure 3-2 illustrates Easton’s model.

**Figure 3-2. Easton’s System Model**

![Easton’s System Model Diagram]

Almond and Powell built on Easton’s work by focusing on the functions and capabilities of the political system (1966). Their structural-functional approach analyzes the political system in terms of how it performs certain function involved with absorbing inputs (input functions) and generating outputs (output functions). They define politics as activities associated with authoritatively controlling the behavior of a particular set of people within a particular geographic area through means that include the use of coercion (Almond and Powell, 1996, 28).

(1) **Politics is not restricted to the state.** Rather the state is part of a larger political system defined as “...a set of institutions concerned with formulating and implementing collective goals of a society or of groups within it”(Almond and Powell, 1996, 28-29). This definition contains the following three critical concepts:

(a) **System.** An object with moving parts that interacts with its environment.

(b) **Structures.** Institutions set up to carry out specific activities.

(c) **Functions.** Types of activity.

(2) **Structures and functions.** To analyze a system, Almond and Powell use a structural-functional approach. They define a generic political system in terms of the functions it must perform. Particular systems are analyzed by determining what structures perform each function. The functions are broken into three categories and are listed below.
(a) **Process Functions.**

(i) **Interest Articulation.** The expression of demands for policy to change or remain the same.

(ii) **Interest Aggregation.** The transformation of demands into policy alternatives.

(iii) **Policy Making.** Selecting between available policy alternatives.

(iv) **Rule Application.** Implementing a chosen policy.

(v) **Rule Adjudication.** Resolving conflicts that arise from policy.

(b) **System Functions.**

(i) **Socialization.** Teaching values and norms to members of the society.

(ii) **Recruitment.** The selection and inducement of individuals to fill specific roles in the political system.

(iii) **Communication.** The transmission of political information, issues and ideas.

(3) **Political Culture.** As defined, systems exist and interact with their environment. Since politics is a human behavior, the environment is largely understood to be the society in which the political system resides. One of the most relevant attributes of the society is its political culture which Almond and Powell define as the “...collective political attitudes, values, feelings, information and skills of the people in a society...” (Almond and Powell, 1996, 43). The following three aspects of the political culture are of primary importance in analyzing the political system:

(a) **System Propensities.** Acceptance of the system’s values and organization.

(b) **Process Propensities.** Attitudes towards participation (Participant, Subject, Parochial).

(c) **Policy Propensities.** Expectations of government’s goals and methods.

Understanding these propensities among the people in the political system is critical to understanding the demands the society places on the political system and how it evaluates the system’s performance.

**IV. Types of Governments or Political Systems**

Government and political institutions vary widely throughout the world. This is due not only to cultural differences, but also to the different meanings of power, leadership, and administration. Nearly every country in the world is
ruled by a system that combines two or more government types. The following are types of governments or political systems by economic, political, and authoritative terms.

a. **Economic.** The following are economic terms for types of governments.

   (1) **Capitalism.** In a capitalist or free-market economy, people own their own businesses and property and must buy services for private use, such as healthcare.

   (2) **Socialism.** Socialist governments own many of the larger industries and provide education, health and welfare services while allowing citizens some economic choices.

   (3) **Communism.** In a communist state, the government owns all businesses and farms and provides its people’s healthcare, education and welfare.

b. **Political.** The following are political terms for types of governments.

   (1) **Dictatorship.** Rule by a single leader who has not been elected and may use force to keep control. In a military dictatorship, the army is in control. Usually, there is little or no attention to public opinion or individual rights.

   (2) **Totalitarian.** Rule by a single political party. People are forced to do what the government tells them and may also be prevented from leaving the country.

   (3) **Theocracy.** A form of government where the rulers claim to be ruling on behalf of a set of religious ideas, or direct agents of a deity.

   (4) **Monarchy.** A monarchy has a king or queen, who sometimes has absolute power. Power is passed along through the family.

   (5) **Parliamentary.** A parliamentary system is lead by representatives of the people. Each is chosen as a member of a political party and remains in power as long as their party does.

   (6) **Republic.** A republic is led by representatives of the voters. Each is individually chosen for a set period of time.

   (7) **Anarchy.** Anarchy is a situation where there is no government. This can happen after a civil war in a country, when a government has been destroyed and rival groups are fighting for control.

c. **Authoritative.** The following are authoritative terms for how governments are formed and run.
(1) **Revolutionary.** The existing structure is overthrown by a completely new group. The new group can be very small – such as the military – or very large – as in a popular revolution. After a period of time, this becomes another type of government unless there is another coup or uprising.

*A revolution is an act of violence whereby one class shatters the authority of another. James MacGregor Burns.*

(2) **Totalitarian.** Rule by a single political party. Votes for alternative candidates and parties are simply not allowed. Citizens are allowed and encouraged to vote, but only for the government’s chosen candidates.

(3) **Oligarchy / Plutocracy.** A form of government which consists of rule by an elite group who rule in their own interests, especially the accumulation of wealth and privilege. Only certain members of society have a valid voice in the government. This can reflect (but is not limited to) economic interests, a particular religious tradition (theocracy), or familial rule (monarchy).

(4) **Democracy.** In a democracy, the government is elected by the people. Everyone who is eligible to vote – which is a majority of the population – has a chance to have their say over who runs the country.

**V. Governmental Organizations**

**Government Models**

Increasingly, civilian governments of free and open societies have become organized along similar lines. Almost all have a parliament, a chief executive and cabinet in charge of the bureaucracies, and a head of state who is the symbolic leader of the country. Governments differ primarily in how people are chosen to fill these roles and whether there is a separation between the parliament and the chief executive and cabinet, and between the chief executive and the head of state.

a. **Pure Parliamentary Model (British).** In this model the chief executive (usually called the Prime Minister) and cabinet are selected by the majority party or a coalition of parties with a majority of seats in the parliament. The chief executive may or may not be the head of state. If there is a separate head of state, the office is largely ceremonial. In many European nations, the royal family acts as head of state. Ireland elects a President who has virtually no political power. In many commonwealth nations, the Queen (King) of England is the titular head of state and the British Governor General performs many of the day to day functions of head of state.
In the pure parliamentary model, the ruling party’s power is not limited by governmental check and balances but by electoral and party checks. In such systems, if the government fails to win an important vote (i.e., a “vote of confidence”) in the parliament, then the government is dissolved and new elections are called. Thus, the government is restrained by the opposition party and by its own rank and file membership. Otherwise, it has complete control over the state. For this reason, this model is usually chosen by nations with a sense of community such that they don’t fear giving the “other” parties or groups unfettered control of the state.

b. **Mixed Parliamentary Model (French).** Here, the prime minister and cabinet are selected from parliament but a president is elected separately. Generally, the prime minister functions as the chief executive and is in charge of most of the bureaucracies. The ministers of each bureaucracy are chosen from the parliament and continue to serve as members of parliament. The president functions as head of state and has control of the foreign ministry and military. In such systems, the president very often has some influence on parliament (i.e., he or she may be able to dissolve the parliament and call for new elections). A president in such a system may have considerable power to rule directly. He or she may be able to bypass parliament by calling for a referendum on a particular issue. Some presidents have the authority to declare an “emergency” and rule by decree for limited periods of time (usually subject to parliamentary approval after the fact).

In this system, the ruling party has control of both the parliament and bureaucracy, but may or may not have control of the presidency. Thus, the government may be divided with a president from one party, and a prime minister and cabinet from another. In such a case, the division may act as a brake on rapid policy change. However, if there is no majority party in parliament and there is difficulty forming a coalition government, the president may act as a stabilizing influence and source of policy guidance. For this reason, this model has often been chosen by nations with a more divided sense of community such that political turmoil was expected.

c. **Pure Presidential Model (U.S.).** Here the chief executive is directly elected and is, generally, the head of state. The bureaucracies are completely separated from the parliament. The president selects ministers (secretaries) to run the bureaucracies and, while serving, those ministers are not members of parliament (congress). Though presidents in this system have control over executing policy, they have far less formal power than prime ministers in either parliamentary system, and far less discretionary power than presidents in the mixed parliamentary system. However, presidents in the pure presidential model are far more independent of their political parties than are prime ministers. After all,
prime ministers owe their office not to the voters, but to the other members of parliament from their party.

Electoral Models
There two essential components to an electoral system. The first is the selection of candidates from which voters will choose, and the second is the selection of the officeholder from the pool of candidates. All the methods of selection can be characterized by the relative influence of masses versus elites.

a. **Selection of Candidates.** One of the most universal functions of political parties is the selection of candidates for office. In most systems, political parties are allowed to choose candidates by their own internal process. The mass of party members may or may not have a role in selecting the candidate. Petitions and primary elections are the most common means of mass participation in the selection of candidates. More often, the task of selecting candidates is given to the party leadership or governing structure.

b. **Selection of Officeholders.** Though electoral systems vary considerably, there are three predominant models in use around the world.

(1) **Single Member Districts.** In this system, each officeholder represents a geographic region and is selected on an individual basis. Voters in that district pick one candidate, by name, to hold office. In such cases the individual officeholders are beholden to their district’s electorate for their jobs.

Single member district systems can be divided into plurality or “first past the post” systems, and majority or “run-off” systems. In plurality systems, the candidate who gets the most votes, whether or not its a majority of votes, wins. This system is used to elect member of Congress in the US and members of parliament in Britain. This system will generally favor the rise of two predominant political parties over smaller parties. Also the parties in this system will tend to converge towards the center of the political spectrum. As a result there will be less difference between policies of different parties, and more emphasis of personalities than in other systems.

In the majority system, a candidate must receive a majority of the votes cast to win. If no candidate wins a majority, then a run off election between the two lead candidates is held. This system is used in some state governments in the US and to elect the president in France. In this system, small parties can be influential by preventing a candidate from larger parties from winning a majority and then demanding concession in exchange for its support in the run off.

(2) **Proportional Representation.** In this system, multiple are to be selected (i.e., the members of parliament) and the electorate votes for a party not for a candidate. The offices are then distributed among the
parties in proportion to the votes they receive. That is, if a party receives 55% of the votes cast in the nation, it will receive 55% of the seats. The party then selects individuals to fill those seats (or offices). Thus, officeholders do not represent specific regions and they owe their job more to the party than the electorate.

This system favors the rise of more parties than the single member district system. This is because a party that receives 10% of the nationwide vote in a single member district system (especially a plurality based one) will probably never win a seat in the parliament. However, in a proportional representation system, it will receive 10% of the seats. Because geographic distribution of this 10% is irrelevant, party lines will be drawn more along ideological, socio-economic or religious divisions, as opposed to geographic divisions of the electorate. Furthermore, since officeholders are not selected by name, party policy issues are more important to the voters than are the individual officeholder’s views, character, or seniority. This also leads to greater cohesion and discipline within each the party. It also leads to a greater difference in policy position between parties.

(3) **List Systems.** In these systems, the electorate chooses multiple officeholders by name from a list of candidates. Parties may determine what candidates are on list, but the voters choose which candidates hold office. Therefore, unlike the proportional representation system, officeholders are beholden to both the voters and the party. Also, because voters choose officeholders by name, the individual characteristics of the candidate are more relevant in this system. However, unlike the single member district system, in this system, voters may split their vote among two or more parties. Thus third parties, especially those with charismatic candidates, are more likely to rise in this system. However, if the districts are not national, these parties need not be national in their appeal and thus there may be a scattering of “independent” candidates throughout the system. This system is commonly used to elect town or city councils in the US and in China.

**VI. Political Climate**

The political climate refers to the relationship between the citizens and the government. More specifically, to the degree which each influences the other. Thus, the climate is characterized by the nation’s existing level of legitimacy, civil liberty, and democracy. Democracy may be defined as the ability of the citizens to influence government composition and behavior. Liberty may be defined as the inability of the government to interfere in the personal lives of its citizens.

a. **Legitimacy.** Legitimacy is often referred to as the acceptance of a state’s right to make authoritative decisions (Mayer, Burnett, and Ogden 1996).
Thus legitimacy is a matter of perception on the part of individuals and can be measured in terms of its universality (i.e. what percentage of the group accepts the system’s right to make decisions for them). However, an individual may accept a political system’s authority over one issue but not another. Therefore, legitimacy must also be measured in terms of its scope (i.e., the set of issues over which members of the group accept the system’s authority). For instance an individual may accept the government’s authority to collect taxes, conscript for the military, and to convict murders while not accepting its right to determine whom they may marry, what religion they practice or what they wear.

Strictly speaking, legitimacy is a normative concept based on the population’s judgments of what is right and wrong for the state, or other elements of the political system, to decide. That is to say, legitimacy is the range of issues over which the people think the state should decide, not the issues over which it actually does decides. Therefore when looking to measure legitimacy, one must look at people’s attitudes towards the government (and/or actions which reflect that attitude) rather than at the government’s actions or inactions. To understand the source of a government’s legitimacy, one must consider the factors which would weigh on an individual’s judgment, i.e., ideology, religion, culture, the government’s political effectiveness, and the presence and perceived effectiveness of available alternative political systems.

b. **Liberty.** Liberty is the individual’s actual freedom from governmental influence. Very often it is defined in terms of the issues which an individual is free to chose for himself (i.e., what religion to practice, what job to have, what clothes to wear, what to think, whether or not to wear a seat belt, etc.). At one extreme there is anarchy where individuals are free to decide all issues for themselves. Though anarchy comes at the cost of safety and security provided by a government. At the other extreme is totalitarianism, where individuals have little or no freedom, and limited choices. True theoretical extremes are rare in reality, but have been examined in works of literature such as William Golding’s *Lord of the Flies* and George Orwell’s *1984*. In between these extremes, states have various ranges of issues over which individuals have freedom to choose and this range is the nation’s liberality.

Unfortunately, liberaly is not a linear function between two extremes. For instance, in the West women are free to drive cars, but must wear seat belts; while in some countries women may not drive cars, but are free to not wear seat belts while riding in them. Determining which of these countries is more liberal depends on which of these issues one thinks is more important. From a Western point of view, the comparison is ridiculous, but from another culture’s point view, it may be very apt. Thus, measuring liberty in a meaningful way depends upon the normative point of view of the person doing the measuring. This does not mean that
one can’t measure liberty, but that the measure will not be objective or universally accepted.

c. **Democracy.** At its roots, democracy means the involvement of the mass public in government. Given the interpretation of political systems as processes, scholars have typically defined democracy in procedural terms. Schmitter and Karl (1996) offer the following definition:

> Modern political democracy is a system of governance in which rulers are held accountable for their actions in the public realm by citizens, acting indirectly through the competition and cooperation of their elected representatives. (50)

There are several key aspects of this definition.

(1) First, it places an emphasis on **rulers** being accountable as opposed to being selected by the citizenry.

(2) Second, it requires that the **accountability** be in the public realm so that the accounting is acknowledged and visible.

(3) Third, it requires that the **accountability** be to the citizens at large where citizens are understood to be most of the adult population.

(4) Fourth, it allows that **rulers** will be indirectly, and thus imperfectly, accountable through a competitive political process.

**VII. Predicting Political Outcomes**

Most models of political systems seek to explain or describe the systems origins, structure and/or function. Few, however, seek to predict the outcome of the system’s decision making apparatus. Thus, these models are good at explaining how a past decision was made, but not at predicting future decisions. However, there are models that forecast political decisions in most types of political systems. The most widely used of these is the Median Voter Model.

a. **Median Voter Theorem.** When voting by simple majority over a single issue, if the policy alternatives can be ordered from left to right and voters are placed on this spectrum according to the location of their ideal policy, then the policy of the median or middle voter will be chosen.

Bueno de Mesquita (1984, 1996; Bueno de Mesquita, Newman and Rabushka, 1985) has used this theorem to create a model for forecasting political outcomes. Though it is a “voting” model it has been applied to non-democratic as well as democratic decision making bodies. There are four steps in using the model as listed below. To use the model:
(1) **Stakeholders.** Identify all **stakeholders.** A stakeholder is defined as any group with an interest in the decision. The premise here is that any group that stands to lose or gain from a decision may try to influence that decision. The model takes a broad view of the political system in that stakeholders do not need to be part of the formal political system. They just have to be effected by the outcome.

(2) **Position.** Identify each **stakeholder’s position.** Each stakeholder’s ideal policy on each issue under consideration must be identified. The stakeholders are then placed on a policy spectrum (i.e., a line) according to their position.

(3) **Influence.** Determine each **stakeholder’s influence.** The next step is to determine each stakeholder’s influence over the decision making process relative to the others. When predicting the outcome of democratic a decision making process, the percentage of votes each group controls is usually a good measure. For other processes, an estimate is made about how much influence each group has relative to that of the others.

The information about influence is used to “weight” each group according to it’s influence. Starting from the one side of the policy spectrum each group’s influence is added up to produce the cumulative distribution. The group or groups whose ideal policy is at the point where the distribution is equal to 50% is the median party.

(4) **Salience.** Determine each **stakeholder’s salience.** A stakeholder’s salience is the degree to which a particular policy issue is important to the group. Each group’s salience is considered to determine how much effort they will expend to achieve their ideal outcome on a particular issue.

b. **Model’s Conclusions.** There are several important points to take from this model. First, control over policy is as much a matter of position as power. Groups with seemingly little political power may determine the outcome by virtue of the position they hold vis a vis the other groups. Groups with a large share of the political resources may be ineffectual because their policies are too extreme. Second, the median is a relative position based on the positions and power of all the groups. Thus the median position is not necessarily a centrist position. If a group, or set of groups, on one end of the country’s political spectrum held 50% or more of the political resources, then they would be the median voter. Third, because groups hold different positions on different policies, the median group changes with each issue. However, there are usually one or two issues that dominate the political system, and the position of groups on these issues determines what coalitions are formed.
VIII. Ideology and the Political System

Introduction

How nations, societies, and people interact with one another is strongly influenced by the values they hold dear. These values provide a sense of right and wrong that contributes to the development of commonly accepted goals and interests. An essential element in any societal value system is its ideology. An ideology can serve to integrate communities, to advance the position of a particular group, and to strengthen the resolve of the people to change social, economic, and political systems.

People with the same ideas about the world, our society, and its values band together. We are attracted by those with similar values and ideas, who like the same things we do, who have prejudices similar to ours, and who, in general, view the world in the same way we do. We talk of “like-minded” people, individuals who share certain beliefs and tend to congregate—in clubs, churches, political parties, movements, various associations, and so on. No matter how independent we claim to be, we all are influenced by ideas. We are sensitive to appeals made to us—to our honor, patriotism, family, religion, pocketbook, race, or class—and we can all be manipulated and aroused. We are creators and creatures of ideas, of ideologies, and through them, we manipulate others or are ourselves manipulated. (Macridis, 1996)

Definitions

There are as many definitions of ideology as there are ideologies. How the concept is defined depends in large measure on what’s being accomplished.

a. For analytical purposes, ideology is an emotion-laden, myth-saturated, action-oriented belief system accepted largely on faith as truth or fact by a group or people. It is composed of a set of attitudes toward the various institutions and processes of a society. By emotive symbols, it economically and efficiently spells out what is valued and what is not, what must be maintained and what must be changed. It provides believers with a particular picture of the world both as it is and as it should be.

Additionally, some ideologies may have established rules or behavior that believers must follow to obtain and sustain this new picture of the world. In so doing, it organizes the tremendous complexity of the world into something fairly simple and understandable. This world-view is normally skewed, thus overemphasizing one or a few aspects of society while slighting or ignoring others. In contrast to philosophy, theory, and religion—which are concerned with knowledge and understanding or with personal, private matters--ideology incites people to and provides the basic
framework for social and political action. In this sense, it is a “mobilized belief system” (Sargent, 1996, 2-3; Macridis, 1996, 8).

b. Maxwell Taylor, Chair of Applied Psychology at University College in the United Kingdom, has applied a **behavioral** approach to his definition of ideology.

*Ideology provides the linkage for people to unite under a common dimension and provides the framework for particular kinds of people to come together. Geographically or racially based ideology might be termed nationalism and uses, as its principal attribute of both membership and concern, reference to that geographical or racial grouping. Ideology might also link together, however, more conceptually defined groups; religious ideology, for example, addresses a very broad spectrum of people - class-based religious ideology would be one example of this. In this sense, therefore, ideology defines a group to which the member can belong. It might be an ill defined conceptual group, like the member of a class, or it might be a very particular physical group, like membership of a church or club, that meets regularly and provides a focus for social activity. (Taylor, 89)*

c. **Philosophies verses Ideology.** One of the most important issues to consider when it comes to analyzing an ideology is that the analyst must be able to distinguish between a philosophy and an ideology. **Philosophies** tend to be profound, personal, and encourage introspection. An **ideology** on the other hand is the tool from which a philosophical belief becomes realization.

Five points to remember in defining and analyzing an ideology are:

1. An ideology is a political term.
2. It consists of a view of the present and the future.
3. It is action-oriented.
4. It is directed at the masses.
5. And it is usually explained in simple terms so that it can be understood by all.

**Review of Literature**

Three sources were useful in the construction of this chapter.

a. *Political Ideologies: Their Origins and Impact*, by Leon P. Baradat provides a comprehensive, chronological survey of the most important political ideologies within the context of significant social, economic,
political, technological, historical, and contemporary events. It prepares readers to understand and relate the various political ideologies to the general political values of the left, the mainstream, and the right as they appear in contemporary political events and issues. The author assists the observer to see clearly how political theory applies to his own life.

b. *Contemporary Political Ideologies: Movements & Regimes*, Roy C. Macridis and Mark Hulliung, features lucid accounts of the political ideas that define the most important political controversies of our time. Professor Mark Hulliung has prepared a timely revision of the late Roy Macridis’s leading text. Five chapters are new to this edition. This sixth edition offers more current analysis of fascism, nationalism, Marxism, anarchism, a historically informed view of multiculturalism and its impact around the world, and a case study of the student movements in the 1960’s which shaped the politics of an entire generation now in power. Throughout the text they invite readers to enlarge their understanding of contemporary political controversies by comparing America to European countries.

c. *Contemporary Political Ideologies: A Comparative Analysis*, by Lyman Tower Sargent. This book introduces the dominant (and some of the minor) political ideologies of the modern world. Besides providing a comparative study of nationalism, democracy, feminism, Marxism, and Islam, Sargent also introduces readers to such ideologies as anarchism, liberation theology, environmentalism, fascism, and national socialism. The result is a thorough exploration of how political ideologies affect the way people think and governments act. Through his comparative approach, Sargent looks at each ideology from a variety of perspectives - presenting the arguments, positions, and counter-positions of each, including its roots in current political debates. The book emphasizes the link between recent world events and political ideologies by including updated coverage of the impact of nationalism, democracy, Islam, feminism, and the Green Movement.

IX. **Types of Ideologies**

The types of ideologies are similar to types of political systems, in part because political systems are based on ideologies. The following list of ideologies are less extensive than the previously stated list of political systems, but cover the most common ideologies currently practiced.

a. **Totalitarianism.** A totalitarian regime or state attempts to control nearly every aspect of personal, economic, and political life. Benito Mussolini was the first to use the word totalitarian to describe his dictatorship positively. Although it could have been used just as easily to describe the Soviet Union that shared similar tendencies toward a complete restructuring and regimentation of society. Totalitarian governments prohibit all activities contrary to the regime's goals of either a radical
restructuring of society to create a new economic order, institute racism, or reconstitute human nature through fundamentalist religion, or some combination of these. The concept of totalitarianism encapsulates the characteristics of a number of twentieth-century regimes that mobilized entire populations in support of the state or an ideology. The gradation from “authoritarian” to “totalitarian” has no sharp delineation. Typically, the brutality of the regime marks the distinction, and that criterion itself has its gradations. Some dictatorships are less brutal than others, even if they share many similarities of ideology.

b. **Authoritarianism.** The term authoritarian is used to describe an organization or a state which enforces strong and sometimes oppressive measures against the population, generally without attempts at gaining the consent of the population. In an authoritarian state, citizens are subject to state authority in many aspects of their lives, including many that other political philosophies would see as matters of personal choice. There are, however, various degrees of authoritarianism; and even democratic countries have shown inclinations to authoritarianism in some respects. Authoritarianism is distinguished from totalitarianism both in degree and scope, authoritarian administration or governance being less intrusive and, in the case of groups, not necessarily backed by the use of force. For example, the Roman Catholic Church can be accurately described as authoritarian; however, in modern times it lacks the means to use force to enforce its edicts and is not a totalitarian establishment.

c. **Democracy verses Republicanism.**

(1) **Democracy.** The term democracy indicates a form of government where all the state's decisions are exercised directly or indirectly by a majority of its citizenry through a fair elective process. When these factors are met a government can be classified as such. This can apply to a multitude of government systems as these concepts transcend and often occur concomitantly with other types.

(2) **Republic.** In a broad definition a republic is a state or country that is led by people who do not base their political power on any principle beyond the control of the people living in that state or country. This definition covers most of the specific definitions that are (or were) used to characterize republics, but leaves much of the striking differences between states/countries that can in some way be called republics unexplained.

d. **Socialism.** Socialism is an ideology that, at its most elemental, is defined by the belief that a society could exist in which individuals control the means of power, and therefore the means of production, and are not subjected to the ownership, control, or power structures of others. In application, however, the de facto meaning of socialism has evolved and
branched to a great degree, and though highly politicized, is strongly related to the establishment of an organized working class, created through either revolution or social evolution, with the purpose of building a classless society. It has also, increasingly, become concentrated on social reforms within modern democracies. This concept and the term Socialist also refer to a group of ideologies, an economic system, or a state that exists or has existed. In Marxist theory, it also refers to the society that would succeed capitalism, and in some cases develop further into communism. Marxism and communism are both very specific branches of socialism. The two do not represent socialism as a whole. In modern socialist theory, it is in the pursuit of the goal of creating a democratic society that would form the backbone of an ideal welfare state.

e. **Communism.** Communism is a term that can refer to one of several things: a social and economic system, an ideology which supports that system, or a political movement that wishes to implement that system. As a theoretical social and economic system, communism would be a type of egalitarian society with no state, no privately owned means of production, no money and no social classes. In communism, all property is owned cooperatively and collectively, by the community as a whole, and all people have equal social and economic status and rights. Theoretically, under communism, human need or advancement is not left unsatisfied because of poverty, and is rather solved through distribution of resources as needed. This is thus often the system proposed to solve the problem of the capitalist poverty cycle. Perhaps the best known maxim of a communist society is "From each according to his ability, to each according to his need." This economic model is also referred to as a gift economy.

f. **Fundamentalism (Religious).** In many ways religious fundamentalism is a modern phenomenon, characterized by a sense of embattled alienation in the midst of the surrounding culture, even where the culture may be nominally influenced by the adherents' religion. The term can also refer specifically to the belief that one's religious texts are infallible and historically accurate, despite contradiction of these claims by modern scholarship. Many groups described as fundamentalist often strongly object to this term because of the negative connotations it carries, or because it implies a similarity between themselves and other groups, which they find objectionable.

(1) Although the term fundamentalist is generally used as a perjorative to refer to fringe religious group, or extremist ethnic movements with only nominally religious motivations; the term does have a more precise denotation. "Fundamentalist" describes a movement to return specifically to the belief that one's religious texts are infallible and historically accurate, despite contradiction of these claims by modern scholarship. Many groups described as fundamentalist often strongly object to this term because of the negative connotations it carries, or because it implies a similarity between themselves and other groups, which they find objectionable.
which it originally arose, on the basis that fundamental principles upon which the larger religious group is supposedly founded have become corrupt or displaced by alternative principles hostile to its identity.

(2) This formation of a separate identity is deemed necessary on account of a perception that the religious community has surrendered its ability to define itself in religious terms. The "fundamentals" of the religion have been jettisoned by neglect, lost through compromise and inattention, so that the general religious community's explanation of itself appears to the separatist to be in terms that are completely alien and fundamentally hostile to the religion itself. Fundamentalist movements are therefore founded upon the same religious principles as the larger group, but the fundamentalists more self-consciously attempt to build an entire approach to the modern world based on strict fidelity to those principles, to preserve a distinctness both of doctrine and of life.

X. Elements of Ideology

Themes

To analyze an ideology, look at its scope, its focus, and how it addresses critical societal issues.

a. Scope. The scope of ideological goals may be limited (e.g., women's rights, pro-life/pro-choice) or holistic (e.g., Marxism-Leninism, Confucianism). Similarly, ideologies may be social, economic, or religious in nature. However, regardless of scope, all ideologies will have a political component, since it is generally through the political system, or by its downfall, that ideological goals are achieved.

b. Focus. Next, determine the ideology’s focus. Identify the crucial component that must be present, or developed as a prerequisite for achieving the ultimate goal that the ideology establishes. For example, a religious-based ideology will stress man's relationship with his deity and will exhort adherents to mold society to meet the deity's desires. Similarly, fascism stresses the supremacy of the State; Marxism-Leninism-Maoism, society as a whole; Confucianism, the family; and democracy, the individual.

c. Addressing Societal Issues. The focus and scope of an ideology will determine how it will address major questions or themes:

(1) The role and the nature of the individual in a society. Is there such a thing as human nature? If so, is it good or bad? Should it be developed or restrained? Do people have natural, inalienable, “human” rights? Is there such a thing as a person outside the group (tribe, state, or party), or is man strictly a social being? Is the individual supreme or is the group?
(2) The **nature of truth** and how it can be discovered. Is truth unchanging? Can it be known to all, or only to an elite to whom the rest of us must submit? Has the truth already been discovered? Is there more?

(3) The **relationship between the individual and the group**. Some social scientists have no concept of individuality and see an individual as part of the group. As a member of a group, an individual is helpless without the protection and cohesiveness of the group. Other scientists believe that the group is made up of individuals and must submit to individual rule when it comes to property rights and security.

(4) **Political authority**, which is related to the role of the individual and the nature of truth. An elitist will say that authority is important and that those who are privy to the truth must be obeyed. Those who accord supremacy to the individual and feel that all can find the truth will say that political authority must be limited so as to allow for full expression and participation by the individual.

(5) **Property rights versus personal rights**. Which is more important, material and economic equality, or legal and political equality? How does mankind resolve the inherent conflict between liberty (which allows for aggregation of property), and equality (which says all should get their fair share)? Is “equality of opportunity” sufficient and, if so, what exactly does it mean? Does property include such things as education, health, access to transportation and communication, unemployment benefits, etc.? Are freedoms negative or positive? Which freedoms are most important; e.g., freedom from hunger vis-a-vis freedom of religion?

**Functions**

The follow are three basic functions of ideology.

a. Ideology can **legitimize or delegitimize a political regime**. It can protect or restore a political system, or change or destroy it. It can rationalize the status quo, or challenge it. It may also justify the imposition of one political order over another deemed inferior, or can defend the right of a group to autonomy or self-determination in establishing its own order free of outside interference.

b. Ideology can **enhance or weaken social stability**. It can bind a community together, or rend it apart. A model proposed by Roy Macridis suggests four criteria to help identify an ideology (1996).

(1) **Coherence**. Look at the overall scope of the ideology, its internal logic and structure. How does it view the social, political, and economic systems in the society? Does it have clear goals and
strategies? Does it have several goals or just one or two? What type of movement or party does it call for?

(2) **Pervasiveness.** How long has it been around? How has it changed over time? How does it differ among groups or among societies?

(3) **Extensiveness.** How many people believe in it? Who are they? Does it cut across class, ethnic, and regional lines or is it limited?

(4) **Intensity.** The degree and depth of its appeal. Does it call for rigid discipline among its adherents? What levels of commitment, loyalty, and determination are demanded? In many cases, a highly motivated, disciplined minority may be more powerful (or more threatening) than a large apathetic majority.

c. In addition to these criteria there are other considerations.

(1) Does a single ideology dominate an entire society? Generally, there are numerous ideological influences at work in all societies. To understand the ideological influences in a given society, be sure to look at all the ideologies at work in it.

(2) If there is more than one ideology in any society, it follows that people in that society will be influenced simultaneously by more than one ideology (e.g., Confucian Marxists). As if this were not enough cause for confusion, keep in mind that people will not always act in accordance with the ideology they espouse. An ideology inclines people to act in a certain way; not dictate that they will.

(3) Finally, no single ideology is monolithic, no matter how coherent it may seem to be. There will always be factions and disagreement among believers as to the “true meaning of the word.” Which, if nothing else, will cause the thrust of the ideology to change over time.

**Spectrum**

A convenient way to categorize ideologies or factions is to determine where the ideology falls on a political spectrum (Figure 3-3). It is this technique that is used in classifying a group or ideology as ‘left” or “right.” These terms come from French royal political tradition where those members of the French court who generally supported the monarch sat to his right and those opposed sat to his left.

a. **Differences.** We can use the political spectrum technique to look at differences:

(1) **Among ideologies** in the abstract (e.g., Jeffersonian democracy verses communism).
(2) **Within an ideology** (e.g., communism in the former Soviet Union, verses Albania, Italy, El Salvador, etc.).

(3) **Among ideologies** in a single society or country.

(4) **Within one ideology** or ideological party or group.

b. Political Spectrum. The political spectrum acts as a **tool to determine the attitudes** of the group or ideology about the desirability, direction, depth, speed, and method of change. Those people who fall toward the ends of the spectrum tend to advocate extensive, pervasive, rapid change through whatever means necessary, including violence. Similarly, those toward the middle of the spectrum tend to promote moderate, incremental change through generally legal and/or nonviolent means, or no change at all.

**Figure 3-3. Political Spectrum**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Progressive Change</th>
<th>Regressive Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Radical</td>
<td>Reactionary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberal</td>
<td>Conservative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Status Quo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Right</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

c. **Explanation.** Moving from left to right across the spectrum, several familiar and generally misunderstood labels can be noted. Keep in mind that these labels are simply tools of analysis and are not intended to be either complimentary or pejorative. Similarly, where an individual, group, or ideology falls along the spectrum will be determined by the context within which we are working.

A liberal in the U.S. may be a flaming radical in another country, while a conservative in one party may be a reactionary in another. Furthermore, keep in mind that a person may hold liberal views on one issue and conservative views on another. Finally, where someone lies on the spectrum may change over time as society changes.

d. **Description.** With these caveats, let us now define our labels.

(1) **Radical.** A radical is someone who is extremely dissatisfied with the social, political, and/or economic system. They favor revolutionary change that is profound and fundamental. This does not mean that
they advocate violence, though some radicals – those on the far left of the spectrum – do. Mohandas K. Gandhi and Martin Luther King are examples of nonviolent radicals.

(2) **Liberal.** A liberal is someone who is generally satisfied with the basic structure of society, but sees substantial room for improvement. He tends to favor rapid, far-reaching change, usually instituted within the framework of the law.

(3) **Moderate.** A moderate is essentially pleased with the system, but sees that some things can and should be changed. These changes are minor adjustments accomplished by using existing procedures.

(4) **Conservative.** A conservative is most content with the status quo. While their viewpoint is progressive (as opposed to retrogressive), they do not want a lot of change. They look suspiciously on solutions that discard tried and true techniques for new and innovative procedures. A conservative values social, political, and economic order over change. This does not mean they are against change, only that they feel it should be done cautiously.

(5) **Reactionary.** A reactionary is someone who advocates retrogressive change as opposed to progressive change. They harkens back to a bygone era and wants to revive the “good old days.” As with radicals, reactionaries are not necessarily violent, though those at the far right may well be so. For example, Confucianism is a reactionary ideology that views violence with distaste. On the other hand, several white supremacist movements in the U.S. hold an ideology that not only condones violence, but also encourages it. To reiterate, people who fall at the extremes of both ends of the spectrum will call for deep, rapid, sometimes violent change, although the types of change and its objectives may differ.

(6) **Fanatic.** An additional term that does not appear on the political spectrum, but can fall at either end of the spectrum, is that of the fanatic. Contemporary use of this term describes an individual or group, whose behavior is excessive to the point of being considered abnormal. It implies a focused and highly personalized interpretation of the world. In a political sense, it is behavior which is strongly influenced and controlled by ideology. The influence of ideology is such that it excludes, or attenuates other social, political or personal forces that might be expected to control and influence behavior (Taylor, 1991, x).

e. **Categories of Ideologies.** In looking at the political spectrum the analyst can place ideologies into three broad categories:
(1) **Status quo** ideologies wish to keep and defend the current economic, social, and political order within a given society.

(2) **Radical or revolutionary** ideologies suggest major changes to the existing social, economic, and political order.

(3) **Reformist** ideologies fall between the status quo and radical or revolutionary ideologies.

f. **Chronological.** It is important to understand that categorization of ideology is chronological. Communism in the Soviet Union was revolutionary in the beginning, but once it established itself it sought to maintain the status quo. One generalization to remember is that any ideological movement that challenges the status quo will more than likely use force to promulgate change in the social, economic, or political system.

XII. Leadership and the Political System

**Introduction**

Even though it personifies countries when statement such as “Russia announced today that . . .” or “Egypt today took action to . . .,” are made, these are the action of or influenced by leaders. Accordingly, explaining and predicting the leader's actions help to explain and predict the country's actions.

> Divorced from ethics, leadership is reduced to management and politics to mere technique. James MacGregor Burns

**Definitions**

a. **Leadership.** Put simply, leadership is the capacity or ability to lead others, or to exercise power and authority. In a context of competition and conflict, people with motives, purposes and resources mobilize other people in order to realize goals independently or mutually held by both leaders and followers. (Burns, passim.) Leadership may be legal, illegal, or extralegal.

b. **Power.** Power is the ability to mobilize or immobilize people to produce intended results for particular purposes. The exercise of power may be legal, illegal, or extralegal. It relates to the purpose or goal of the power-
wielder only. There are five basic types of power, each derived from a different basis of which the follower accepts the leader’s authority. The five types of power and their respective bases are:

1. **Legitimate Power.** A formal right to demand compliance from subordinates.

2. **Reward Power.** Access and mediation of instrumental rewards others value (money, information, positions, etc).

3. **Referent power.** Influence stemming from one's affective regard (charisma and attractiveness), inspirational appeals (priest, etc.), or identification with, another person in power position.

4. **Expert Power.** Based on expertise, competence, information and knowledge - rational persuasion.

5. **Coercive Power.** Based on fear and the ability to punish and others fear of punishment.

c. **Authority.** Authority is the legitimate exercise of power. When people recognize the right of a person or group to wield power over them, even to the point of using force, then that person or group has authority. Authority may be acquired through special training, conferred by virtue or one's position, or both. Army officers, teachers, and policemen all have authority, even though many of them may not be leaders per se. Again, concern for effects is largely one-way.

**XIII. Relationship of Leadership, Power, and Authority**

**Exercising Leadership**

Leadership transcends power and authority because it incorporates the goals and aspirations of the subordinate as well as the leader.

a. **Responses.** The expected response from the led conditions the behavior of the leader. The leader may be able to mobilize others for their own purpose, but more often they are “merely adept at identifying themselves with the conditioned will of the crowd and identifying for the crowd its own purposes.” (Galbraith, 44-45.)

b. **Goals and Objectives.** The leader articulates, defines, or creates goals and objectives for the followers. They may do this by making the follower’s goals their own, their goals the goals of the followers, or both. They may arouse latent attitudes and desires, or give rise to new ones. Leaders can establish an identity for the crowd, showing its members what they have in common (e.g., Mao and the Chinese peasant). In so doing, the leader can change an amorphous mass of people into a powerful political force.
c. **Values.** Effective leadership tends to appeal to higher, more general and comprehensive values that will embody the more fundamental, mundane needs of the follower.

Types of Leadership
James MacGregor Burns distinguishes between two types of leadership.

a. **Transactional Leadership.** The leaders and followers exchange something for something (e.g., lower taxes for political support, cooperation and obedience for job promotion). The exchange may be on a political, economic, or psychological level. This is the kind of leadership the vast majority of leaders exercise. It is also the kind of leadership most military officers exercise (Burns 257-397).

b. **Transformational Leadership.** The transformational leader looks for potential motives and attempts to engage the full power of the follower, satisfying the follower’s higher needs, and raising him to a higher level of motivation and morality. This leader acts as a moral agent and may even be “inspirational.” This leadership is symbiotic, and considers the needs of the follower. While transformational leadership is rare, it is what most military officers like to believe they are exercising. Other scholars feel that is all the transformational leader has to do to be “transformational” is to transform his followers, for good or ill (Burns 141-254).

c. **Transactional verses Transformational Leadership.** Although a transformational leader must also engage in transactional leadership, someone who is adept at one may not be at the other. A good transactional leader may be better able to hold his position through good management practices than a transformational leader. While a transformational leader, who rises through sheer force of personality may have difficulty managing the day-to-day issues of his position.

d. **Other Types of Leaders.** Another way to look at types of leaders is similar to the examination of types of power.

   (1) **Dominant Leader.** High dominance drive – high status drive

   (2) **Ambitious Professional.** Low dominance drive – high status drive

   (3) **Informal Influencer.** High dominance drive – low status drive

   (4) **Reluctant Leader.** Low dominance drive – low status drive

e. **Category of leadership.** We can also examine leaders by category of leadership, or by types of governments they lead: charismatic, military junta, puppet/figure head, dictator, oligarchy, monarch, etc.
Culture and Leadership

Social and cultural contexts can help further explain leadership. Culture plays a role in shaping the needs, values, and personalities of leaders and followers alike. What, in each culture, confers the mantle of leadership?

a. **Accomplishing Goals.** To accomplish their goals, the leader must direct the behavior of others. How leaders do this will vary greatly among societies. Cultural norms create expectations and judgments regarding the appropriate behavior of leaders and followers. These norms may be mystical or religious, practical or technical. Since these norms differ in any given society, political leaders don't travel well across cultural borders.

A leader(s) in one culture may be popular and effective, and may even have influence in other societies. However, it is unlikely that the leader will be able achieve true leadership status outside their own culture. For instance, Gandhi had great influence within and outside India. Martin Luther King was reported to have been influenced by Gandhi and shaped his movement on Gandhi’s non-violence civil disobedience. Yet it is unlikely that Gandhi would have been able to come to the U.S. or any other country, and achieve actual leadership status. Leaders may also come to power in spite of cultural obstacles; e.g., Indira Gandhi of India, Bhutto of Pakistan, and Sirimavo Bandaranaike of Sri Lanka.

b. **Sources of Leadership.** The culture helps determine the centers and sources of leadership in the society. These may include interest groups, government bureaucracy, military, media, political parties, trade unions, universities, or religious organizations. Some will support the current ruling authorities and some will oppose it. Some will be legal or non-governmental, others will be illegal or extralegal.

c. Within and among these centers an analyst can discern the:

(1) **Mode of distribution.** The formal numerical distribution of leadership roles.

(2) **Mode of mobilization.** The means available to leaders for carrying out their roles.

(3) **Means of allocation.** The types of leadership opportunities that exist, the purposes and methods of training new leaders, and how individuals and groups gain access to leadership positions (Rosen in Kellerman, 39-42).
XIV. Aspects of Leadership

Becoming a Leader

There are at least six ways that people can assume positions of leadership either singularly or in combinations.

a. **Inheritance.** This is common historically through feudal or monarchistic societies. Where this phenomenon occurs today, it is more common in dictatorships or clannish societies.

b. **Force or Threat of Force.** This is the military coup or rebellion, or when a outside power defeats a leader and installs a leader more their liking.

c. **Ideological Appeal.** India’s Gandhi is a good example, as would be Hitler or maybe Lenin.

d. **Foreign Support and Assistance.** This may be through economic assistance, political pressure, etc. It may also be through a foreign actor’s use of force imposing a leader.

e. **Starting One's Own Organization.** This occurs when the needs of the leader and their followers are not being met through existing organizations. Leaders who achieve power via this mechanism usually do so in times of crisis and with much charisma.

f. **Institutional Selection.** In this most common track, the position and duties are institutionally formalized. The leader may ascend through the ranks, or may rise quickly through achievement or personality. It is not assumed to be a democratic process since communist parties and the military have undemocratic selection processes as well.

Understanding of Leadership

All of the great leaders have had one characteristic in common: it was the willingness to confront unequivocally the major anxiety of their people in their time. This, and not much else, is the essence of leadership. John Kenneth Galbraith, U.S. economist “The Age of Uncertainty”

Leadership can be better understood if looked at as a system where the leader, in conjunction with the follower, mobilizes resources and means to accomplish goals for particular purpose within an environment of conflict. The following model reveals several aspects of leadership.

a. **Leadership is Purposeful.** Naturally, the purpose must meet the needs of the leader and followers alike once it is achieved.

b. **Leadership is Goal Oriented.** Leaders point a direction and tell how objectives are to be accomplished.
c. **Leadership is Causative.** Leaders affect social relations and political institutions, if only to maintain them. The leader tries to bring about change. Good leaders bring about intended rather than unintended change.

d. **Leadership is Dissenting.**

   (1) Leaders overcome obstacles in the environment to accomplish goals. Without obstacles, his followers could accomplish their goals on their own. Obstacles include opposition groups, laws, scarce resources, and cultural constraints.

   (2) Conflict also exists between leader and follower. Followers have goals and agendas, which may not fit snugly with those of the leader. Few will agree with their leaders on all issues. Leaders must reconcile divergent groups and resolve conflicts among their various constituencies. This is especially true in political parties.

   (3) Conflicts may also arise between party bureaucrats who want to serve party interests, and government officials who are party members but who feel a responsibility to serve the needs of a larger constituency.

e. **Leadership is Social.**

   (1) In the symbiotic relationship between the follower and the leader, the leader fulfills some need for the follower, who then consents to follow. Two or more followers constitute a group. Individuals join groups to add their voice to others with similar ambitions, gaining access to a wider audience. Through the group, the individual converts personal effort into social action. Leaders, then, must not only meet individual needs, but also group needs.

   (2) Leadership does not have to be governmental, but it is institutional; e.g., Lech Walesa or Desmond Tutu. However, it is not enough for a leader to meet the needs and wants of large numbers of followers. The leader must also be aware that in doing so they reorganize the needs and goals of the followers, creating new wants and needs in the process. They must then be prepared to help formulate those new demands as well and to meet them. This is done through an organization.

**Organization in Leadership**

The organization is one of the most important source of power for leaders in modern society. Behind every great leader is a supportive organization.

a. **Organization.** Usually, the person known as a “strong” leader not only has a dominant personality and other personal “leadership” traits, but also a strong group or coalition of groups behind them. Similarly, a “weak leader” is generally one whose supporters are few or poorly united.
Obviously, personality is closely related. A leader with a strong personality will draw large numbers of people to themselves. This in turn may allow the leader to garner more influence especially if they can incorporate these people into their organization (or a new organization).

b. **Subordinates.** Subordinate leaders are a significant part of an organization. Each leader deals with subordinate leaders who have needs, goals, and resources of their own. Second and third echelon leaders are a significant part of the leadership system. A change in subordinate leadership may signal a change in policy. It may also be a source of unintended change because of the way the new subordinate implements policy. Moreover, the type of subordinates a leader has can provide some insight into the type of person the leader is. First-rate leaders surround themselves with first-rate subordinates, while second-rate leaders surround themselves with third-rate subordinates.

c. **Ostensible Leader.** The ostensible leader may not really be in charge of an organization. In governments for instance, decisions are usually made collectively. One particular leader may get the credit or the blame for a policy or law, but politics is by and large a team sport. The collective nature of governmental leadership makes it difficult to distinguish just who is the most important leader.

d. **Leadership Title.** The person formally in charge is not necessarily the person who actually runs things. There are plenty examples of heads of state who are figureheads, while someone else actually runs the country. The real leader is the one who gets things done. This was the case with Deng Xiao-Ping in China. Similarly, simply having economic and social status does not equate to political leadership.

e. **Where Power Lies.** Where does real power lie? In any government there are usually only certain ministries and committees that where real power is vested (e.g., Senate Armed Services Committee, House Ways and Means Committee). The same is true for society as a whole (e.g., Catholic Church in the Philippines). The same is true for opposition groups. In most environments, the opposition has power and can exercise leadership. Even leaders who may currently be out of favor can exert influence or play roles in the future. Deng Xiao-Ping was purged twice before he last came to power in China. Ayatollah Khomeini was in exile in France before he came to power in Iran.

**Personal Characteristics**

*In real life, the most practical advice for leaders is not to treat pawns like pawns, nor princes like princes, but all persons like persons.* James MacGregor Burns.

Personal characteristics of the leader consist of:
a. **Maintaining Power.** No matter how effective the leader may be, no leader is omnipotent. Accordingly, their first order of business is to maintain and/or enhance their position. Because of the friction between leaders and followers, there will always be a system of checks and balances, both formal and informal. As a result, the leader will believe that they have insufficient power to accomplish their objectives and so they constantly need to acquire more. If checks and balances are weak, the leader can abuse the power they obtain. This is what occurs in authoritarian leadership systems.

b. **Influences.** As a human being, the leader responds to human personal and psychological pressures. Some of these influences weigh more heavily on the leader. They might be sex, family, class, religion, age, money, health, or a spouse. The leader may be people oriented or structure/process oriented. They have an ideology, a world view, a background and a personal history. They have personal goals. They may be consistent or erratic. The leader may be influenced by someone. This type of information resides in biographical dossiers maintained at embassies and various intelligence organizations, biographies and autobiographies, and certain journals or newsletters. Retroactive psychoanalysis of past leaders may reveal a lot about leadership in the culture in which the subject lived.

XV. **Leadership Summary**

Because they exert real power and influence, leaders are often the immediate cause of important circumstances. Accordingly, the political military analyst must understand the leaders in their region. Explaining and predicting a leaders behavior will help to explain and predict national behavior.

XVI. **Elites and the Political System**

**Introduction**

The study of elites is similar to that of leaders. Analysts study elites because they occupy the most influential positions or roles in governments, institutions and communities, or exert influence on those various elements. They are incumbents, leaders, rulers and decision-makers. Watching them provides insight into the core values of a community. Those core values determine what constitutes the best, what characteristics or activities are influential, and what constitutes real power. Watching them also helps establish cause and effect relationships, since power and influence define elites.

**Definitions**

a. **Elites.** For the purpose of political military analysis, elites are defined as people who:

(1) Are the best of their class. This can be any categorization of people, activity, or sphere of social life that are at the top are the elite. Within
their category, other members look up to them, defer to them, and often follow them.

(2) Exercise **power and influence.** The elites that we study are only those who exercise real power or influence. This part of the definition simply relieves you from studying excellent people who do not significantly affect things.

b. **Strategic Elites.** The ruling elite, top influentials, or the power elite are other terms to describe those elites who exert their influence on society as a whole, rather than on a segment. These leading individuals or groups maintain and develop the society and its culture (patterns of beliefs and values, shared means of communication, and major social institutions) are the strategic elites. No single stratum exercises all key social functions. Accordingly, the functions and the associated elites are specialized.

c. **Segmental Elites.** Elites who exert their influence on a major sub-domain of society.

d. **Ruling Caste.** One stratum does the most important social tasks, obtains its personnel through biological reproduction, and is set apart by religion, kinship, language, residence, economic standing, occupational activities, and prestige. Religious ritual is the main force that supports the position of this ruling stratum.

e. **Aristocracy.** A single stratum monopolizes the exercise of the key social functions. The stratum consists of families bound by blood, wealth, and a special style of life and supported by income from landed property.

f. **Ruling Class.** A single social stratum is associated with various key social functions, and recruits its members into its various segments based on wealth and property rather than of blood or religion. Historically, ruling classes have held economic rather than political power, but their influence tends to extend to all important segments and activities of society. Although various differentiated and specialized sectors may be distinguished, they are bound together by a common culture and by interaction across segmental boundaries.

**XVII. Analyzing Elites**

**Functions of Strategic Elites**

Elites focus attention, coordinate activities, and keep things working. They help a country manage problems, change, growth and crisis. Elites symbolize and perpetuate the unity of a culture by emphasizing common purposes and interests. They coordinate and harmonize diversity. They minimize factions and resolve group conflicts. They try to protect their group from external danger. These functions can be grouped as:
a. **Goal Attainment.** Goal attainment is the setting and realization of collective goals. These are the current political elite.

b. **Adaptation.** Adaptation is the use and development of effective means of achieving these goals. These are economic, military, diplomatic, and scientific elites.

c. **Integration.** Integration is the maintenance of appropriate moral consensus and social cohesion within the system. These elites exercise moral authority, such as priests, philosophers, educators, and first families.

d. **Pattern Maintenance and Tension Management.** Pattern maintenance and tension management is the morals of the system's units, individuals, groups and organizations. These elites keep society knit together emotionally and psychologically, and are celebrities such as outstanding artists, writers, theater and film stars, and top figures in sports and recreation.

**Quantity and Variety of Elites**

a. **Less Developed Societies.** In less complex societies elites are few in number and comprehensive in their powers. In societies at very simple levels of development, one elite may perform all four of the previous mentioned system functions.

b. **Advanced Societies.** More advanced industrial societies will tend toward several elites whose functional specialization accompanies a growing moral and organizational autonomy among them. As populations grow, occupations become differentiated, moralities vary, bureaucracies increase, and societal leadership moves from aristocracy or ruling class to leadership based on strategic elites. A large, industrialized country, with many occupational, regional, and ethnic differences, a society with levels of power, work, prestige, wealth, and lifestyle will probably not vest leadership in a single hereditary or traditional group, or in a single ruler. Instead, these elites will probably be specialized and varied.

**Elite Goals**

Elites seek to:

a. **Preserve.** Preserve the ideals and practices of their societies and sub-domains.

b. **Maintain.** Maintain their status as elites. This is the most predictive characteristic of an elite.

**Recruitment**

Elite replacement, or recruitment, is the process of attracting and selecting suitable candidates.
a. **Delineating Recruitment.** Recruitment occurs in two basic ways, one of which tends to prevail over the other. Understanding how and why elites are recruited suggests general social tendencies toward expansion or consolidation.

(1) **Inheritance.** One way elites recruit new elites is on the basis of biological or social inheritance. Consolidating societies or groups tend to recruit based on inheritance of status.

(2) **Talent and achievement.** Another way elites recruit new elites is on the basis of personal talents and achievements. Expanding societies or groups recruit more on the basis of personal achievement.

The style of recruitment reflects profoundly on social mobility, individual incentive, and discontent among social strata. Styles of recruitment affect both the composition of the elites and their outlook.

b. **Other Patterns.** In more modern industrial societies, recruitment and selection patterns accompany differentiation and autonomy among the elites. Recruitment based on social inheritance is giving way to recruitment based on individual achievement. Recruitment may also be based on ancestry, education, experience, and training. In some sectors, elites are elected; while in others they are appointed by predecessors or superiors. Some are born to their positions. Some elite positions are temporary, others for life. However, all these aspects of recruitment tend to fall into the two aforementioned patterns.

(1) **Sources of recruitment.** Elites can be found in institutions of training or preparation such as military academies, prestigious prep schools or universities, key postings, cathedrals and seminaries, fraternities or clubs, and elite branches of military service.

(2) **Rewards.** This is recruitment from the viewpoint of the elite candidate. Rewards for becoming an elite may be esteem, money, power, acceptance, or merely the ability to live up to expectations. Understanding the rewards that accompany elite recruitment reveals motivation, and accordingly, sources of leverage and manipulation.

**Studying Elites**

Examining the varying skills, styles, and backgrounds of elites reveals truths about the complex system they represent. The activities of these elites will in many ways reflect the desires of the heterogeneous populace they represent.

a. **Finding Elites.** The following are possible sources for information on elites in a given country.

(1) **US intelligence agencies.** (CIA, DIA) Contact desk officers for the country in question.
(2) **US International Information Program.** (formerly USIA) Contact desk officers for the country in question.

(3) **Foreign Broadcast Information Service.** Review the names of key parties to major events.

(4) **U.S. embassies, attaches, and mil-groups.** All of which have up-to-the-minute information on local elites.

**XVIII. Elite Summary**

Political military analysts study elites because they exert power and influence. This helps to explain and predict, and to establish cause and effect relationships. When we ask, “Who gets helped or hurt?” to find a cause or predict an effect, we look first to the elites.

**XIX. Interrelationships with Other Systems**

Leaders

a. **History.** Patterns of the characteristics of past leaders repeat themselves within a region and a country. Charismatic leaders harken back to other successful leaders, and play on their similarities and differences.

b. **Social.**

(1) Culture determines the accepted characteristics and sources of leaders.

(2) In some cultures, the true leaders are religious leaders. In some cultures, religious leaders exert greater influence than the political structure suggests. In other cultures, leaders must recognize and comply with religious structures to maintain legitimacy.

(3) Ethnic groups may choose leaders to represent them. Leaders may be chosen or not on the basis of their own ethnicity.

c. **Political.**

(1) Political systems determine the legal basis for governmental organizations, and establish the conditions for nongovernmental and opposing organizations.

(2) Leaders identify themselves with ideologies, and may derive their power and followers with this identity.

(3) Although a person can be an elite and a leader, elites differ from leaders in that they always represent the top of some class, while leaders need not. Furthermore, leaders must have followers, while elites need not. Finally, both leaders and elites exert real power and influence.
d. **National Security.**

(1) Political leaders articulate and openly pursue national interests.

(2) More than elites, leaders serve as the principle agents between governments, and are often the conduits or definers of international agreements and negotiations.

(3) A source of legitimacy for leaders is the quality of their adherence to regional perspectives, and how their agendas and policies reflect commonly held views.

e. **Economy.** Economic problems create the openings for leaders. Economic successes help them maintain power.

**Elites**

a. **History.** Elites represent the historical movement from aristocracy and oligarchy to pluralistic ideologies. Often major historical events defined what are now the traditional elites.

b. **Physical Environment.** Sources of elites may be tied to environmental realities, as in the landed agricultural elite of Argentina, or the oil sheiks of the Middle East.

c. **Social.** Like the military, organized religion is a societal segment or sub-domain that is a source of elites.

d. **Political.**

(1) By definition, elites hold key political positions, and the nature of the checks and balances associated with those systems limit or define the associated power and influence.

(2) Some societal segments or sub-domains are defined by ideology. The paragons of each ideology become elites, and are strategic elites if the holders of that ideology exert power and influence.

(3) Ethnicity can be both a recruitment prerequisite and disqualification. Each ethnic group will have elites, and if the group is influential enough, these elites will be strategic elites.

e. **National Security.**

(1) Elites manifest themselves by exerting influence and power to define national interests in their favor, or to resist national policy that is not in their favor.

(2) The military presents a source of elites in most countries.
(3) From a reverse perspective, elites may be the targets of foreign influence. The Pope influenced the religious elites of Latin America by denouncing “liberation theology,” which enabled political activism by the Catholic clergy.

(4) As the representatives of various societal segments or sub-domains, elites are the repository of a culture's point of view.

f. **Economic.** Traditionally, wealth is a major identifier of elites. People who control wealth, such as major industrialists, form an important segment of elites in capitalistic societies.

**XX. Questions to Consider**

The following are questions to consider when analyzing the political systems.

**Political**

a. How is the state or non-state actor organized? Does the state have a traditional parliamentary structure?

b. How are the formal elements of the government structured?

c. How are the informal elements of the government structured? Who are they?

**Ideology**

a. Does the ideology answer the five major themes that an ideology must address? Look for the role and nature of the individual in the society, the nature of truth, the relationship between the individual and the group, the role of political authority, and property rights versus personal rights.

b. Does the ideology meet the functions and criteria that Macridis discusses? Determine if the ideology legitimizes or delegitimizes the political regime, does it protect or destroy the political system, does it suggest that one political regime is better than the other, will it enhance or weaken social stability. Determine if the ideology meets the four criteria of coherence, pervasiveness, extensiveness, and intensity.

c. Where on the political spectrum does the ideology fall? Determine where on the political spectrum the ideology falls and whether or not it deviates from its origination.

d. Does the identified country have more than one ideology? Complete domination by one ideology is virtually nonexistent and no ideology is monolithic.

e. Does the ideology propose violent or militant behavior? An example of this would be the Nihilist ideology, an ideology proposed in 19th century Russia advocating revolutionary reform and using terrorism and
assassination. A more contemporary violent ideology would be that of the Millenarian ideology of Aum Shinrikyo in Japan.

Leaders
a. Who is the leader? Who is the true leader?

b. Does this organization exercise real power or influence? How?

c. What factions support the organization?

d. Who are its members?

e. Is it strong? Well supported? Widely supported? By whom?

f. What motivates this leader (money, sex, recognition, power, ideology)?

g. How did the leader come to power? Are they charismatic?

h. Did the leader come to power via normal channels or did they circumvent them?

i. What are this leader’s strengths? Weaknesses? Desires?

j. What strong lessons has the leader learned through success? Failure? Difficulty?

k. In which environment do they thrive?

l. Is the leader an “organization man” or a maverick?

m. Does the leader fit the traditional mold, e.g., right family, right school, or are they an upstart?

n. To whom is the leader beholden? What are their goals?

o. Who are their confidants?

p. What is the leader’s focus on international affairs, domestic politics, economic and social issues, or defense?

q. Is their management approach and leadership style autocratic, democratic, or laissez faire?

r. Does the leader share power or hoard it? With whom do they share?

s. Does the leader make decisions with input from others?

t. Do others participate in the decision-making process, or does the leader make the decision and then seek or demand support for it?

u. What type of people does the leader surround himself with?
v. Is the leader reluctant or too quick to make decisions?

w. What threatens the leader’s position? Their organization? Their personal goals? Their organization's goals?

x. Who are their subordinates? Which could become the new leader(s)?

y. Is there a mode of succession? How does it work?

z. What friction is there between the leader and the followers?

aa. Has the organization changed personnel recently? Why? Who caused it?

Elites

a. How does or will a circumstance or policy affect the elites?

b. How do they exert pressure, influence, or power? What kind of influence? When and why do they do it?

c. What are the rewards for this type of elite? Are the rewards threatened?

d. Who are the elites in this society? What segments do they represent? What categories are there?

e. What functions do they perform?

f. What functions does the segment perform? Who does it represent? How are they recruited? From where? Are there limitations?

g. Where do the elites come from? Is there a pattern?

h. What is there about this elite that reflects societal patterns or trends? (If this elite is also a leader, see the questions in “Leadership”.)

XXI. References


I. National Interests and the National Security System

Introduction

The world has changed a great deal since Lord Palmerston made this statement while serving as Great Britain’s Prime Minister. What has not changed is the idea that nations will always attempt to conduct their foreign policy in a manner that best serves their own interests. This should be no surprise. While we may shake our heads and ask ourselves why the French take a particular stand on an issue, or why the Palestinians make a controversial statement, once we look at the issues from their point of view, the actions may appear more reasonable.

The fact that actors – be they individuals, non-state actors, nations, or multinational corporations – seek to pursue their best interests is not in and of itself interesting or useful. In the international arena, self-interested behavior is the principal assumption upon which the policies of involved actors are based. Each actor will choose and pursue policies based on their own calculations of the national interests. It is critical then, to see another actor's national interests through that actor's "eyes." To fail in this can lead to gross miscalculation of that actor’s policies, actions and reactions.

Definitions

The concept of national interest is overshadowed in its importance only by its difficulty of definition. Nearly as many different definitions exist as there are theorists. In fact, the difficulty is so great that many academics have rejected the concept completely rather than sink in the potential morass of differing ideas. Still others prefer to use the term “foreign policy objectives” in order to reflect the fluid nature of a nation’s changing interests (Holsti, 124).

a. Interests and Objectives. Generally, “interests” or “objectives” are defined in the broadest of terms. This allows policy decisions to enjoy the widest appeal. It becomes much easier for policy makers to “wrap up their advocacy with the suggestion that their particular preferences are in the nation’s best interests” (Spainer 359). Wyllie offers a succinct definition: “…national interest is the basic term deployed by foreign policy-makers to describe the long term, core, collective objectives of the state…. In descending order of priority, the collective objectives are deemed to
comprise the security and survival of the state, economic prosperity, and the sustenance of the social and political values of the society of the state” (Wyllie 3). A state’s interests are what that state says they are. The formulation of specific interests is often influenced by domestic politics, perceptions, values, culture, and ideologies.

Review of Literature
National interests and objectives have been a subject and source of discussion throughout the history of political thought. The following excerpts on national interests are from some of the greatest political thinkers of history.

a. Niccolo Machiavelli (1469-1527). Machiavelli believed that while a state may have the loftiest of goals, unless that state has the power to back those goals they are worthless. The end justifies the means – as long as the end is moral (Roskin, 5).

b. Carl Von Clausewitz (1780-1831). To Clausewitz, “all state behavior is motivated by its need to survive and prosper.” And safeguarding its interests is the only reason a state should go to war. (Roskin, 5).

c. George Washington (1732-1799). In Washington’s farewell address he warned the country against unnecessary foreign entanglements. “Europe has a set of primary interests which to us have no or a very remote relation. Hence she must be engaged in frequent controversies, the causes of which are essentially foreign to our concerns” (Roskin, 5).

d. Hans Morgenthau (1904-1980). Morgenthau defined interests in terms of power. His test was simple: “was the statesman acting to preserve and improve the state’s power” (Roskin, 7)? He rallied support to oppose the Axis powers and later the Soviet Union not necessarily out of moral conviction but because he believed, “international politics, like all politics, is a struggle for power” (Roskin, 6).

e. Martha Finnemore (George Washington University). To Finnemore, most of what happens in the international relations arena today is not about states defending their national interests but about defining them (Finnemore, ix). As states attempt to determine their place in the “new world order,” it becomes apparent just how difficult it can be to pinpoint what their national interests are. In a multipolar world, national interests are redefined (often undefined), competing, and changing interests.

II. National Security Sub-Systems
The following example of a national security or military sub-system is based on the PMESII system of systems analysis (SoSA) developed by Joint Forces Command in their work on effects based operations. This organization depicts the average military system. This diagram depicts the essential sub-systems that are important or key to the functioning of the overall national security.
security, and that make up an average system. However it should be noted that this is just an example and not a comprehensive model covering all systems used throughout the world. In addition, once the analyst identifies elements of the system, they need to ascertain the strengths, weaknesses, related vulnerabilities and associated components or nodes within the sub-systems.

a. **Military Sub-Systems.** The military sub-systems are comprised of a leadership element, the armed forces themselves, internal security, the military industrial complex, and sustainment.

   (1) **Leadership.** Commander in chief, national military committee, national defense staff, service chief/leaders, headquarter elements, C2 networks.

   (2) **Armed forces.** Army, navy, air forces, strategic forces, special forces, and reserve forces.

   (3) **Internal security.** Intelligence, elite security forces, law enforcement, and paramilitary.

   (4) **Military industrial complex.** National arms production, foreign arms trade, spare parts and maintenance, research and development, and testing and evaluation.

   (4) **Sustainment.** Resources, storage and distribution facilities, education and training, and recruitment.

b. **Modeling National Security.** A military system is comprised of the armed forces and its supporting infrastructure. All of which is acquired, trained, developed and sustained to accomplish and protect national or organizational security objectives.

   (1) **Input, Process, Output Model.** In the Armed Forces version of Input, Process Output Model, the Input of budget, material and available personnel resources; impact the Process of organizing, equipping and training a military; for the Output objective of a combat ready force.

   (2) **Feedback Loop.** A good and continuing study of a military system also considers a feedback loop of how the subject nation revises the Input through adjusting funding, equipping and manning criteria; and the Process via revising tactics and policies, and re-training its forces.

### III. Determining National Interests

**U.S. National Interests**

One way to determine national interests in a target state is by examining how we in the U.S. articulate our own national interests. The Department of
Defense Reorganization Act of 1986 (aka Goldwater-Nichols Act) requires the President to submit an annual national security strategy to Congress. The 1998 report, *A National Security Strategy for a New Century*, though not current presents a good example to examine. It places US national interests into three broad categories:

a. **Vital interests.** These are broad interests, with overriding importance to the survival, safety and vitality of our nation. Among these are the physical security of our territory and that of our allies, the safety of our citizens, our economic well-being and the protection of our critical infrastructures. We will do what we must to defend these interests, including – when necessary – using our military might unilaterally and decisively.

b. **Important national interests.** These interests do not affect our national survival, but they do affect our national well-being and the character of the world in which we live. In such cases, we will use our resources to advance these interests insofar as the costs and risks are commensurate with the interests at stake. Operations such as in Haiti, or Bosnia and efforts to protect the global environment are relevant examples.

c. **Humanitarian and other interests.** In some circumstances our nation may act because our values demand it. Examples include responding to natural and manmade disasters or violations of human rights, supporting democratization and civil control of the military, assisting humanitarian demining and promoting sustainable development.

Considering these broad categories, it is difficult to think of any undertaking that couldn’t be “sold” as supporting our national interests. In the case of the U.S. then, factors such as domestic politics, political will, lobby efforts, military commitments, our value system and many others coalesce into a national policy at any given time.

**Non-Military Security Issues.**

a. **Political.** Since all revolutions, or insurgencies begin with an idea, or a political movement it is worthy to examine underground parties, political arms of insurgent movements, etc.

b. **Economic.** While the economic segment of a society isn’t the first place the analyst might think to look for security concerns, problems that threaten a state’s economy can also threaten its security. Issues surrounding trade, access to raw materials, black markets, crime syndicates are good examples. However, from a bigger picture, a state that is unable to afford a relevant military leaves itself open to internal and external threats. Furthermore, unstable economies also can leave a state open to internal domestic turmoil.
c. **Social.** Similar to the economic security issues, social-cultural security issue can arise from internal turmoil. One example, immigration, can cause internal security concern through having a large population of foreigner with different values within their borders, through assimilation, through economic issues of foreign labor, social welfare, etc.

d. **Technology.** The analyst needs to examine the level of technology a given military utilizes. They should also think in terms training, support, and maintains.

**IV. National Interests Summary**

National interests can have an impact on the nation both directly and indirectly. Hypothetically, if Western Europe is a vital interest of the U.S., then the Persian Gulf oil fields and sea lanes between the Persian Gulf and Western Europe, which the Europeans consider vital to their interests, would also be a vital interest of the U.S., albeit indirectly.

Understanding national interests is only the beginning in analyzing why a state acts the way it does. Examining who threatens those interests, and how, is also important. Knowing what a state’s interests are can help put into context how that state views itself in the international arena (its worldview) and thus help predict how that state may respond in crises and non-crises.

**V. The Military and National Security**

**Introduction**

This section introduces the military as an aspect of national power, a political actor, an economic force, and a key national institution. It outlines factors the analyst may use to explain and predict military behavior within a country. Focusing on the regional analysis mission of the PSYOP/Civil Affairs officer, this section concentrates on societal roles and the relationship of the military to the political system. It leaves aspects such as tactics, order of battle and combat readiness to the military intelligence community.

**Definitions**

a. **Military System.** A Military System is comprised of the armed forces and supporting infrastructure, all of which is acquired, trained, developed and sustained to accomplish and protect national or organizational security objectives.

b. **Military.** The word “military” describes those armed forces identified by a government as its military. If a country considers its police forces to be part of the military, you should so treat them.

c. **Types of Military.** Because militaries differ, the analyst operationalizes the definition by describing, contrasting and comparing their organization, makeup, roles, missions, and practices.
(1) **Defense establishment.** This includes the leadership (civilian and/or military) and bureaucratic elements of the armed forces.

(2) **Regular forces.** Regular forces consist of army, navy, and air force units. Look at inter-service and intra-service solidarity or rivalry; cliques or factions based on class, ethnicity, language, regional ties, or socioeconomic class and patronage ties.

(3) **Militia/reserves/national guard.** Reserve elements of the regular armed forces establishment may be well organized, trained and equipped; or be very minimally even considered a military force.

(4) **Paramilitary forces.** Generally speaking paramilitary forces are those parts of a police force that are trained and equipped similar to a light military force. In many countries the border patrols or forces would be trained and equipped in this fashion, as are police “swat” teams.

(5) **Police or other security forces.** This spans the spectrum of policing duties from municipal patrolmen to special investigation branches such as the F.B.I. or A.T.F..

(6) **Private armies.** Private armies are those armies outside the regular military force structure, hired and paid for by companies, organizations, or landowners. They may be recognized as legitimate in the society.

(7) **Warlord armies.** Warlord armies are similar to private armies, but are usually extralegal or illegal. Warlord armies generally hold personal loyalty to their leader above loyalty to the government, and are used to control areas of territory for that leader’s purposes.

(8) **Mercenary units.** Also similar to private armies, mercenaries and mercenary units may be used to augment indigenous regular forces, paramilitary units, security forces, or warlord armies. Mercenaries, or professional soldiers, tend to be outsiders motivated by monetary gains to support a given force.

(9) **Insurgent or guerrilla forces.** These are irregular forces that vary in organization, training, and equipment. They span the spectrum from terrorists to uniformed organized units conducting operations in the open. Their tactics also span the spectrum of conflict from terrorist tactics to full force on force operations. These forces oppose the established government and seek to either overthrow it, or gain control over a segment of its territory.
Review of Literature

a. *The Man on Horseback: The Role of the Military in Politics* by S.E. Finer provides a well documented and supported model of when, why, and how militaries intervene. He discusses how motive, mood and circumstances affect military intervention, and describes military regimes. Finally, his discussion of military professionalism as a factor was also a source, but not under that title, because of the contradictory use of the term.

b. *Military Institutions and Coercion in the Developing Nations* by Morris Janowitz uses comparative analysis to establish categories, patterns, relationships, and indicators that describe and explain political military relationships.

c. *The Military and Politics in Modern Times: On Professionals, Praetorians, and Revolutionary Soldiers* by Amos Perlmutter describes military professionalism and corporatism, and describes the traits of the soldier. It establishes categories across the gamut of political military relationships, and then maps these categories across geography and history. The book specifically addresses the following geographical cases: Prussia-Germany, France, Japan, USSR, Africa, the Arab states, Latin America, China, and Israel.

d. *The Political Influence of the Military: A Comparative Reader* by Amos Permutter, and Valerie Plave Bennett is a great collection of writings on military in politics. It contains several articles about professionalism in the military. The conflicting views on professionalism justify its omission here as a separate factor. Also, the book has articles on praetorian regimes by region, and the history of praetorian societies.

e. *Civilian Control or the Military: Theory and Cases from Developing Countries* by Claude E. Welch Jr. places nine case studies between two of his own articles on the subject. His first article describes the attractiveness of civilian control, and provides a model to analyze the extent of civilian or military control of government. His last article prescribes methods to attain or enhance civilian control of the military. The case studies cover India, Malaysia, the Philippines, China, Japan, Finland, Mexico, Lebanon, and Chile.

f. *Military Role and Rule: Perspectives on Civil-Military Relations* by Arthur K. Smith constitutes a solid primer on analysis through case studies of military intervention and military rule. The first section of the book posits principles of intervention. The second section provides case studies from Thailand, Nigeria, Peru, Egypt, and France. The last section analyzes these case studies to validate the principles proposed in the first section.
VI. Roles of the Military

Military functions other than actual warfare, can be divided into political and non-political.

Non-Political Roles

Militaries play numerous roles in the society in which they exist, and influence its development and function. These non-political roles of the military may still have significant political implications. Some of the roles that the military can play (other than defense) are:

a. **Economic.** This can be a powerful force in a nation.

   (1) **Consumer.** The military consumes significant resources, including funds, resources, material, land, technology, and manpower. Defense costs may be a nation's single greatest expenditure. Military consumption also creates jobs for soldiers and civilians alike and can have a significant economic impact, both nationally and regionally.

   (2) **Producer.** Militaries, through support industries, produce equipment and supplies for their own use. However, many military products and technologies are dual-use and provide benefits and spin-off products for the civil society (e.g., vehicles, electronics, and medical). Some militaries, such as in Burma, China, and Brazil, produce goods and services for the general public as well as for their own consumption. In addition, most militaries may in areas of transportation, communications, disaster relief, and public works projects provide assistance to the society.

b. **Social Leveler.** The military in many countries provides a path of social mobility for disadvantaged groups. In some countries, the upper classes disdain military service, so the middle and lower classes serve instead. The military also provides educational and training opportunities, ranging from basic literacy, to vocational skills to advanced degree programs. This provides a pool of both skilled labor and trained, experienced managers for the civil society. This social leveling that provides avenues of advancement for lower classes or minority groups, can also lead to class conflict within the military itself, or between the military and the ruling class. Conversely, in some countries participation in the military may be restricted to certain groups.

c. **Nation Building.** The military being best-organized, strongest, most capable force in a country (with technical and problem-solving skills), may be the entity best able to conduct sustained collective public activity. This may involve the exploration or settlement of border regions or frontiers, (e.g., Lewis and Clark) or space programs. Militaries are well equipped for civic action projects such as medical services, building schools, hospitals, sanitation facilities, or resettlement villages. As well as
to develop infrastructure such as roads, bridges, canals, wells, and irrigation systems. Most importantly, the military contributes to nation building by providing security and protection for the people and infrastructure within the society from internal and external threats; allowing the government to establish legitimacy and the society to develop with stability.

d. **Source of Force.** Territorial defense that dissuades or repels invasion, is the most universally accepted role of the military. The state is usually the sole legitimate source of force, and the military the primary medium to use it. The expertise of the military profession is the “management of violence,” and this expertise can extend or restrict the control of a government.

e. **Foreign Influence.** The military can influence foreign countries positively and negatively. As a tool of foreign policy it provides influence along a spectrum ranging from exchange visits to foreign military training to advisors to shows of force to outright belligerent action.

**Political Roles**

Since the military is a powerful, well-organized and well disciplined institution, it becomes a political force just by existing. Although there may be clearly differentiated areas of responsibility between the civilian authorities and the military, the expertise and knowledge vested in the military also make it a significant political factor. Therefore it is the analyst’s job to determine how and to what degree the military’s political role is. What are the legal and historic parameters for military involvement in politics? What are the channels for military decision-making, expression, and dissent in politics? In many developing countries, the armed forces have major political roles beyond what is considered appropriate in the United States. Military involvement in politics varies from simple influence to direct military control of the political process.

a. **Influence.** The military is one of numerous governmental elements contending for power, position, and resources. Although there may be well-defined areas of responsibility between civilian and military authorities, the military may try to expand on this, acquire larger revenues, and enhance or perpetuate its own position in the system.

b. **Active Participation.** In some systems, the military plays a central rather than peripheral role in the political process. There may still be clearly defined areas of responsibility among branches of the government, but the military may have certain veto authority and administrative duties and may even occupy certain cabinet positions. The military may also put certain limits on the political process by delineating who can be a government leader.
c. **Military Control with Partners.** In this case the military is clearly in charge of the political system, but it has co-opted civilian technicians and bureaucrats. This type of government typifies “military regimes.”

d. **Praetorian.** In a praetorian state the military dominates politics. A praetorian state is one in which “the military intervenes with and tends to dominate the executive.”

e. **Non-intervention.** The military's refusal to act may render a government impotent, thus bringing it down. An example of this is the withdrawal of active military support for the Marcos regime in the Philippines in February 1986, leading to the accession of power by Corazon Aquino.

f. **Direct Intervention.** This describes military interventions that are not part of the current accepted or legal political system. These interventions forcibly substitute the military's policies and/or leaders for those of the civilian government.

(1) **Sub-coups.** Displacement or neutralizing key individual.

(2) **Intervention.** Military take over due to “emergency” conditions.

(3) **Military Coups (coups d'etat).** A forcible takeover of the legal government.

**VII. Military Intervention in Politics**

Types of Intervention

This section describes military interventions that are not part of the current accepted or legal system. These interventions forcibly substitute the military's policies and/or leaders for those of the civilian government.

a. **Sub-Coups.**

(1) **Displacement.** The military intervenes directly by removing or otherwise neutralizing politicians, officials, bureaucrats, elites, or groups in an illegal, extralegal, or unconstitutional manner. They may also replace such parties with military members.

(2) **Pressure, blackmail, and threat.** The military intervenes indirectly by forcing the government to act according to the military's agenda.

(3) **Intervention by invitation or default.** The military may gain influence or political power under conditions not of its own making. Civilian authorities may ask the military to step in if they feel incapable of governing, as in Burma in the late 1950's. This may be part of the civil government’s inability to control segments of the population or territory. The military may also be the only institution to survive domestic social and political turmoil, gaining political power.
by default. An example of this is the large number of government positions held by soldiers in China at the end of the Cultural Revolution in 1976 after a number of political leaders had been killed, imprisoned, or banished.

b. Intervention. Characterization of an intervention by the military.

(1) Reason for the Intervention. Usually the military characterizes an overt intervention as necessary. Relying on a broad interpretation of national defense, the military moves to protect the country from the threat of weak, corrupt, and incompetent politicians, or to resolve a crisis. Even if the reasons for intervention are less noble (e.g., class, regional, ethnic, corporate, or individual self-interest), the military will cloak or justify its actions in the rhetoric of patriotism, national interests and security.

(2) Planned duration of the intervention. The military usually asserts that an intervention is temporary, and promises to restore normal government when the conditions prompting the interventions are gone. However, historically militaries are reluctant to relinquish power once they are in control.

c. Military Coups (coups d'etat).

(1) Definition. A military coup is the forcible takeover of the legal government by the military.

(2) Actors. With exceptions, field grade officers of the national army usually plan and lead intervention or coups d'etat. Since senior officers are normally part of the ruling elite and have a stake in the status quo, coup leaders may see them as part of the situation-giving rise to the coup. A senior officer or a junta of senior officers may end up in power, but field grade officers normally instigate interventions. Company grade officers, NCOs, or the rank and file usually do not have the expertise or the span of control necessary to plan and implement a coup. Similarly, police and militia forces normally do not have the national command structure, organization, and orientation necessary to intervene.

(3) Results. Once the military has taken power, it has four basic options. It can either return or retain power, or it can expand or restrict political participation. If the military intervenes because of specific policy grievances, it may restore civilian rule once those grievances have been corrected. Intervention prompted by a breakdown or distrust of the political system as a whole, however, usually leads to the establishment of a praetorian state. Once the military takes power, only rarely does it succeed in building more effective democratic political institutions. Instead, military rulers are often “civilianized”
and political parties and electoral systems bent to meet the desires and needs of the military regime.

Despite the military's assertions that the intervention is temporary, military rule is generally of long duration. More important, once the military has intervened, it is likely to intervene again if it gives back power.

Similarly, when the military does intervene, if it is unable to govern a complex society on its own and it may co-opt the civilian structure; if for no other reason than to establish its own legitimacy. Since it is hard to govern indefinitely by brute force, and since militaries are not usually adept at handling the subtleties and complexities of running all aspects of a country, cooperation with certain civilians is essential. Those civilians are usually willing to cooperate once they realize that their own prerogatives are not threatened by the military regime. This leads to “military control with partners.”

**Indicators for Intervention**

The only states that seem relatively safe from military intervention are well-established Communist totalitarian states and well-established liberal democracies. Even these states are not immune, as the French experience in Algeria in the early 1960's attests. The following indicators apply to most military interventions.

a. **Indicators in the Military.**

   (1) **Heritage of intervention.** Regardless of their legal mission and role, militaries do not ignore their historical role. By far the most consistent predictor of a military coup is a previous coup. Moreover, the more coups have occurred, the more likely is a subsequent coup.

   (2) **Delineation of responsibilities.** The more clearly defined the areas of responsibility between the military and the civilian authorities, the less likely is intervention. This clear delineation also facilitates civilian control of the military.

   (3) **Civilian supremacy over the military.** A society with a tradition or accepted rule of civilian supremacy over the military grossly inhibits intervention. See *Civilian Control of the Military*, below.

   (4) **Readiness.** The fear that politicization will destroy the military's ability to fight reduces the probability that they will intervene.

   (5) **Fear of failure.** The military may fear that losing the intervention will bring about the end of the military, or leaders of the intervention. This is a deterrence against intervention.
(6) **External and internal threat.** Risk of intervention is also reduced when the military is oriented on defense against an external threat. Conversely, the use of the military to quell civil unrest increases the possibility of intervention. If the government uses the military against political opponents, especially against the advice of the officer corps, intervention is even more likely. Furthermore, the more involved the military is in internal issues, the more political it becomes. Finally, militaries which are active in civic action operations are less likely to intervene. It is therefore important to distinguish clearly between the international and domestic duties of the military, police and/or militia.

(7) **Guardians of the nation.** The explicit or implicit mission of soldiers to be the saviors or guardians of nation or of the constitution, stimulates intervention when either is in danger. (Note that the constitution may even prohibit this intervention.) This distinguishes between a mission to serve and protect the nation, from a mission to serve and protect the government. Furthermore, when the military identifies itself as embodying national interest, it feels entitled to intervene.

(8) **Ulterior motives.** The military may use the noble motives of national interest and patriotism to disguise protection of for a societal sector (the rich), a class (elites), the military itself, or for motives of pure careerism.

(9) **Divisions in the military.** Certain factions in the military may be at odds with other factions. In many coups, these factions separate themselves and pursue their agenda through intervention. On the other hand, such disagreements or factionalism may inhibit the military's ability to intervene.

(10) **Geography.** Military units scattered throughout the country or deployed overseas are less able to intervene than if they are concentrated in the national capital.

(11) **Specialization and division of labor.** The more technical the military is, more complex and well-defined its missions and responsibilities are, the less chance there is of intervention. Ground forces are more likely to intervene than naval and air forces because the army is usually the largest, best equipped, trained and manned for that purpose. It is also generally the least complex of the uniformed services in a country. In many cases it is deployed in large numbers in the vicinity of the capital, especially as a “palace guard.”

(12) **Political awareness of the officer corps.** Generally, the more politically sophisticated the military in general, and the officer corps in particular, the more likely is an intervention.
(13) **Consciousness of kind.** Militaries with extreme senses of being distinct, special, and elite, are more emotionally capable of intervening.

(14) **Sense of power.** To the extent that militaries see themselves as overwhelmingly powerful, they may be disposed to intervene.

(15) **Grievances.** A military that believes itself already the victim (or under the threat) of some societal or government wrong is more willing to seek redress against the offending system.

(16) **Defeat in war.** A defeated military, especially if it feels the government had something to do with that, is more likely to intervene.

(17) **Officer recruitment patterns.** Officers of the same social strata of the governing elite are less likely to initiate or participate in interventions.

b. **Indicators in the Situation.**

(1) **Domestic Circumstances.** In all cases there is a plausible national reason for a military intervention. Overt crisis, latent crisis, or a power vacuum, all provoke the military to intervention. If they are not the real cause, they may be the putative cause.

(2) **History.** Countries with one coup have a far higher probability of another coup than countries with no coups in their history. Countries with more coups are even more susceptible. The more recent the coup, the more probable the next.

(3) **Civilian dependence on the military.** Where society relies heavily on the military, the military has more opportunity for successful intervention.

(4) **Development of the country.** As a rule, a high literacy rate, well-developed education and communication systems, urbanization and a well established, functioning, legitimate political system in the country, all tend to **inhibit** military intervention. On the other hand, agrarian societies with serious economic and social problems, underdeveloped education and communication networks, and a political system unwilling or unable to respond to the needs of the nation all tend to **encourage** intervention.
(5) **Stability of the country.** Perceived disintegration (loss of integrity) of domestic, political, economic, and social institutions is one of the main reasons for military intervention.

(6) **Political culture.** Where political culture is high or mature, nations are less likely to accept intervention than in nations where political culture is low or immature.

(7) **Complexity of society.** The more complex the society and political system, the less the chance of intervention. Other foci of power, such as the monarchy, legislature, labor unions, the bureaucracy, and opposition factions can provide alternatives to military rule and, therefore, inhibit intervention. The key is alternatives or outlets other than military intervention for political concerns.

(8) **Popularity of the military.** The more popular the military is with the people, the more likely the chance for intervention, especially if the people see the military as a counterbalance to the government rather than as its instrument of the government, or another oppressive element. The military may even be asked by the people, and in some cases by the government itself if it is threatened with popular revolt, to take over. The more popular the military, the greater their perception of their actions being accepted.

(9) **Ethnic and class cleavage.** If ethnic and class conflicts attack the ruling class or dominant group, the likelihood of military intervention rises.

**Civilian Control of the Military**

a. **Importance.** Civilian control of the military is a characteristic that typifies nations free from military intervention. Whether it exerts a causal inhibiting effect is academic. However, many of the factors, characteristics and circumstances that accompany or facilitate...
civilian control of the military relate closely to factors that inhibit intervention.

b. **Constitutional Constraints.** Nations constrain the military by placing a civilian commander in charge of the armed forces or by explicitly subordinating the military to a civilian governmental organ. They can vest power in the civilian legislature to raise and maintain armies and navies, to conduct investigations, to declare wars or states of emergency, and to pass or approve budgets. Almost all countries have constitutions or laws that specify civilian control, but constitutional restraint requires the willing acquiescence of the military.

c. **Ascription.** This is where the military identifies closely with the political system and the ruling class. This can be done by integrating the military with social forces or by keeping it separate from them. The integration occurs in three ways:

(1) **Class congruity.** With regard to class congruity, the military, especially the officer corps, comes from the same social class as the ruling elite. This has been true especially of West European armies. Even in colonial armies, the officer corps tended to have ties to the homeland, and generally did not even inter-marry with the locals.

(2) **Nation-in-arms.** With regard to nation-in-arms concept the country requires universal military training and service. This guarantees security by total participation of the polity. There is a small professional military core. The army comprises citizens who become soldiers in time of crisis; however, these soldiers do not permanently set aside their civilian roles. Government civic education is tied to military training and defense. The civilian militia may act as a counter to the threat of a coup by the professional army. Civilian-educated officers often serve a similar purpose. This system is used in Switzerland, Israel, and to a degree in postwar United States.

(3) **Party control.** With regards to party control, this is done by placing the military under control of the “party,” which integrates it with social forces. Communist countries use this means.

d. **Separating the Military from Society.** One way to separate the military from the society is to draw the military from one area or group then station them in places other than their home regions, or in remote borders and frontiers areas. This was a common practice among colonial armies, who not only sent large numbers of soldiers’ abroad, but also recruited locals from what was called the “martial races,” such as the Gurkhas or the Sikhs. Many countries, including the former Soviet Union, China, and 19th Century America, have practiced stationing troops along borderlands or in frontier regions. It could also be said that mixing groups within the military so no single group has dominance could have a similar function.
e. **Army of the Ruling Party.** Many Communist countries use this means to enhance civilian control. Communist revolutionary armies politicize the masses as part of their overall strategy. Revolutionary guerrilla warfare is as much political as it is military. Once in power, the party continues to use its parallel apparatus of the commissariat to control the military. Many countries also give preferential treatment to soldiers applying for party membership, causing soldiers to identify with the goals and well being of the party and, therefore, the state. In a Communist political system, party hegemony is linked to military power. The military is the linchpin of the party-state relationship.

f. **Geography and History.** The benefits of stationing forces in remote areas have already been mentioned. Similarly, if a country is relatively isolated or has no serious threat on its borders, it can maintain a small army, which is easier to control, such as in early America or present-day New Zealand. Additionally, if a nation has a history of civilian control, such control is likely to continue. Civilian control is self-perpetuating. The longer it exists, the more the military comes to see it as proper, and military intervention as unnatural. Similarly, the longer the civilian authorities govern, the more experience and expertise they gain; therefore, control is better established. This can even be true of armies who trace their history to colonial times, such as the systems extant in India, Malaysia, and Singapore. By the same token, as mentioned earlier, if there is a history of intervention, then intervention is likely to recur.

g. **Clearly Defined Spheres of Responsibility.** This factor is perhaps the most important.

1. **Military.** The wider the sphere considered appropriate for military involvement, the greater the chances for intervention in politics. The more well defined and restricted the military sphere of responsibility, the less the probability of intervention. However, a corollary to this is that the military should have relative autonomy within its own areas.

2. **Civilian.** Civilian interference in military affairs is just as unpalatable, and in some cases just as risky as military interference in civilian affairs. The best protection against military intervention may be legitimate, widely supported, effective political institutions on one hand, and a voluntary restraint on behalf of the military and civilian authorities alike not to use the military for domestic security on the other.

VIII. **Military Summary**

The military plays an important role in virtually every nation, serving as both cause and effect. Determining the nature of that role, and its relationship to other analytical factors, may enable you to better explain and predict
important events, recommend appropriate policy, and generate sound courses of action.

IX. Regional Perspectives and National Security

“A day will come when all nations on our continent will form a European brotherhood... A day will come when we shall see...the United States of America and the United States of Europe, face to face, reaching out for each other across the seas.” -- Victor Hugo (1848)

Introduction

Regional perspectives are an important factor with which the political-military analyst must deal. Regional perspectives color the manner in which events are perceived, leading to reactions that may be quite unanticipated. The analyst must be able to identify and understand the general regional perspectives on a broad range of issues to judge their effect on future actions within the region. This is no simple task because of the complexity involved. International relations are not dyadic; every event affects secondary and tertiary actors through their individual perspectives and regional orientations. Explaining and predicting these effects is the job of the analyst.

Regional actors form their perspectives based on their perceptions of individual and collective interests on specific issues. These may be developed over a wide range of topics such as ideology, economic policy and developmental planning, military strategy, alliance relations, East-West and North-South conflicts, terrorism, and nuclear proliferation. Factors such as threat assessments, individual and collective capabilities, relative regional economic position, cultural traditions, religious orientation, political philosophy, and historical experience contribute to the forming of regional perspectives.

X. Determining Regional Perspectives

Where They Come From

A region's perspective can often be determined by what its leaders say, what the state does, and by formation of organizations that reflect regional elements of unity. For example, the formation of the European Union, the Arab League, the Association of Southeast Asian Nations, the Organization of African Unity, and the Organization of American States were each motivated by a particular regional perception of threat (economic, political, or military). Each organization seeks to capitalize on a particular characteristic that can lead to greater regional or collective power and prestige through unity.

Example of Regional Perspective. The Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) consists of the six Arabian Peninsula states (Kuwait, Saudi Arabia, Qatar, Bahrain, the United Arab Emirates, and Oman). These states share many things in common including geography, religion, monarchical political
systems, oil interests, and security concerns. However, traditional rivalries among these states prevented them from uniting for their common good, even though as individual actors they lack the power for credible deterrence. Iraqi threats on Kuwait in the late 1970’s and the fear of Iran’s ultimate goals in the Persian Gulf became the impetus for cooperation between these states. In 1981, eight months after the Iran-Iraq war began, these states joined together in a union for partial economic and cultural integration. That union had evolved into one which now includes elementary joint defense agreements and closer coordination on foreign affairs.

Tools and Methods
At the state actor level, the analyst can determine regional perspectives, by examining trends in foreign policy, economic relations, and policy positions of political, military and business leaders. Further the analyst may find it useful to chart actions and responses of regional actors during a crisis events. The study of agreements establishing regional organizations often contain specific statements which outline perspectives.

a. **Regional Assessment.** Of primary concern in determining regional perspectives is the assessment of threats. Until recently, most challenges to the U.S. were viewed from the East-West paradigm. Today the threats are both smaller and broader.

b. **Regional Actors.** Regional actors rarely are burdened with the responsibilities associated with the U.S., perceiving a variety of more proximate threats to their survival ranging from internal instability to regional radicals and historical conflicts that may threaten to flare up or expand. For regional actors, these intra-regional challenges have a greater likelihood of causing change in the near term (1 to 2 years) or mid-term (3 to 7 years) than the broader external extra-regional challenges. For obvious reasons, regional actors tend to focus on more immediate threats, often virtually disregarding externally generated pressures.

**XI. Global Verses Regional Perspectives**
A good case study to examine the global verses regional perspective would be the U.S. involvement in the Persian Gulf during the waning days of the Cold War. The U.S. was concerned with regional issues of the Persian Gulf as they related to a global picture. While the regional actors tended to be more concerned with regional issues disregarding or limiting global perspectives. Resulting misunderstandings stemmed from different perspectives, over both the importance of events and appropriate responses to achieve desired results.

a. **U.S. Perspective.** For example, the U.S. identified the Soviet Union as the major source of instability in the Persian Gulf, as well as a potential threat to Western access to oil. To counter this threat, a decidedly military strategy was adopted. From the U.S. perspective the ideal solution would
be the stationing of U.S. troops in the area as a means to protect its interests.

b. **Regional Perspective.** From a regional perspective (say the Gulf States), the major causes of instability were Islamic fundamentalism, Iraqi ambitions, and the Palestinian issue. All of which threatened the political stability of established regional regimes. They felt the strategy to resolve these regional sources of conflict were diplomatic rather than military. The stationing of U.S. troops directly in the Persian Gulf would probably not have achieved the desired goal of protecting our access to oil – with the possible exception of Iraqi ambitions. In fact, as we’ve seen recently it would have probably exacerbated some issues by providing an additional focus for opposition groups in their attempts to undermine existing governments.

c. **Conclusion.** Conflicting perspectives such as these made unified actions between Persian Gulf States and the U.S. difficult, requiring the utmost in diplomacy, and the careful and thoughtful use of military assets.

**XII. Regional Summary**
Regional perspectives focus on those issues that more immediately threaten local security and stability. The analyst must understand the roots of those perspectives, the depth of commitment to each issue both regionally and by the individual actors, and the areas of agreement and disagreement with the global perspectives of the U.S.. Such an understanding can serve as a basis for explaining regional behavior and predicting probable responses to a variety of possible actions.

**XIII. Foreign Influence and National Security**

"It can be harmful to come, without thought, under the sway of utterly new and strange doctrines." -- Confucius (450 BC)

**Introduction**
History is riddled with examples of states being influenced by forces emanating from beyond their borders. These forces shape culture, affect policy, change economies, threaten national security, and even topple governments. Recognizing and understanding the role foreign influence plays in the political military environment will assist the analyst in accurately assessing the overall situation of a state and making predictions about its future.

**Direct Verses Indirect Influences**
Generally speaking there are two types of influence, direct and indirect.

a. **Direct.** Direct influences are actions perpetrated by a government or non-state actor with the express purpose of influencing policy or actions of a specific state. Military actions or economic sanctions directed against a
state are examples of direct foreign influence. Direct foreign influences can also be levied by international organizations such as the International Monetary Fund. Other non-state actors like religious organizations or leaders have been known to target specific states to effect change (e.g., Pope John Paul or Ayatollah Khomeini).

b. Indirect. Indirect foreign influences can come from a variety of sources such as immigration that result in demographic changes, expatriate foreign remittance, competition on international markets, technologic advances, international students, or religious fundamentalism. These influences have indirect by-products that cause a state to make adaptations to their political system.

XIV. Types of Foreign Influences

There are numerous elements that may either encourage or discourage foreign influence in any given country, and it is beyond the scope of this short introduction to address them all. Therefore, four main elements will be identified in this chapter: geography, economics, culture/ethnicity, and history. The elements as they pertain to analysis within a particular country are covered elsewhere within political military analysis. However, when discussing foreign influence, or the susceptibility of a nation to foreign influence these factors are presented from a different perspective. Categorization of these elements is not of the greatest concern, but the identification of their presence and their possible impact upon a country is important.

Geographic

Geography, both in terms of terrain features and actual location, does much to inhibit or encourage foreign influence. Obviously, a state with clearly defined borders that inhibit transportation and communications will be less susceptible to foreign influence (Japanese islands). While a state without these inhibiting factors are physically more vulnerable (Korea or Poland). The analyst must consider how human will and technological advances can impact on elements of power associated with geography.

a. Technologic Advancements. Modern advances in communications and transportation have overcome many of the natural obstacles that once protected or isolated states. Nineteenth century technology enabled Admiral Perry to open the doors of Japan after 200 years of self-imposed isolation. Recent advances in communications and transportation have made the isolated Hunza region of Northern Pakistan more accessible from the north, and thus more susceptible to Chinese influence then from the Central Government.

b. Location, Location, Location. While terrain features such as rivers, mountains, or coastlines may continue to make a state more or less susceptible to foreign influence, the actual location of a state may be more
important. For example, the Chinese see Korea as protecting the approaches to several major coastal cities. While the Japanese have seen Korea as a launching point threatening its heart. And the U.S. sees South Korea as a strategic military foothold on the Asian continent.

Economic
Economics, like geography, can manifest itself in many ways. So long as countries are economically dependent or interdependent on others, influence will exist.

a. Colonialism. There is no doubt that during the heyday of colonialism, the influence of the European powers were felt heavily throughout the world. Economics was one of the driving forces behind the mercantilist expansion of the Western nations over the world. Economic activity took place at the direction and benefit of the colonial powers. The colonial relationship has left many economic legacies that exist to this day in terms of trading partners, items of trade, and economic institutions, as well as type and degree of development, legal and governmental systems, and elites.

b. Globalism. Today, economics is a major consideration in determining the presences and extent of foreign influence. States that are economically dependent upon imports of critical supplies are especially vulnerable to source state influence on their foreign policy. For instance, Japan's dependence on oil has tempered its stance against Libya’s support of terrorism. Conversely, a state such as Japan that is also dependant on exports may be vulnerable to influence from the importing states as well as multinational corporations. The U.S. uses threats of protectionism toward imports to pressure states such as Japan, Korea, and Taiwan to effect trade barriers.

c. Regionalism. Regional organizations can have profound influence a state’s economy whether on the inside or the outside of a given organization (e.g., North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), the European Union (EU), Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC) or Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC)).

d. Dependency. Economic dependency doesn't have to equal complete subordination. States such as Japan, Taiwan, and Singapore while vulnerable to foreign influences have managed to hold their own in the international arena.

e. Positive vs. Negative Impact. Specific examples of positive foreign influence are trading partnerships, loans and grants from international economic institutions, membership in trade organization, etc. While some negative or punitive examples are sanctions, trade embargoes, or not being allowed in trade organization such as the World Trade Organization, etc.
Cultural and Ethnic
Cultural and ethnic influence from foreign sources is obvious in many regions around the world.

a. **Culture.** Culturally, states with large expatriate or immigrants populations may be susceptible to influence directed from outside sources. For instance, communist insurgencies in Malaysia, Indonesia, and Thailand were linked to Chinese minorities within their states. A less serious example would be the cultural influences Hispanics have had on U.S. culture in general and South West in particular.

b. **Ethnicity.** Where ethnic identities cross national boundaries, the ability of one country to influence another is also increased. In Pakistan, resurgent Islamic fundamentalism originating in Iran found its way into the Baluchi ethnic minority, which occupies both sides of the border between Pakistan and Iran. In addition, during the Soviet occupation of Afghanistan, ethnic Pathans, whose tribal lands cross the Pakistan border, were used as a conduit for Soviet influence to alienate Pakistani Pathans from the Islamabad government, thereby loosening Pakistani control of the tribal areas near its border.

c. **Religious.** Religion has the ability to be a conduit for foreign influence both through the adaptation of a given religion in a society, direct influence of individual religious leaders, or through the evolution of religious movements seeking change. For instance, traditions and doctrines of the Roman Catholic Church have influenced the Philippines in deep and lasting ways, making it unique in Southeast Asia and tying the Philippines to the West in unique ways from other Asian nations. Conversely, the influence of Islam in Southeast Asia (Malaysia and Indonesia) have been affected by resurgent Islamic fundamentalism from the Middle East; and have forced these states to adapt in order to accommodate this influence.

Historic
The final element of foreign influence to be discussed is history. In general, states with a history of relations with another state will tend to continue to be influenced by that state. For instance, the historical animosities between China and Vietnam continue to influence the current situation in Indochina. Similarly, the relationship between the U.S. and the Philippines, built upon a relationship established at the turn of the century when the Philippines were a U.S. possession, continues to play a role in influencing both U.S. and Philippine policies toward each other.

Recap on Foreign Influence Elements
Knowing the elements of foreign influence will help the analyst understand the ways in which one country can be influenced by an outside force. However, determining the nature and extent to which it affects a country is
most important. Some additional indicators an analyst might examine are the types and numbers of treaty and alliance relationships a country has and the international organizations to which it belongs. The study should also include an examination of the nature and diversity of countries' interactions with the outside world. Some specific items to consider are joint military planning or training exercises, UN voting records, patterns of trade, foreign investment, aid relationships, technology sources, and overseas education. Consider also the influences of non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and private volunteer organizations (PVOs). These organizations involved in routine work or in disaster relief/humanitarian operations, can have far-reaching influences. Likewise, the blinding advances in Internet access and satellite technology can influence the way people eat, dress and how they view the rest of the world. The analyst should also consider the less obvious indicators such as tourism or cultural exchanges.

XV. Regional Influence Summary

As a factor in political military analysis, foreign influence is directly related to several other factors. In actuality, it cannot be separated from factors such as history, religion, culture, geography, and economics, which serve as conduits for influence. Recognizing the susceptibility of a country to foreign influence and determining the type and degree of that influence within a specific country, allows a more accurate analysis to be formed.

Just as with the other political military factors outlined in this handbook, wherever appropriate, national security must be also be analyzed as those interests relate to the other factors. Your analysis of each factor and its relationship to the others is limited only by the time available. A complete analysis of national security will include a look at how those interests relate to each of the political military factors.

XVI. Interrelationships with Other Systems

National Interests

a. Physical Environment. The geography of a given physical environment can help determine interests. Many claim that future wars in the Middle East will be over water, not oil. What effects do the devastation of the rainforests or over-fishing by huge factory ships have on American national interests? Should the continuing nightmare at Chernobyl concern us?

b. Political and Economic. Political and economic systems are key factors to examine when determining how a state’s interests are formulated.

c. Information. Domestic politics and the role of the media are components of this analysis.

d. Political and Social. Leadership, ideology and role of the military are also important to the analysis of national interests. In the case of Turkey, for
example, the secular legacy left by Kemal Ataturk is expected of that
country’s leaders today and is rigidly enforced by its military.

Military
a. **History.** The primary predictor of military intervention in a country is
prior interventions and recentness of that intervention. Likewise, all
military behavior is as predictable (or unpredictable) as any other human
endeavor, using history as the basis.

b. **Physical Environment.** In many countries, nation building requires the
military to tame the environment. Conversely, the environmental truths of
countries often define the role and makeup of the military. Coastline
determines the size of the navy. The number of boundary nations
determines ground forces. The extent of the hinterlands affects the
capabilities and the use of the air force. The military's success or failure in
natural disasters may affect its prestige, legitimacy, acceptance, and
overall influence.

c. **Social.**

(1) Culture determines the makeup and acceptance of the military, as well
as its roles.

(2) Religion - In some cultures, militaries are comprised of soldiers of one
religion. In some cultures, religions prohibit or discourage military
service.

(3) Many militaries draw enlisted and officers from certain ethnic
segments of society.

d. **Political.**

(1) Political systems determine the legal basis for the military and the
politicization of its members. The robustness of political systems
determines the probability of military intervention.

(2) Militaries constitute guarantors of ideology as it becomes policy.
Military culture often reflects national ideology, especially as it relates
to patriotism.

(3) Many nations recruit leaders from the military, and in praetorian
societies and military regimes, the military are the national leaders.

(4) As in leadership, the military may contribute its leaders to or draw
them from the elite. They may also form the main elite structure for
the nation.
e. Economic. Militaries consume and produce. They enforce customs rules, protect national waters and lands for enterprise. The provide the security for the secure environment.


(1) As reliable, competent and obedient bureaucracies, nations view their militaries as mechanisms to pursue their interests.

(2) Foreign Influence - The military might constitute a national resource to exert influence on other countries, or resist influence from other countries. Some militaries are linked to other states through shared military histories or current operations and cooperation.

(3) Regional Perspectives - The strength of a nation's military, especially compared to the militaries of other nations, affects its outlook in international affairs – how it forms alliances, and how it pursues solutions to problems.

XVII. Questions To Consider

The following are questions to consider when analyzing the national security system.

National Interests

a. Where are the national interests articulated?

b. What are the state’s professed national interests?

c. How does that state prioritize its interests?

d. How are the interests pursued? (political, economic, military)

e. Who threatens these interests?

f. Can previous threats to national interests be identified; what was the response to those threats?

g. What role does regime preservation play in the articulation of national interests?

Military

a. What constitutes the military forces of this country? Who says?

b. What are their organization(s)? Makeup? Roles? Missions? Practices?


d. What is the nature of the defense establishment?
e. How significant is the military economically? In what ways? Producer? Consumer?

f. Are military responsibilities delineated clearly? Does practice follow the delineation?

g. Does the military serve and protect the nation, or the government?

h. What internal (domestic) roles does the military have? What have they done?

i. What external roles does the military have? What have they done?


k. Who are the soldiers? Officers? Where do they come from? How are they educated?

l. What is their economic and social status? Are there restrictions? Preferences?

m. How is the military organized politically? By unit? By year group? By branch?

n. Are military leaders part of the elite? Do they go on to become the elites?

o. What are the legal limitations on the military? Are they clearly defined? Clearly obeyed?

p. Is the military subordinate to civilian authority? How? How much? How long?

q. Is the military apolitical or political? In what ways? How much? Which part?

r. Is it cohesive? Are there opposed factions?

s. How is the military geographically dispersed? Are units concentrated near the capital or spread out along the border?

t. Does anything threaten the military? Budget? Limitation of influence?

u. Is this a military regime? Military control with partners? A praetorian society?

v. Have there been coups? If so, how many, when, and why, and how was it carried out?

w. Does the public think the coup(s) was (were) a good thing?
x. How long did the military stay in power? How did they relinquish power?
y. How does the military handle its weaknesses at governing?
z. Is the country well developed? Is literacy high? Are the people informed? Are there social and economic problems?

aa. Is there a crisis? Is the government solving the problems?

bb. How long has the government been democratic (if at all)?

cc. Is there popular support for the military?

Regional Perspectives
a. What regional organizations does the country belong?

b. What regional treaties/compacts exist?

c. Are there obvious foreign policy trends?

d. What are the nation’s responses to crises?

Foreign Influence
a. What are the direct and indirect influences?

b. Does geography affect foreign influence?

c. What economic influences are there?

d. Are there cultural or ethnic influences?

e. Do historical influences exist?

f. Are there NGOs and/or PVOs present?

g. What are the effects of technology (i.e. Internet, satellite media, cell communications)?
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CHAPTER FIVE

ECONOMIC SYSTEM


I. Political Economy and the Economic System

Introduction

A political economy is often defined as a study of the relationship between politics and economics. J. Stuart Mill’s followers describe political economy as a deductive science, drawn from assumption; while the school of David Ricardo considers it an inductive science, built upon elementary facts. A third school of political economy to which belong August Comte and Daniel Webster, argues that Political Economy is only an assemblage of truths; therefore, not a science because of its lack of law or principal. The simple premise of recognizing the reciprocity between economics and politics leads us to ask the following question: what is political economy?

“On the one hand, politics largely determines the framework of economic activity and channels it in directions intended to serve the interests of dominant groups. The exercise of power in all its form is a major determinant of the nature of an economic system. On the other hand, the economic process itself tends to redistribute power and wealth. It transforms the power relationships amongst groups. This in turn leads to a transformation of the political system, thereby giving rise to a new structure of economic relationships. Thus, the dynamics of international relations in the modern world is largely a function of the reciprocal interaction between economics and politics” (Gilpin, 1975, 21-22).

Definitions

In studying political economy, the following concepts defined by Johnson are important (1996):

a. **Aggregate demand.** “Also more accurately referred to as aggregate expenditure, this is one of the key concepts introduced by John Maynard Keynes that is still at the heart of most macroeconomic theories about the determination of the overall level of employment (and thus the level of national income produced) in a country's economy during a given year… Thus Keynes invented most of the basic ideas of what is today the macroeconomists' conventional system of national income accounting when he formulated his famous aggregate demand identity: \( Y = C + I + G + (X - M) \)

Which simply means that a single country's aggregate demand for national product (\( Y \)) is always equal to the total demands of its households for...
Consumer goods and services ($C$), plus the total demands of its firms for Investment goods ($I$), plus the total demands of its various Government agencies for goods and services ($G$), plus the net demands of foreign consumers, firms and governments for the country's goods and services (exports minus imports)."

b. **Comparative advantage.** “The ability of one economic actor (an individual, a household, a firm, a country, etc.) to produce some particular good or service at a lower opportunity cost than other economic actors can. That is, the economic actor with a comparative advantage can produce the particular good or service by giving up less value in other goods or services that he could otherwise produce with his labor and resources than the other economic actors would have to give up in producing that same good or service.”

c. **Economic System.** An Economic System is composed of the sum total of production, distribution and consumption of all goods and services in a country.

d. **Fiscal policy.** “That part of government policy which is concerned with raising revenue through taxation and with deciding on the amounts and purposes of government spending.”

e. **Monetary policy.** “That part of the government's economic policy which tries to control the size of the total stock of money (and other highly liquid financial assets that are close substitutes for money) available in the national economy in order to achieve policy objectives… Monetary policy is said to be “easy,” “loose,” or “expansionary” when the quantity of money in circulation is being rapidly increased and short-term interest rates are thus being pushed down. Monetary policy is said to be “tight” or “contractionary” when the quantity of money available is being reduced (or else allowed to grow only at a slower rate than in the recent past) and short-term interest rates are thus being pushed to higher levels.”

f. **Political Economy.** The definition of political economy includes both domestic and international dimensions. On the national level, public policies deal with issues such as road construction, housing, hospitals, and public education, federal budget, inflation, taxes, unemployment, and the environment. Politicians rise and fall according to their successful management of economic matters. How then, does political economy evolve nationally? And what policies do states put in place to deal with the complex issues of international trade and finance?

g. **Relative Deprivation.** Tedd R. Gurr developed this concept in his book *Why Men Rebel*. He uses a conceptual model to explain internal rebellion, particularly political violence in a form of insurgency. The theory is developed through two basic concepts: the perception of deprivation and the relative nature of the deprivation. **Relative deprivation** refers to a
difference or gap between what people believe they have a right to receive (expectations) and what they actually receive (achievements). Gurr analyzes different scenarios that the divergences between expectations and achievements create (Gurr 1970).

Review of Literature
Several books were used for the construction of this chapter.

a. *International Political Economy: The Struggle for Power & Wealth* by David Skidmore and Thomas Lairson is a general textbook on international political economy intended for use either as a main text or as a supplement. The authors explain the basic concepts, theory, history and policy of international economics. They include data and significant information on topics such as globalization, hunger, population and sustainable development, and methods for measuring development. Included also are economic liberalization country studies on China, India, and Brazil. Discussions on regional economic blocks, the Uruguay Round, and the World Trade Organization are also covered. Skidmore and Lairson also conduct an analysis of investment flows to developing countries and an examination of the 1994-95 Mexican peso crisis. Lastly, they conduct a comparison of post-communist systems in Russia, China, and Vietnam (except that China and Vietnam are still communist).

b. *The Politics of International Economic Relations* by Joan Edelman Spero describes Western, North-South, and East-West economic systems. The two basic themes of Spero’s book are the influence of politics on international economic relations and the political management of international economic relations in the years since World War II.

c. *Economics* by Martin Bronfenbrenner, Werner Sichel, and Wayland Gardner is an excellent, detailed textbook concerning well-established and controversial topics in economics. The authors go into discussion on such topics as microeconomics, macroeconomics, comparative economic systems, and international economics.

II. Economic Sub-Systems
Elements of an Economic System
The following example of an economic sub-system is based on the PMESII system of systems analysis (SoSA) developed by Joint Forces Command in their work on effects based operations. This organization depicts the average economic system. This diagram depicts the essential sub-systems that are important or key to the functioning of this system. However, it should be noted that this is just an example and not a comprehensive model covering all systems used throughout the world. In addition, once the analyst identifies elements of the system, they need to ascertain the strengths, weaknesses, related vulnerabilities and associated components or nodes within the sub-systems.
In general, an economic system is composed of the sum total of production, distribution, and consumption of all goods and services in a state. A myriad of decisions are made by autonomous actors, including entrepreneurs, consumers, workers, and government officials for parts of the sum total of economic activity within an economic system.

a. **Production.** The production sector of the economy consists of industry, agriculture and services.

   (1) **Industrial.** Industrial sub-systems consist of power generation, refineries, and manufacturing (textile, transportation, machine tools, etc.) among others.

   (2) **Agricultural.** Agricultural sub-systems consist of farming, animal husbandry, commercial fishing, food processing and packaging, timber or lumber processing, etc.

   (3) **Services.** The services sub-systems span a broad section ranging from leisure and tourism, financial services and banking, wholesale and retail sales (food, clothing, etc.), entertainment and broadcasting industry, information technology services, and roughly speaking anything else that requires human resources to provide a service that doesn’t produce a tangible durable product.

b. **Distribution.** The distribution sector of the economy consists of wholesale, transportation, and international trade (import/export).

   (1) **Transportation.** Transportation sub-systems are those segments of the economy such as electrical distribution, fuel distribution, rail or truck transportation, maritime shipping and seaports, air travel and airports, or any aspect of the economy that moves something from one place to another.

   (2) **Wholesale and retail sales.** The wholesale and retail sales sub-systems consist of what would be expected; wholesale and retail outlets spanning the spectrum from food to dry goods to business supplies, and warehousing to support this. It also includes aspects of banking services, and information technology that fall into aspect of moving things around.

   (3) **International trade.** The international trade sub-systems consist of those imports and exports of things “needed” to produce industrially, agriculturally, and in the service industry, as well as those things “actually” produced industrially, agriculturally, and in the service industry. This also examines areas of consideration such as trading partnerships and strategic trading alliances.
c. **Consumption.** The consumption sector of the economy consists of industrial (raw material used by industry), domestic, and International.

(1) **Industrial.** The industrial consumption sub-systems consist of those industrial inputs such as minerals, timber, fossil fuels, etc. that are needed to produce products.

(2) **Domestic.** The domestic consumption sub-systems consist of those finished or raw materials and services consumed domestically, either at the top of the food change by consumers, or the bottom of the food change by industry.

(3) **International.** In this sense international consumption or trade sub-systems are concerned with what is consumed domestically from international source, or domestic products and services consumed internationally. These sub-systems are also concerned with balance of trade issues (how much goes out verses how much comes in), balance of payments, foreign aid, and foreign investment.

d. **National Wealth.** The final result of the economic system’s activity is national wealth, which could be simplified to constitute net financial assets of the state after deducting foreign claims.

**Formal Versus Informal**

As mentioned a myriads of decisions by autonomous actors, including entrepreneurs, consumers, workers and government officials form part of the sum total of economic activity within an economic system. It should also be noted that most economies consist of both a formal and informal sector. (Pahl and Gershuny, 1980).

a. **Formal economy.** The formal economy is the official economy, as recognized by the government and based on paid employment. This is also work recorded in official statistics such as tax records, social security, unemployment statistics, etc. And work regulated by official rules such as occupational safety regulations, monetary regulations, etc.

b. **Informal economy.** The informal economy is unofficial and not recognized or regulated by the government. It is also known as an underground or black market economy. These economic activities are not sanctioned by the government and consist of people working off the books. The informal economy falls into at least three sub-divisions (Pahl and Gershuny, 1980).

(1) **Avoiders.** First are those people trying to avoid tax and national insurance burdens. Those not declaring earnings solely for benefits of not declaring earnings.
(2) **Criminals.** Second are those people or groups conducting patently illegal activities, such as organized crime and the narcotics trade, that could not declare their income without jeopardizing their activity. Those not declaring earnings because to do so would be detrimental to them.

(3) **Barter.** Third are those people using bartering arrangements for the exchange of goods and services. Those not declaring earning because there are either no tangible earnings, or to do so would be more trouble then its worth.

Pahl and Gershuny also identify two additional types of economy, that though may be less important to macro political military analysis, may still be important (1980).

c. **Household economy.** Work done within households by members of that household to provide services for themselves.

d. **Communal economy.** Unpaid work outside the household sphere such as voluntary work.

**National Assets**

National Assets are those other components of national wealth, such as tangible assets, intangible assets, stocks, and human resources.

a. **Intangible.** Intangible assets include arable land, standing timber, minerals, fossil fuels deposits, and climate and geography in general.

b. **Tangible.** Tangible assets include physical man-made or infrastructure items such as buildings, factories and other structures, as well as equipment, machinery and transportation assets that support production of wealth.

c. **Stocks.** The term stocks range from semi-processed intangible assets or raw material (cut timber, coal, minerals, etc.), to agricultural products (herd or farm animals, grain, cotton, etc.), to processed finished products and stored goods.

d. **Human Resources / Labor Force.** The human resources or labor force consists of the human element of the society. This includes the size, education, and productivity of the labor force, and can be stratified into discrete elements such as unskilled, semi-skilled, or skilled worker.

**III. Theoretical Perspectives**

**Realist Perspective**

A simple version of the realist tradition has been with us at least in an implicit form since states first engaged in expansion, warfare, and contests of power. From this perspective, there is no over-arching set of rules, no higher
standards to which the state can appeal in deciding action. The international system is anarchistic with the nation-state as the highest authority. In this view, values and laws are human constructs. Instead, the state must concern itself with survival, power, and a pragmatic foreign policy designed to advantage itself in the international system. The treatment of other states externally, and the treatment of persons internally (as subjects or citizens), depends on these practical necessities. At times cooperation will be the best policy, at other times warfare, depending on the interests and needs of the state. To elaborate, realism is based on four key assumptions:

a. **States are the principle actors.** Non-state actors, while important, are significantly less important. United Nations, or other international trade or monetary organizations, for instance may be thought of in terms of independence, but are always made up of sovereign states, and therefore can never be truly independent. Other independent international organizations, such as multinational corporations are always relegated to lesser importance. The nation-state is always the dominant actor.

b. **States are viewed as unitary actors.** The assumption being that even though there are political differences within a country, either within the general populations or within the actual government itself, the state usually has only a single policy on a given issue.

c. **States are rational actors.** Though it is understood that there are exceptions to what is rational, it is still assumed a rational type process is taken to develop policies. This includes the limitations on available information, value estimates of resources, bias, misperceptions, etc. Whatever the case, choices made are perceived as good enough for the objectives sought.

d. **National security is the foremost concern.** The realist views economics from a national security perspective. “A realist focuses on actual or potential conflict between state actors and the use of force, examining how international stability is attained or maintained, how it breaks down, the utility of force as a means to resolve disputes, and the prevention of the violation of territorial integrity. Power, therefore, is a key concept.” (Viotti and Kauppi, 7)

Liberal Perspective

Liberalism covers a fairly broad perspective ranging from Wilsonian Idealism through to contemporary neo-liberal theories and the democratic peace thesis. Here states are but one actor in world politics, and even states can cooperate together through institutional mechanisms and bargaining that undermine the propensity to base interests simply in military terms. States are interdependent, but other actors such as Multinational Corporations, International Monetary Fund, and the United Nations play a role.
Traditional liberalism claims governments founded on a respect for individual liberty exercises “restraint” and “peaceful intentions” in their foreign policy. There are three distinct theoretical traditions of liberalism, attributable to three theorists.

a. **Liberal Pacifism.** This is what the U.S. invokes. Joseph Schumpeter (democratic capitalist) believed that the interaction of capitalism and democracy is the foundation of liberal pacifism, and he tested his arguments in a sociology of historical imperialisms. Capitalism and democracy are forces for peace and are antithetical to imperialism.

b. **Liberal Imperialism.** This is what the U.S. often practices. Niccolo Machiavelli (classical republican) argues that republics are not pacifistic, but are the best form of state for imperial expansion. A republic designed for imperial expansion is the best way to guarantee the survival of a state. His republic was not quite a democracy. In his view, disunion created liberty through competition and compromise, or a separation of power between the consuls (rulers), senate, and tribunes (people).

c. **Liberal Republicanism.** This is what the U.S. aspires for. Emanuel Kant (liberal republican) argued that liberal states exercise restraint with it dealing with one another forming a “zone of peace” (e.g., free and democratic states don’t attack one another). He believed that a perpetual peace was possible if all nations became republics (representative government with separation of power), federation of free states, and cosmopolitan law (internal laws that allow the free interaction of foreigners without hostility).

Historical Structural Perspective

Structuralists view politics, and indeed most human activity, as a struggle between different groups in the society. They see the society as a hierarchal structure of horizontal groups (e.g., classes, gender, religious groups, ethnic groups, etc.). Structuralists view the political, economic, and cultural systems as means for the group at the top to maintain its position. The state is thus simply a tool of the dominant social group and can only be analyzed in the context of the larger social structure and politics can only be understood as part of a larger social struggle.

a. **Marxian Model.** There are various structuralist models, but the Marxian model is the most common. A careful distinction must be made between a Marxian and Marxist approach. The Marxist approach is based on the political ideology (and dogma) of people who identify themselves as “Marxists” or “Marxist-Leninists”. The Marxian approach is based on the manner in which Marx analyzed political systems, and is best exemplified in his less well-known 18th Brumaire of Louis Napoleon. Thus this approach is based on Marx’s methodology not his conclusions. For instance this approach will see political issues as matters of class conflict,
but will not assume that revolution is the only way these conflicts will be resolved. The Marxian approach focuses on the socio-economic class structure of a nation. It analyzes the state in terms of the role it plays in advancing or protecting the interests of the dominant class. This approach also places great emphasis on the role of the state in economics and on the role of social institutions on supporting the status quo.

IV. Economic Models

All economic systems operate within an economic model. While there are many models, today most fall into one of two categories: Capitalist or Socialist. There are many variables for both models, for instance greater or lesser participation of the public and private sectors in managing the economy. Both models also have a variety variables in common, though differ in degree. For instance, formal and informal economies, degrees of accountability for anti-social behavior, or for that matter what is considered anti-social.

a. Capitalism. Capitalism has been defined in various ways. In common usage it refers to an economic system in which all or most of the means of production are privately owned and operated for profit, and where investments, production, distribution, income, and prices are determined largely through the operation of a free market rather than by centralized state control as in a command economy.

Most theories of what has come to be called capitalism characterise it as an economic system where capital is privately owned and economic decisions are determined in a free market – that is, by trades that occur as a result of voluntary agreement between buyers and sellers; where a market mentality and entrepreneurial spirit exists; and where specific, legally enforcable, notions of property and contact are instituted. Such theories typically try to explain why capitalist economies are likely to generate more economic growth than those subject to a greater degree of governmental intervention. Some emphasize the private ownership of capital as being the essence of capitalism; while others emphasize the importance of a free market as a mechanism for the movement and accumulation of capital. The following are some key concepts concerning capitalism:

(1) Private sector is the dynamic force in the economy.

(2) The economic system seeks optimum balance between state and private sector in management of the economy.

b. Socialism. Socialism is an ideology with the core belief that a society should exist in which individuals control the means of power, and therefore the means of production. Thus, the state is not subjected to the ownership, control, or power structures of others. In application, however, the de facto meaning of socialism has evolved and branched to a great
degree, and though highly politicized, is strongly related to the establishment of an organized working class, created through either revolution or social evolution, with the purpose of building a classless society. It has also, increasingly, become concentrated on social reforms within modern democracies. This concept and the term **Socialist** also refer to a group of ideologies, an economic system, or a state that exists or has existed.

(1) **Marxist theory.** In Marxist theory, socialism also refers to the society that would succeed capitalism, and in some cases develop further into communism. Marxism and communism are both very specific branches of socialism. The two do not represent socialism as a whole.

(2) **Socialist theory.** In modern socialist theory, it is the goal of creating a democratic society that forms the backbone of an ideal welfare state.

The word socialism dates back at least to the early 19th. It was first used, self-referentially, in the English language in 1827 to refer to followers of Robert Owen. In France, again self-referentially, it was used in 1832 to refer to followers of the doctrines of Saint-Simon. Use of the word spread widely and has been used differently in different times and places, both by various individuals and groups that consider themselves socialist and by their opponents. While there is wide variation between socialist groups, nearly all would agree that they are bound together by a common history rooted originally in 19th and 20th struggles by industrial and agricultural workers, operating according to principles of solidarity and advocating an egalitarian society, with an economy that would, in their view, serve the broad populace rather than a favored few. The following are a few key concepts concerning socialism:

(1) Public sector dominates the economy.

(2) Generally based on central planning.

(3) State ownership of natural resources and basic industry.

(4) Limited private ownership of economic resources.

(5) Public welfare and social control.

**V. Economic Tools for Foreign Policy**

Economic tools for foreign policy consist basically of positive and negative tools.

a. **Positive / Persuasive.** Permit and liberalize debt rescheduling, expand preferential tariff treatment, regulatory trade agreements, permit participation, expand trade credits, expand and promote investment, encourage business contacts, expand trade missions, expand and open
OPIC/EXIM/TDA, liberalize trade controls, negotiate tax agreements and treaties, support membership and positions in IFIs, relax financial controls, release frozen assets, expand postal cooperation, increase aid and technical assistance.

b. **Negative / Coercive.** Tighten terms on debt, restrict investment, discourage business contacts, close trade missions, restrict on a targeted basis OPIC/EXIM/IDA, limited trade controls (commodity/product based), oppose membership or position in IFIs, increase financial controls, suspend or restrict aid and technical assistance, suspend debt, suspend or not initiate preferred tariff treatment, suspend regional trade agreements, restrict trade credits, restrict investment, ban business contacts, suspend trade missions, suspend OPIC/EXIM/TDA, expand trade controls, trade embargo, suspend tax agreement, suspend tax treaty, urge exclusion IFIs, freeze assets, activate G7 sanctions group.

VI. Economic Issues

a. **International Monetary Relations.** International monetary system consists of exchange rates, external debt, monetary transfers, balance of payments, capital movement, foreign capital, and foreign investment. Domestically this relates to public financing, taxation, currency exchange, banking regulations, insurance, financial management, investment and capital management.

b. **Global Trade Relations and Organizations.** Global trade relations examines the legal and institutional aspects of the global trading system. It emphasizes issues relating to the central aspects of global trade such as globalization, World Trade Organization (its Dispute Resolution System), global trade negotiations, trade agreement formulation, import relief, export promotion, export controls, outsourcing and global E-commerce.

c. **Foreign Debt.** Foreign debt is external debt, or the part of a state's debt owed to creditors outside the country. This includes debt owed to private commercial banks, governments, or international financial institutions such as the International Monetary Fund and World Bank.

d. **Regionalism.** In international relations, regionalisation (or regionalism) is actually the set of processes that lead states to work together in an international system on a regional scale. From the realist perspective of international relations it is actually an outward expansion from the nation-state. Behind the process of regionalisation lies the concept of regionalism. This can be seen as the normative aspects, or values, that underly regionalisation, e.g. the (contested) European identity. However, it is also a theoretical tool for analysis of international relations. For example the concept of security regimes in regional security would not be possible without the analytic tool of regionalism.
e. **Financial Infrastructure of Non-Traditional Markets.** Financial infrastructures include structures, players, instruments, pricing, regulations, and specific terms. This includes equity markets, government and corporate bond markets, mortgage markets, money markets, foreign exchange markets and rates and interest rates. It also explores the institutions involved in these markets such as banks, central banks, brokers and dealers, exchanges, self-regulatory organizations, investment companies, pension funds, insurance companies and regulatory agencies.

f. **Dynamics of Corrupting and Informal Economies.** It should be noted that in most economic systems there are two economic worlds, the formal economy and the informal or underground economy. As noted, these informal economies do not necessarily equate to illegal activities, though that can be a significant portion. Even in the U.S., there is a huge underground economy fed by organized crime and the narcotics trade, people in the services sector avoiding taxes through such practices as not reporting tips, and in some areas, bartering arrangements for the exchange of goods and services.

VII. **International Development**

a. **North-South Relations.** North-South relations have generally been considered the relations between the wealthy, industrialized states of the “North” and the states of the so-called poor, agricultural, underdeveloped (or developing world) “South.” Generally speaking the South consists of the states of Central and South America, Africa, South Asia, and the like (South of the Equator). However, this is only a generalization since it is increasingly misleading to equate North and South with rich and poor states, industrialized and non-industrialized states, developed and underdeveloped states. Each group of the aforementioned descriptions contains states of enormous diversity by all economic measures. Some of the world's richest states in per capita income terms are now in the “South,” and many states, which continue to identify themselves with the South now contain very sophisticated industrial sectors with rapidly growing competitive export capacity.

b. **World Bank.** The World Bank’s mission is to fight poverty and improve the living standards of people in the developing world. It is a development bank, which provides loans, policy advice, technical assistance and knowledge sharing services to low and middle income states to reduce poverty. The bank promotes growth to create jobs and to empower poor people to take advantage of these opportunities.

c. **Import Substitution.** Also know as *Import substitution industrialization*, is a trade and economic policy based on the premise that a developing state should attempt to substitute products which it imports (mostly finished goods) with locally produced substitutes. This usually involves government subsidies and high tariff barriers to protect
local industries and hence import substitution policies are not favored by advocates of absolute free trade. In addition, import substitution typically advocates an overvalued currency to allow easier purchase of foreign goods and capital controls.

d. **Import Replacement.** As mentioned import substitution is when a state replaces imports with goods it “already” produces. While import replacement is when a region replaces imports with goods it “can now make” for itself. In other words, import replacement is distinguished by the start of local production tailored to the particular needs and preferences of the region. The state develops the capacity to alter production qualities and creates inputs and products uniquely suited to local uses. Practically speaking, encouraging import replacement as opposed to import substitution may not be feasible. Deliberate policies are more likely to be able to effect import substitution.

e. **Export-Led Growth.** Export-led growth hypothesis examines whether manufacturing exports have become a new engine of growth. It suggests that both total exports and manufacturing exports have long run and contemporaneous effects on the growth of GDP as well as manufacturing output. For manufacturing output, however, both total exports and manufacturing exports emerge as engines of growth. Therefore, manufacturing exports is not the sole determinant of the export-led growth.

VIII. **Political Economy Summary**

Despite setbacks in other parts of the world, the U.S. economy tends to be vibrant thanks to sound and effective economic policies – less government regulations and lower marginal tax rates on economic gains. A century ago, Karl Marx, the father of socialism, and John Maynard Keynes, the father of modern capitalistic economics, distinguished themselves by becoming two of the first economists to recognize the economic basis of political change. Although socialism and communism have since been discredited, their followers have not forgotten that relationship. Until recent times, capitalist scholars, politicians, and analysts have tended to separate economics from politics. Dramatic events of recent times have reminded them of the economic dimension to domestic and international political events. For the political military analyst, the study of economics cannot be divorced from the political context.

IX. **Interrelationship with Other Systems**

National

a. **Political.** Domestically, political economy is the economic analysis of public policy issues and the making of policies for addressing these issues. Economics, the process of the authoritative allocation of society’s values
and its resources, pervades domestic politics since almost every political decision has an economic consequence.

b. **Social.** A regime’s political legitimacy, that is how well it is recognized by the public as the authorized bearer of national power and responsibility, is derived from various religious, historical, cultural, ideological, and economic sources. For example, the constitutional monarchy of King Hassan II of Morocco derives its legitimacy from the King’s claim to the descent from the Prophet Muhammad. The Alaouite dynasty’s historical claim dates back to 1649, and involves the monarchy’s role in protecting Arab culture against Western influences and in negotiating independence from French colonialism.

Perhaps of even greater significance is the King’s ability to maintain strong military forces and his success at making the Moroccan economy one of the strongest in North Africa without the benefits of oil. Since a government’s primary function is the maintenance of the national well being, its legitimacy depends heavily on its ability to provide economically for its citizens in terms of equity (income distribution), efficiency (economic regulation), and stability (economic growth and development). Thus, when a government loses the popular support of its population in these areas, it must either respond to retain its authority or eventually fall, whether it is a democratic or authoritarian regime.

The failure of the Communist Party in Czechoslovakia to sustain itself in national elections in 1990 is an example of the consequences of the loss of legitimacy. Similarly, the student demonstrations and massacre in China’s Tiananmen Square in June 1989 profile an example of a government’s harsh response to popular dissatisfaction and disillusionment. The level of popular dissatisfaction will determine a government’s ability to loosen political and economic restraints for fear of losing its power to opposition groups. In the U.S., the success of the Clinton administration to win election to a second term was due in part to the American public’s impression of a strong robust economy.

"Economic strength is the basis on which a nation satisfies the needs of its people for goods and services, and also on which it is able to build its organized military capabilities, manufacture arms, supply manpower, and provide the logistic and technical support needed by modern armies, navies and air forces. Through investment and trade, nations help to enrich one another, and standards of living depend not only on the efficiency of national economic activity but also on access to resources and markets through international commerce." (Pay S. Cline, *World Power Trends and US Foreign Policy for the 1980’s*)

(1) Military. Inherent in the preservation of the national well being is the capacity of a government to defend itself and to secure its interests abroad. The price to pay for security is high; military forces must be paid, equipment purchased, and wars fought. No government can devote its entire resources to security. Even in wartime, it must ensure food, clothing, and shelter to its population. Thus, the issue of guns versus butter surfaces as a political dilemma for most nations. Politicians must balance military expenditures with other expenditures for the national well being. The state must address issues such as how much of the nation’s budget should be allocated to social security, agricultural support, education, development or improvements in infrastructure, and strategic and conventional weapon systems.

In democracies, these perennial questions mold public debate; in authoritarian regimes the same issues shape policies – but behind closed doors with little or no public redress for unpopular decisions. Until recently, the United States, Eastern and Western Europe were willing to devote large portions of their national budgets to defense. That situation has changed and defense cuts are appearing in the budgets of most of these countries. Some states such as Vietnam, China, North and South Korea, and Israel, to name a few, still devote significant portions of their budgets to the military.

The guns versus butter dilemma affects military strategy in two ways: capabilities and strategy formulation. For instance, the American strategies of “deterrence” and “massive retaliation” evolved in American foreign policy in response to several different factors after World War II. First, the U.S. was reluctant to invest in a large military force to oppose Stalin’s aggressive foreign policies. In this post World War II era, the American public was also heavily investing in the reconstruction of Europe and Japan, while the American economy continued struggling to throw off the vestiges of the depression, find jobs for returning troops, and convert wartime industries to peacetime industries. Nuclear weapons were cheaper than conventional military forces and carried a more significant and, therefore, effective threat of total destruction. The decision to pursue a nuclear deterrence strategy based on massive retaliation allowed for both “guns” and “butter” in the American economy.

On the other hand, the high cost of sufficient conventional forces to meet the Soviet threat compelled the U.S. in the 1950's to adopt a new strategy. “Massive retaliation” depended upon nuclear weapons to counter overwhelming Soviet conventional forces. While “Flexible response” has superseded it, costs still dictate ultimate reliance on nuclear weapons for conventional deterrence.
National Interests. National interest determination frequently relates directly to the size and capability of a nation’s budget. A country can only pursue those interests for which it has sufficient resources. In 1983, President Ronald Reagan decided that it was in the national interest of the U.S. to develop the Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI or “Star Wars”). In another example, Libya, in support of its stated national interests, had for years provided financial and other resources to various political extremist groups from the Irish Republican Army to the West German Red Army Faction to various dissident Palestinian groups based in Damascus. With the fall in the price of oil in 1986, Libya saw the bounties of high oil prices disappear. Colonel Qaddafi, Libya’s leader, was forced to cut back on this support for such international groups. Linked to Libya’s national interests have been those of West European governments, especially France and Italy. Their decision to pursue diplomatic relations and ease economic restrictions imposed on Libya in 1986 after the bombing of a discotheque in West Berlin, reflect their economic interests in Libya – access to oil.

Observations such as the aforementioned reinforce the intuitive recognition of the need for a solid understanding of a country’s economic system to predict with any validity its actions and policies; therefore, the analyst must evaluate the political constraints and imperatives induced by economic conditions. This study commences with an assessment of the three economic functions of government: equity (income distribution), efficiency (economic regulation), and stability (economic growth). Sustained deficiencies in these areas mandate governmental actions to retain regime legitimacy.

In most Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) countries, income distribution can be readily measured from published statistics. Median and model incomes and poverty-level figures provide a basis of measurement. In the absence of a significant middle-class, wide variance in income or wealth may lead to popular dissatisfaction that could foster governmental change or even revolt.

In non-OECD countries, the necessary statistics are often either unavailable or unreliable. For instance, in the former Soviet Union, President Gorbachev’s salary was 900 rubles a month, much less than the 2,000 rubles a month a Soviet marshal earned. Yet, special discount stores – open only to the highest party officials – readily furnished expensive goods at lower prices, which the general public could not obtain at premium prices. In these situations, the analyst must rely upon non-statistical measurements such as rationing, black market practices, and queues to describe the differences in living standards.
Government also assumes the responsibility of managing the supply of goods and services by regulation to assure the economy meets the needs of the populace. In a free or mixed market economy, a fundamental governmental task is to guarantee competition by controlling monopolies. In command economies where competition is minimal, this function includes provisions for adequate production inputs. But efficient management of the economy also entails control of corollary production factors: spillover effects (pollution, unsafe drugs and foods, strip mining), public goods (public health services, highways, and law and order), and taxation. For instance, Zaire's (which is now the Democratic Republic of Congo) lack of adequate transportation systems impeded the flow of agricultural products and induced a return to subsistence agriculture after decolonization.

d. Finally, the government seeks to stabilize the economy to eliminate the peaks and troughs of the business cycle. Sustained economic growth results from an effective macroeconomic policy that consists of high output, high employment, price level stability, and sustainable balance of payments. While the Gross National Product (GNP) is the basic measurement of an economy, macroeconomic policy is gauged respectively by productivity, the unemployment rate, the inflation rate, and net exports. Lack of improvement in these four areas may pose the greatest threat to a government. Radical change or consistent failure in these areas indicates problems that governments cannot ignore without significant adverse consequences.

International

a. **Physical Environment.** A more accurate analysis surfaces through an examination of a country's self-sufficiency in four elements of economic power: energy, critical non-fuel minerals, industrial capacity, and food production. The need for energy permeates economies and, while oil constitutes the primary source, hydroelectric power, nuclear reactors, and old-fashioned coal enhance self-sufficiency and modernization. Scarce non-fuel minerals – for example, iron ore, copper, bauxite, chromate, and uranium – are critical to modern technology and, therefore, military hardware production. Three products most effectively indicate industrial capacity: steel (basic industry), aluminum (light industry), and concrete (building materials). These products, in turn, describe the country's capability to produce military equipment and necessary goods. People must eat, so food production also determines economic power. It can best be measured by net import-export of three basic foods: wheat, coarse grains, and rice.

The preceding factors depict gross vulnerabilities to external influence. They must be refined by examination of patterns of trade. If a country depends on a single country or region for any of the critical ingredients, a stranglehold could be established. If no alternative sources exist, then the
source could be a vital interest. Similarly, trade routes by which products and raw materials are shipped become vital interests; e.g., the Panama Canal, and the Straits of Malacca and Gibraltar. In any case, any dependence on foreign sources for any of the economic factors of national power becomes a possibility for external influence by either denial or assistance.

b. Political.

(1) Political. Internationally, the political economy is the interplay of economic and political factors in international relations and foreign policy. It may involve trade issues, monetary policy, or debt relief and economic restructuring. It has manifested itself through calls for a New International Economic Order (NIEO), a set of demands by the Third World to alter the existing international economic system to improve its economic conditions, and Dependency Theory, a neo-Marxist explanation for the continued impoverishment of the poor countries of the world. The Bretton-Woods International Monetary Order, the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT), the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the World Bank, the World Trade Organization (WTO), and various Development Banks and international aid agencies are all responses to international economic problems within their political context. On a more regional basis, the effects of the direct relationship between politics and economics appeared in the European Union, the Magrebi Union of North Africa, the Gulf Cooperation Council, the Latin American Free Trade Association, the Central American Common Market, the Association of Southeast Asian Nations, the Asian Development Bank, and the North American Free Trade Agreement.

(2) National Interests. To determine economic dimensions of vital national interests and international influence, the analyst needs a framework to define existing relationships. Import-export balances, exchange rates, and current account deficits depict a country's general position in the international system. The peaks, troughs, and rapid changes of these economic indicators suggest external penetration and potential vulnerabilities, and indicate problems that could cause policy shifts.

As no event occurs in isolation from its predecessors, national economic systems do not operate in seclusion from the world around them. Most modern industrialized economies depend on imports to furnish raw materials and on exports to pay for them. No industrial economy can function without energy, yet few states have sufficient resources within their borders. Thus, the 1973 oil crisis offers a poignant example of the economic factor in the determination of vital national interests. The oil embargo prompted radical measures in
Europe and the United States. The U.S. continued dependence on foreign oil supplies led President Carter in 1979 to declare the Persian Gulf a vital national interest. This was to dispel any delusions the Soviets might have had, following their invasion of Afghanistan, of continuing south to attain a warm water port. Similarly, Nasser's nationalization of the Suez Canal threatened British and French trade routes upon which their industrial development depended. His action intensified other grievances against him and precipitated the 1956 Anglo-French invasion of Egypt to recapture the Canal. The Gulf War was a result of a coalition of nations determined to repel Saddam Hussein’s invasion of Kuwait and the security of the rich oil deposits in the region.

c. National Security. The examination of a state’s economy and international trade patterns can reveal vulnerabilities to external influences. Other states can use these weaknesses to achieve their own objectives. Economic sanctions offer a negative inducement. The Soviet grain and OPEC oil embargoes overtly illustrate this point, but often the tacit threat works more effectively. Although U.S. dependence on critical minerals from South Africa tempered American criticism of the Afrikaner regime, sanctions were imposed against South Africa.

The most difficult and perhaps the most rewarding political objective of international economics lies in the creation of economic ties of such a strong nature that countries mutually benefit from the relationships. Historical examples of this include: the European Coal and Steel Community; the context of the General Agreement on Trade and Tariffs (GATT); the Bretton Woods Accord; the Maghrebi Union of North Africa; the Asia Pacific Economic Caucus (APEC); and the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA).

While economics or any other single political military analytical system cannot tell the whole story, its pervasive impact on domestic politics, foreign policy, defense policy, and diplomacy dictates its prominent place in the analyst's repertoire of tools. Decisions always incur costs, and in today's intricate international system, they often have both an economic impetus and an economic impact. As such, foreign policy can neither be understood nor predicted without consideration of the economic dimension.

X. Questions to Consider
There are questions to consider when analyzing the economic system.

a. In its bilateral or multilateral relations with other states, does the country use economic rewards or punishments; how effective are these techniques?
b. How dependent is the nation’s economy on the outside world?

c. What kind of economy has the government established?

d. What are the social and political consequences of the decision to either shift or not to shift the economy?

e. Where does the nation’s economy fall within the international political economy?

f. How do international law and regulations affect the nation’s political economy?

g. Is the nation adopting protectionist practices? If so, what is its rational?

XI. Research Sources

a. http://www.auburn.edu/academic/liberal_art/pol_sci/U120/U120.html

b. http://g8.cuonline.edu


e. http://www.usitc.gov/tr/tr.htm
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CHAPTER SIX
INFORMATION SYSTEM

I. Media and the Information System

Introduction
While we generally accept that the role of the mass media is a critical political military factor; it is difficult to know precisely what that influence is. However, an information system consist of more then just the public mass media. In analyzing an information system, the analyst must also examine areas as diverse as the postal service and phone services to the web and military communication. These are infrastructure, organizations and people, as well as the processes associated with acquiring, analyzing and disseminating information and intelligence, whether related to civil or military organizations. What is the power, influence, and effect of these various media? The short answer is causal relationships are difficult to ascertain because of the confounding effects of multiple variables.

Definitions
a. Information System. An Information System is comprised of the entire infrastructure, organization, personnel, and components that collect, process, store, transmit, display, disseminate, and act on information (JP 1-02, and JP 3-13).
b. Media. Media defined by Dutton is a “critical cultural institution in which ideas and meanings are created and circulated within the society” (10).
c. Mass Media. Mass media is a relative term that refers to media that reaches a large number of people.

II. Information Sub-Systems
The following example of an information sub-system is based on the PMESII system of systems analysis (SoSA) developed by Joint Forces Command in their work on effects based operations. This organization depicts the basic elements of an information system. This diagram depicts the essential sub-systems that are important, or key to the functioning of this system. However, it should be noted that this is just an example and not a comprehensive model covering all systems used throughout the world. In addition, once the analyst identifies elements of the system, they need to ascertain the strengths, weaknesses, related vulnerabilities and associated components or nodes within the sub-systems.

As mentioned, an information system is comprised of the entire infrastructure, organization, personnel, and components that collect, process, and store, transmit, display, disseminate, and act on information. Since the “entire” infrastructure is quite large consisting of diverse areas from international
computer networks to postal carriers, it is sub-divided into global information sub-systems, national information sub-systems, and defense information sub-systems.

a. **Global Information Sub-Systems.** In the global arena an analyst should examine what “international information-related organizations” a state is a party to. Then examine their “international sources” of information technologies, hardware, software, scientific and technical data. Next the analyst should examine the “global grid” physical connectivity for the state.

b. **National Information Sub-Systems.** In examining the National information sub-systems the analyst should:

1. **National organizations.** Examine the “organizations that regulate” or deal in information. These are the ministries or agencies charged with regulating either the content or carriers of information. Next the analyst examines “intelligence agencies,” who’s product is information to assist in achieving national objectives. Then examine organizations involved with “information operations.”

2. **National architecture.** Examine the “national information architecture” to include the “public switched telephone networks” (voice), “packet switched networks” (data), “dedicated networks” and the old fashion “postal courier networks.” This should also include in the “information storage repositories.”

3. **Mass communications.** Mass communications are those organizations that create and distribute information for mass consumption. These are the “traditional broadcast” (radio and television) and “print media” (newspapers, magazines, etc.); with the more modern “web oriented forms” of communication (electronic publications, streaming radio, and other multi-media publications).

4. **National information technology sources.** Examine national sources of information technology, from the “scientific and technical organizations” to companies that produce “IT hardware and software.”

c. **Defense Information Sub-Systems.** In examining the defense information sub-systems, the analyst should examine military command, control, and communications at the strategic, operational, and sometimes tactical level. This does not include the institutional doctrine for command and control of the military. The analyst should focus primarily on the third C in C3, the communications systems that facilitate or inhibit effective command and control.
### III. Analyzing the Medium

In examining the forms of media, the analyst is also examining the mediums used for transmission. In his examination of the effects different mediums have on communication, Marshall McLuhan declared the often quoted statement “the medium is the message.” Here the focus is on technology and production less then the actual message.

The analyst must also determine the relative importance and roles the various types of media have on the society. Does the society tend to prefer oral, print-based, electronic or some combination. Does this vary depending on the sector of the society? For most of the world, oral modes of communication continue to be an important means by which societal values are transmitted and acculturation takes place.

**Formal**

Good reference books, such as World Radio & TV Handbook, are a useful starting point for radio and television. Other reference books are also available for other media.

a. **Print.** Print or visual media consist primarily of hard copy that can be read, such as newspapers, magazines, books, leaflets/pamphlets, posters, direct mail, and billboards. Though, the analyst might include the internet (web pages, email and instant messages) and cellular phone text messaging. As a side note, it has be alleged and anecdotal evidence suggests that text and instant messaging have contributed to mass rallies in a number of diverse states such as Lebanon, and Georgia.

   - Newspapers
   - Books
   - Posters
   - Internet
   - Magazines
   - Leaflets / Pamphlets
   - Direct Mail
   - Billboard

b. **Audio.** Audio, aural, or oral media consists of what can be heard, such as radio, audio recordings, telephone, and loud speakers.

   - Telephone
   - Radio
   - Audio Recordings
   - Loud Speakers

c. **Audio-Visual.** Audio-visual media are those combination medias of sight and sound such as television, films, A.V. recordings (VHS, DVD), internet multimedia. It also includes live presentations such as plays, rallies, conventions, church sermons, school lessons, and even word of mouth. This could include loud speaker appeals if they are combined with a visual element.
d. **Art/Music/Architecture.** While new art, and music can be timely measures of a society, as well as good mediums for conveying timely messages, architecture does not tend to be timely. In general however, the arts, music, and architecture give the analyst a broad picture of a society's values, sophistication, and technical advancement.

Informal

One of the axioms of communications is that nothing travels faster than a rumor. There are also studies that estimate that the majority of interpersonal communications are informal. Traditionally, the main forms of informal communication in a society have been through oral communication channels. That is personal contacts with friends, family, colleagues, and teachers; and takes place during discussions, rumor mills, informal meetings, seminars, lectures, conferences, fairs, etc. Informal communication may use other forms of media, such as telephones, text messages, emails, and even non-verbal communications, but in an informal way. Informal verbal communication is valued for the speed of information transfer.

a. **Oral Communications.** Oral channels are often rapid and effective channels for conveying information. Informal oral communication allows for a high degree of flexibility and are easy to use and gives the possibility of a two-way communication between the producer and the receiver of the information. However, oral communication is seldom comprehensive; and can be difficult to convey detailed information about methods, constructions or results in a verbal presentation. A widespread network of personal contacts is of great importance for gathering information.

b. **Weighing Informal Communication.** The only weight informal communication carries comes from the reputation of the communicator. Someone known to be honest with a good reputation will be heeded; those with questionable reputations, or with obvious bias’ and positions will find their communications disregarded, lessened or drowned out by higher value communicators. If we can't, or don't want to stop informal communications, what are the options?

(1) **Sender’s Reputation.** Ensure that the senders reputation adds weight to the communications. This is done by avoiding basic pitfalls of communications: Say what you mean, acknowledge uncertainty, and, when necessary, admit in public if you are wrong. All of these steps
add value to communications. If people believe what the sender says in formal communications, it will limit the informal exchange of information to elaborations and clarifications. If they don't, people will exchange information attempting to discover what's really going on. This can lead to further distortions and confusions, eventually creating a situation where people don't trust the formal communications.

(2) **Seeding Information.** The sender carefully seeds select information into the informal network, placing it where it is most likely to reach the right ears.

(3) **Control or Direct.** To control or direct informal communications the following must be determined:

(a) Who is the target for the information? Who do we want to share this information with? Single targets are more difficult to reach than wide groups of people.

(b) Who is the target most likely to turn to in order to find out the information?

(c) How can we seed the information we want passed on? The "idle chatter" method uses a large number of people in a broad cross section of society.

(d) Once the seed of information is released, it becomes a trade good. If seeded correctly, it will reach the target.

(e) By building credibility in the sender’s formal communications and leveraging the informal communications channel enable the sender to turn the social network to their advantage. The inevitable and desirable exchange of information then serves as a powerful tool rather than a potential landmine.

c. **Categories of Informal Communications.** The following three categories of informal communications (intended, opportunistic, or spontaneous), are by no means the only ones, or the only theories.

(1) **Intended.** Intended interactions occur when one person seeks out another to discuss a specific topic, but where there is no pre-arranged plan to talk.

(2) **Opportunistic.** Opportunistic interactions occur when one person happens to see another and take that opportunity to discuss a particular topic.

(3) **Spontaneous.** Spontaneous interactions occur because two people happen to see each other and get into a conversation on a topic not prepared by either person.
IV. Communications Theory

Analyzing media can be approached from the standpoint of the basic elements of communications theory: sender, medium, message, and receiver. In looking at the media from these elements the analyst can also highlight the tenets of the major theoretical perspectives. However, the analyst should be wary of theorists who try to explain the media by focusing on only one aspect of the communication process.

Sender

A common question posed is: who “controls” the media? Who determines what messages to transmit? Is it the government, commercial sources, journalists, editors, the public (by what they will buy or view), or some combination of the above?

a. Sender Models. The following are several common sender models of communications theory.

(1) Propaganda model. The propaganda or Marxist models focus on the senders and their messages. In these models, the media shapes culture, but as an agent of social control. It is subservient to the dominant forces (elite) in the economic and political environment. “The interests of the ruling group (ruling class, patriarchy, etc.) are maintained through the media reproducing a dominant ideology, a set of beliefs and ideas which represent those interests as natural” (Dutton, 5). Ownership of the media is regarded as a key component in the domination of one class over another. From the Marxist perspective, the concentration of ownership and high entry costs prohibit contending viewpoints from being heard.

(2) Economic model. In the economic model, the mass media is viewed as an industry. The mass media caters to market segments. The owners or senders seek to maximize audiences, rather than control or change audiences. In this model, what is produced is determined by demand. Profitability is the overarching goal. From this perspective, the prevailing views espoused in the media will be those in consonance or harmony with the prevailing cultural norms and values. Though it could be argued that control or change is desired by the sender, but geared toward generating profit, rather ideological control.

(3) Pluralist model. In the pluralist or free press models, no single sector of senders is omnipotent. There is a distribution of power in society; many competing interests have access to the media. While mass media tend to reinforce central values, the mass media also reflect culture. Changes in culture are reflected in changes in media. Even within sectors, no group is a monolith. The evidence of the utility of this model is indicated by the degree of diversity in a country's media.
(4) **Functionalist model.** In the functionalist model, the focus is on determining the roles and functions that media serve in a society. What role does the media play in socialization? Is the function of media entertainment, informational, ritual, or some combination (for example, “infotainment”)?

b. **Sender Elements.** The following are elements of the sender that the analyst should be aware and use in developing their picture of the media.

(1) **Media ownership.** In analyzing senders, it is important to examine who owns mass media systems. It is also important to ascertain who finances media. For example, in the West, corporations own much of the media, but are financed primarily through advertisement (and indirectly, the audience). The analyst should also look at the role of conglomerates. Is ownership highly concentrated and what are the implications of this concentration? Who has access to media production?

(2) **Government influence of the media.** Does the government influence media and if so, how? Is there overt control by the government, or does the government have an impact in other ways? How important is the government as a source of information? Even when the media is not explicitly controlled by the government, what role does the media play in disseminating government viewpoints? Is the news primarily generated by government press releases and news events, or does investigative reporting play the major role? What influence does the government have in determining how issues in the media are framed?

(3) **Media Regulations.** What are the public policies, laws, or regulations that govern the media? Are there license fees, accreditation procedures, or legal restrictions on what can be propagated? Is there overt censorship, or limits on what can be said (what’s proper)? What happens to those who produce “illegal” media? Are there subtle or indirect forms of censorship? Is there a government ministry of information (or culture) which controls, restricts or has an impact on what is produced?

> Every [Arab] government but Qatar has an information ministry whose essential task is to mobilize the media – and through them, the public at large – in support of government policies. Information ministries monitor reporters’ activities and whereabouts and help to shape (and sometimes wholly shape) the news agenda – both that which they produce for domestic consumption, and that which they allow to be broadcast overseas. (Alterman, 1998, 49)

(4) **Government control of external sources.** How does the government control or, influence media emanating from external sources? Are
there restrictions on foreign ownership? Are there rules that favor certain producers? For example, in Saudi Arabia, in addition to overt rules, al-Sharq al-Aswat (The Middle East) is the only international newspaper that is printed in Saudi Arabia. This means it is the first international paper available each day. The paper is generally very supportive of the Saudi regime (Alterman, 1998).

(5) **Media situation.** Is the media in a state of transition from being government-dominated to a more pluralist model? And what are the implications? In states where media has been dominated by political propaganda, there are indications that people react differently to new media, particularly advertisements.

This has been true in Russia, where the population is unforgiving of anything resembling propaganda or distortion (Davidson, 1992). Overall in Russia, advertisements have tended to remain heavily factual rather than persuasive (Mueller, 1996). Based on research surveys of Russians after being exposed to Western television commercials in 1990, a member of the J. Walter Thompson agency described them as ambivalent about advertising:

> Emotionally, commercials are capable of evoking two opposing kinds of responses. **On the one hand, they give a quality catharsis — an escape from daily hardship into fantasy and dreams. On the other hand they are capable of causing deep resentment and a wish to distance oneself because the imagery is too painful and absurdly removed from current reality.** (As quoted in Davidson, 1992. 48)

Brzezinski makes a similar point in his discussion of global communications and their effect on increasing awareness of inequalities (1993).

(6) **Media technology.** What effect has technology had on the sender? Does technology make it more difficult for governments to control what the people receive? Although some may predict that the Internet will reduce the ability of the state to control the flow of information; the opposite may be true. Those states with a propensity to try and control information will probably attempt to continue that propensity. Electronic monitoring or searches by the government may make it easier to track and find dissidents. The government may still have a gatekeeper function. For example, China, Bahrain, the UAE, and Saudi Arabia all have firewalls that control access into and out of the country (Alterman, 1998). In fact, Reporters Without Boarders reports that Microsoft has worked with both the Chinese and Vietnamese governments to control and sensor electronic communications. Yahoo and Goggle have also worked with the Chinese government. While
new mediums may hamper traditional censorship, the effect is not preordained.

Receiver
While the propaganda model focuses on the sender and the message, Elihu Katz poses the question not in terms of what media does to people, but what people do with the media.

a. Basics. Katz's basic argument is that the media must be analyzed within the context of social, cultural, and political institutions. The focus is on the reception and consumption of the message. In his 1977 book (co-authored with Wedell), *Broadcasting in the Third World: Promise and Performance*, Katz analyzes the introduction of broadcasting institutions into developing countries. Drawing on original research in eleven countries, he concluded that there is a significant difference between expectations and actual effects across countries. The intended message may be very different than the audience's reading.

b. Cultural Prism. Katz's cross-cultural study *The Export of Meaning: Cross-Cultural Readings of Dallas* further indicates that viewers interpret according to their cultural prism. In other words, media that emanate from foreign sources interact with indigenous factors. The result in one state may be very different than in another. The analyst should not assume they know what the effect will be. There are many factors which influence acceptance of a message, including the value system of the receiver, credibility given to the source, and repetition.

c. Assessing Impact. When assessing the impact of mass media on audiences, the analyst should examine the characteristics of media that have wide audiences. From a purely behavioral standpoint, what are the patterns of reception? What is the dispersion or concentration of media and of audiences? Are there diverse products for a diverse audience?

d. Technology. What is the effect of technology on the receiver? Although technology may provide access to new sources of information, to what degree do people use or have access to those sources? And what percent of the audience can afford access?

Message
In analyzing the media in a given state, the analyst should examine the form and content of media products produced. What is mediated? The analyst should read the local news, which is available from the Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS). The analyst should analyze advertisements for what symbols and themes are used to advertise successful or popular products. Even if the analyst doesn’t understand the local language, they should watch films or television.
a. **Questions About Messages.** After doing all of this the analyst should attempt to answer the following questions:

(1) What are the dominant styles and formats found in the media?
(2) How are visual arts used?
(3) How is music used?
(4) What are the symbols?
(5) What are the themes?
(6) What are the patterns?
(7) Who are the messengers?
(8) Who are the opinion makers?
(9) What is the regularity and how much repetition is used?
(10) How much variance exists?
(11) Do all major providers, provide similar material in similar formats?
(12) What is the length?
(13) What role do sports play?
(14) What is the role of the news?
(15) What is considered newsworthy, and what is the content of the news?
(16) Who judges what is important?
(17) In prosperous and stable times, how interested are people in political news?
(18) Is news primarily information or entertainment?
(19) Is there analysis, commentary or opinion in the news?
(20) How prevalent are statements by public officials in the news?
(21) What is conspicuously absent from the media and or the news?

b. **Media Products.** When analyzing the media in a state, the analyst should be sure to examine a wide cross-section of media products. Doing so will provide a good window into the culture of the state.
V. Standards

Acceptability

Standards of acceptability in a society can be measured by examining the openness or freedom, ethics, rules, and norms. Some of these factors may be formal and controlled by the government (censorship), but they are also cultural and thus informal.

a. **Openness / Freedom.** In media terms openness and freedom is the guarantee by a government of free public speech often through a constitution for its citizens, and extended to members of news gathering organizations, and their published reporting. It also extends to news gathering, and processes involved in obtaining information for the public consumption. With respect to governmental information, a government distinguishes which materials are public, or which should be protected from disclosure based on sensitivity or relevance of the information.

(1) For most developed countries, freedom of the press implies that all people should have the right to express themselves in writing or in any other way of expression of personal opinion or creativity. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights indicates: "Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression. This right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive, and impart information and ideas through any media regardless of frontiers."

(2) Besides said legal environment, some non-governmental organizations use more criteria to judge the level of press freedom around the world. Reporters Without Borders considers the number of journalists murdered, expelled or harassed, and the existence of a state monopoly on television and radio, as well as the existence of censorship and self-censorship in the media, and the overall independence of media as well as the difficulties that foreign reporters may face. Freedom House likewise studies the more general political and economic environments of each nation in order to determine whether there exist relationships of dependence that limit in practice the level of press freedom that might exist in theory. So the concept of independence of the press is one closely linked with the concept of press freedom.

b. **Ethics.** Ethics is a general term for what is often described as the science of morality. In philosophy, ethical behavior is that which is good or right. The Western tradition of ethics is sometimes called moral philosophy. In terms of the media or the information system in general it is used to describe the level of honesty, bias, and morality in a system.

c. **Rules.** Rules are laws and morality. In terms of the media or the information system in general, rules are used to describe the legal guidelines (laws and regulations) that governments impose on the various
elements of the system. Or the moral guidelines imposed either by the society, or with the industry (e.g., the properness of bias in news reporting).

d. **Norms.** In sociology, a norm, or social norm, is a pattern of behavior expected within a particular society in a given situation. The shared belief of what is normal and acceptable shapes and enforces the actions of people in a society. The very fact that others in one's society follow the norm may give them a reason to follow it. Important norms are called mores. In terms of the media or the information system in general these are used to describe what is expected in the society or related to ethics, such as honesty.

(1) Violations of norms may be punished with sanctions, possibly enforced by law. Or may be considered eccentric or even deviant and are stigmatized. Alternatives to the norm are not presented as equal, the “normal” situation is assumed.

(2) A norm may or may not have a rational justification or origin. Norms with common sense origins may, over time, lose their original context as society changes. An action that was once performed because it was necessary to survive may over the years become a social norm, even once the circumstances that made it necessary for survival are no longer applicable. There are at least two reasons for the stability of a norm. First, people are educated via their socialization process to follow a norm and most people simply go along with it. Second, even if a person does not feel like following a norm, it may be in his best interest to follow it anyway. Norms are closely related to customs. On the other hand, a norm may arise as a formal description of an implicitly followed custom.

**Accuracy**

Standards of accuracy in a society can be measured by examining the objectivity, subjectivity, propaganda and general entertainment. These factors may also be formal and controlled by the government (libel laws), formal from a professional, but not legal standpoint (business rules and professional codes), or cultural (high or low emphasis on honesty).

a. **Objectivity.** In terms of the media or the information system in general, it is used to refer to the prevailing ideology of news gathering and reporting that emphasizes eyewitness accounts of events, corroboration of facts with multiple sources and “balance.” Others hold it should mean reporting things without bias, as if one just came to Earth from another planet and had no preconceived opinions about our behavior or ways. This form of journalism is rarely practiced, although some argue it would lead to radical changes in reporting. Still others hold it to mean that journalists should have something like a neutral point of view, not taking a stand on any
issues on which there is some disagreement. Instead, journalists are simply to report what "both sides" of an issue tell them. Some even extend this standard to the journalist's personal life, prohibiting them from getting involved in political activities, which necessarily require taking a stand.

b. **Subjectivity.** The word subjectivity is used to refer to the antithesis of objectivity as an epistemic virtue: one who judges according to personal feelings or intuitions, rather than according to objective observation, reasoning, and judgment, is judging subjectively. In terms of the media or the information system in general it is used to refer to the prevailing attitude of the media. Examples of potential for subjectivity (or lack of objectivity) in the U.S. news media comes from surveys that report the majority of media outlets have an editorial slant to the left of center politically. And by all polls and studies, the vast majority (up to 95% in some cases) of reporters, researchers, and producers are also left of center politically. Subjectivity effects not just the way news is reported on a given subject or story, but what gets cover (or not cover). In terms of how the media covers a story subjectively, compare this lead “conservative firebrand Newt Gingrinch met with Ted Kennedy…” To start, notice the inequity of description between the two subjects, and what is implied about one, while nothing is implied about the other. In terms of what the media covers, compare the heavy coverage of the murder of a young homosexual man in the upper Midwest; with the complete absence of coverage of the murder of a young boy by two homosexual serial killers in the South at the same time. In societies where governments controls or restricts media, high levels of subjectivity can be expected, whether reporting the truth or not.

c. **Propaganda.** Propaganda is a specific type of message aimed at serving an agenda. At its root, the denotation of propaganda is to propagate, or actively spread a philosophy or point of view. The most common use of the term (historically) is in political contexts; in particular to refer to certain efforts sponsored by governments or political groups. Though the word propaganda usually carries strong negative (as well as political) connotations, this pejorative description only dates back to World War I. As noted, propaganda can come from any avenue that wishes to spread a philosophy.

(1) **Aim.** The aim of propaganda is to actively influence people's opinions, rather than to merely communicate the facts about something. For example, propaganda might be used to garner either support or disapproval of a certain position, rather than to simply present the position. What separates propaganda from "normal" communication is in the subtle, often insidious, ways that the message attempts to shape opinion. For example, propaganda is often presented in a way that attempts to deliberately evoke a strong emotion,
especially by suggesting non-logical (or non-intuitive) relationships between concepts.

(2) **Example.** An appeal to one's emotions is, perhaps, more obvious a propaganda method than utilized by some other less overt and perhaps even more insidious forms. For instance, propaganda may be transmitted implicitly. Propaganda can be transmitted as the presupposition or presuppositions within an ostensibly fair and balanced debate or argument. This can be done to great effect in conjunction with a broadcast news format. Here is an example of a hypothetical situation in which the opposing viewpoints are supposedly represented: the hawk says, "we must stay the course", and the dove says, "The war is a disaster and a failure", to which the hawk responds, "In war things seldom go smoothly and we must not let setbacks affect our determination", the dove retorts, "setbacks are setbacks, but, failures are failures." As one can see, the actual validity of the war is not discussed and is never in contention.

(3) **Methods.** The method of propaganda is essential to the word's meaning as well. A message does not have to be untrue to qualify as propaganda. In fact, the message in modern propaganda is often not blatantly untrue. But even if the message conveys only "true" information, it will generally contain partisan bias and fail to present a complete and balanced consideration of the issue. Another common characteristic of propaganda is volume (in the sense of a large amount). For example, a propagandist may seek to influence opinion by attempting to get a message heard in as many places, forms and as often as possible. The intention of this approach is to reinforce an idea through repetition, and exclude any alternative ideas.

d. **Entertainment.** Entertainment is an amusement or diversion intended to hold the attention of an audience or its participants. It can be expressed in all the aforementioned forms (music, art, films, televisions, etc.). In terms of the media or the information system in general, entertainment can be a measure of social values, expression of social values, and measure of government control, to name a few.

**VI. Information Summary**

There is no single framework for analyzing and understanding the information system. The relevance of different theological perspectives change by country. The role, importance, and functions of the media also change from society to society. The analyst must examine media in the context of the other political military systems. Economics, politics, social, and the information are inextricably intertwined. Media can be an independent variable, acting as an agent of change, and media can also be the dependent variable, changing in response to other factors.
VII. Interrelationships with Other Systems

a. History.

(1) Do shared media experiences become history?
(2) Does the portrayal of history in mass media become the prevailing understanding of history?

b. Social – Cultural.

(1) What role does media play in transmitting culture within the society?
(2) What role does media play in creating/sustaining a national identity?
(3) To what degree does the media serve as an agent of change?
(4) What is the form and content of prevalent media?
(5) What explicit meanings are in this content?
(6) What implicit meanings are in this content?
(7) To what degree does content reinforce the status quo?
(8) How are subcultures reflected in the media?
(9) Are new products or genres easily accepted, or are products that differ too much from the mainstream rejected?
(10) Does transnational media contribute to distorted perceptions about other cultures?
(11) To the extent that Western media has significantly penetrated the culture, how has it affected the culture?

c. Social – Religion.

(1) What impact has the media had on traditional religious values? For example, Sinclair (1987) argues that the advertising prevalent in Western media has the cultural effect of legitimizing consumption and de-emphasizing religious dogma. Advertising appeals to desire, pleasure, and achieving satisfaction through consumption. The effect may serve to undermine some religious conditioning which focuses on rewards in an afterlife rather than earthly rewards. In a culture that constantly and pervasively emphasizes consumption, individual gratification is no longer taboo. Brzezinski (1993) makes a similar point in his discussion of “permissive cornucopia”.

(2) Conversely, what impact does religion have on the media? Reference the U.S. regulations on decency in the media, where certain language
and levels of nudity are forbidden. While fundamentalist Muslim countries go even further.

d. **Political.**

(1) What are the parameters of political debate within the media? While debate may be acceptable, there may be areas that are out of bounds, and therefore not tolerated by governments or accepted by the general populace.

(2) What is the degree of press freedom?

(3) What topics are taboo?

(4) Is it permissible to criticize the head of government?

(5) Is there an alignment between media and political parties or particular interest groups?

(6) How does access affect what is produced?

(7) Are those journalists who present issues in a light most favorable to the regime rewarded with better access to officials?

(8) How dominant is the state in the shaping of the media?

e. **Political – Elites.**

(1) What differences are there in media consumption patterns of elites and others?

(2) What is the role of opinion leaders who interpret events?

f. **National Security – National Interests.**

(1) Has the country entered into any interstate agreements concerning the flow of media?

(2) What effect has media had on the power and influence of non-state actors?

g. **National Security – Military.** How is military service portrayed in the media? For example, the perceptions created by the movie *Saving Private Ryan* are much different than the view of military service portrayed in *Top Gun*.

h. **National Security – Foreign Influence.**

(1) What is the degree of foreign media penetration?
(2) Is foreign ownership permitted; are there restrictions?

(3) What is the effect of transnational media?

(4) Does it highlight relative deprivation?

i. Economic.

(1) Who owns mass media systems?

(2) Who finances media?

(3) What is the relationship between the state, the economy, and the media?

(4) What is the economic impact of the media?
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CHAPTER SEVEN

INFRASTRUCTURE AND TECHNOLOGY

I. Technology as Part of Infrastructure

Introduction

Advances in infrastructure and technology have changed the way we live, work, think and communicate. They have also posed challenges to traditional beliefs about life and death, war and peace, and social priorities in general.

In the infrastructure and technology system, the analyst will focus on permanent and even temporary installations, buildings, and structures, such as power plants, factories, and roads, that support the provision of basic services to a society and often provide an economic foundation for the state. In regard to technology, the analyst should remember that technology means the “application of knowledge” to create solutions. This means that the analyst needs to think of technology as a concept, rather than as a specific device, such as a computer or a hammer (and sickle). How these tools are used to solve problems is what matters here. That is to say, the analyst is measuring the level or type of technology, and how it is used in a given state. Therefore, the analyst should avoid simply looking for the newest or most profound technology in use.

Definitions

a. Technology. Technology is the “application of knowledge” to create solutions. Technology in this context is a concept rather than a specific device such as a computer or a hammer (and sickle).

b. Infrastructure. An infrastructure system is composed of the basic facilities, services, and installations needed for the functioning of a community or society.

c. Critical Infrastructure. Critical infrastructures are systems and assets, whether physical or virtual, so vital to a nation that the incapacity or destruction of such systems would have a debilitating impact on that nation’s physical security.

This final definition seems to highlight the impact that the destruction of critical infrastructure can have on national security, economic, and social systems. However, one could easily draw a correlation between infrastructure and political or information systems as well.

II. Infrastructure Sub-Systems

The following example of an infrastructure sub-system is based on the PMESII system of systems analysis (SoSA) developed by Joint Forces Command in their work on effects-based operations. This organization
describes the basic elements of an infrastructure system. These are the essential sub-systems that are important to the functioning of this system. However, it should be noted that this is just an example and not a comprehensive model covering all systems used throughout the world. In addition, once elements of the system have been identified, the strengths, weaknesses, related vulnerabilities and associated components or nodes within the sub-systems should be ascertained.

The infrastructure and technology system is divided into four major sub-systems: utilities, transportation, industry, and public facilities. The analyst should consider types, quantity, quality, compatibility and ownership (public, private) of these sub-systems. One notable element absent from the utilities sub-systems is telecommunications since it is generally considered infrastructure and included in the information system. One additional element not covered here is defense manufacturing, since it is considered part of the national security system.

a. **Utilities.** Utilities, also known as public utilities and services, are those elements that provide quality of life services and make other elements of the system run. These include electric power, potable water, natural gas, sewage, (telecommunications ?) and should be considered with the generating and pumping facilities, grids, pipes, reservoirs, etc.

b. **Transportation.** Transportation includes those elements that, as the name implies, move goods and people from one place to another. These include road and highway networks, rail networks, light rail or metros, airports, seaports, inland waterways, and should be considered when appropriate with their associated assets.

c. **Industry.** Industry is comprised of elements that produce or refine raw material or products for a society. These include, but are not limited to, heavy manufacturing (steel mills), light manufacturing (finished products), petroleum, services (service sector), agriculture (growing and processing), mining, timber, and nuclear.

d. **Public Facilities.** Public facilities are those elements that support or are used by the general public. Public facilities do not have to be government owned or controlled. These facilities consist of, but are not limited to, emergency facilities (hospitals, fire departments, etc.), public gathering places (auditoriums, malls, museums, etc.), places of worship, non-military government facilities or buildings, privately owned buildings, and educational facilities (schools, universities, museums).

**III. Aspects of Technology**

**Public Verses Private**

Infrastructure, more than technology, has aspects based on ownership.
a. **Public.** Public infrastructure and technology consists of those elements that are owned, controlled, built, and maintained by government entities, for government or public use. These consist of public utilities, elements of transportation (municipal rail systems, airports, roads, ports, waterways, etc), public housing, buildings, health care, military, and science.

b. **Private.** Private infrastructure and technology consists of those elements that are owned, controlled, built, and maintained by private entities or individuals. These may be for public use, or private and individual use. These consist of elements of transportation (rail systems, airports, marinas, etc.) private housing, health care, communications, entertainment, industrial development, science, and financial or banking.

**Advanced Technology**

In addition to public and private ownership of technology, there are four distinct aspects of advanced technologies that the analyst needs to understand in order to use the concept of technology as a productive frame of reference for political military analysis. These include the shift from analog to digital systems, the multi-user functionality, the speed and volume of digitized transactions, and their non-linear complex nature.

a. **Analog to Digital.** The shift from analog to digital systems can be seen quite literally by comparing the faces of two watches. Analog systems are based on physical or mechanical devices using gears and springs such as an old fashion watch. The digital watch represents the compression of data about the physical world into “bits” at the smallest atomic level which is then stored, combined and reconfigured on microchips at light speed. As explained in user-friendly detail by Nicholas Negroponte in *Being Digital*, this process involves reconfiguring information about the physical world into digitized grids that are then encoded and decoded through digitized computations. The effect is “virtual” reproduction of physical phenomena through information processing.

Former General Perry Smith describes it in terms of his digital watch:

> I wear a digital watch that tells time in various formats and has three alarms, a stopwatch, and the storage capacity for twenty telephone numbers. For a time I had a faulty watchband and I dropped the watch on the ground at least ten times while I was jogging, yet the watch has never broken. I have had to replace the battery only twice in five years. This watch is certainly much more complex than the old wind-up watch that told time but did nothing else. In comparative terms, the watch I wear today is the least expensive watch I have ever had, and yet it is the first watch I have owned that has never had to be fixed or cleaned. It is a much more sophisticated watch but it’s also much, much more reliable.”

*(Smith, 146)*
Precision, reliability and certainty are key aspects of the new technology.

b. **Multi-User Functionality.** A second significant aspect of this technology relates to the fact that such information processing systems, or devices, can be interlinked by transmitting light signals across space. This allows for the expansion of digitized processing through the non-wired, as well as hard wired channels and facilitates information sharing and programming. The unique new aspect here is the increasingly large set of earth orbital transmission and relay satellites servicing businesses, government, militaries, and private users alike. As a result, both information products and services have become readily available to commercial users around the world so long as they have the decoding devices (i.e. cell phones, modems, computer terminals, etc.). The effect of this technology on cultures, finance markets, militaries, polities and ecological environments cannot be understated and is described as “globalization” by Thomas Friedman in his book *The Lexus and the Olive Tree*. This process has created a globally linked system in which digital computation devices constitute the infrastructure, and space based platforms constitute the superstructure of the twenty-first-century.

c. **Speed and Volume.** A third aspect of new technology refers to the compression and transmission of data at light speeds based on the use of silicon chips.

1. **Speed.** This not only allows for global “real-time” communication, but also for the development of technology designed to address aspects of human behavior never before understood. This includes the kind of biogenetic research that relates to cloning, human performance enhancement, disease immortality (cancer and AIDS), and artificial life. This has led not only to medical breakthroughs, but also to a shift from user-based interfaces to agent-based interfaces with computers. In other words, twentieth-century computers are built around button pushing and power point paradigms, whereas twenty-first-century computers and devices will be built around the use of voice and face recognition, and sensory signaling.

2. **Volume.** More important, both from a research and national security standpoint, this aspect of new technology introduces an era in which digitized information can be designed to permute and self-replicate. This means that technology can “create” knowledge beyond computational solutions, facilitate artificial life and “invent” other technology. The related processes have important implications in all aspects of scientific research and have been shown applicable to phenomena as diverse as bee colonies and commodity prices. From a national security point of view, it ushers in the age of warfare through “smart” weapons and an array of new threats such as information viruses.
d. **Complexity.** The fourth dimension of “newness” about this technology comes from the fact that research on the physics of light has generated important and paradoxical theories about how the world works. In a sense, these theories have asked: Is light a particle or a wave? And the empirical answer is yes. Traditional physics theories require that the answer be one or the other (either a wave or a particle), but “complexity” theories show that it can behave like both. In other words, we must accept paradoxical answers and uncertainties about physical relationships in order to create new technologies. This means we live in a world of multi-causality (and some times a-causality, i.e. no cause) where simple linear constructs fail to model human behavior effectively. Indeed, they may even lead to self-deception about how the world works.

Consequently, leaders in political military affairs, business, medicine, and all major professions have begun to change their views of the world according to new paradigms of action. This shows up in various research on national security such as Thomas Czerwinski’s *Coping with the Bounds, Speculations on Non-linearity in Military Affairs*; on leadership, as in Margaret Wheatley’s *Leadership and the New Science*; in business, as with Peter Senge’s *The Fifth Discipline*, and in many emerging studies that will reshape the way we think and act. In short, multi-agent and multi-causal models represent a needed paradigm shift in the analysis of political military affairs and international relations.

**IV. Technological Impact**

The U.S. is considered to be one of the most technologically advanced nations of the world. Each day seems to bring about a new advancement in the world of technology. Technology is a very broad term used to cover many types of materials and products. Developments ranging from a rock hammer to a light bulb to a global positioning systems receiver all fits into the broad spectrum referred to as technology. As mentioned, the analyst needs to be concerned with the application of technology, as well as the level in a given state. The analyst may also consider both the positive and negative aspects of technology.

Technology can be used for both good and evil and have positive and negative consequences, sometimes obvious, sometimes latent.

a. **Positive.** Positive elements of technology can range from advancements in healthcare, energy use (appliances), energy production (nuclear, solar, water), transportation (vehicle safety, efficiency, and comfort, and the modes of vehicle travel such as highways, rails, waterways), agriculture (production, storage, distribution, and processing), and entertainment. This also includes advances to techniques in education, training and research.
b. **Negative.** Negative elements of technology can range from overt or direct results of technological advancement, such as deaths and injuries resulting from military or weapons technology, loss of privacy through computer and electronic equipment designed for surveillance, injuries or safety concerns resulting from dangerous equipment such as chain saws, and destructive results such as computer viruses. Negative aspects of technology can also result from byproducts, and indirect or latent effects. These negatives range from pollution (air, water, ground), health concerns (increased cancers, asthma, etc.), or byproducts (deaths of marine animals by active sonar).

V. **Requirements of the New Paradigm**

a. Where action on the ground is concerned, Special Operations officers need to understand these concepts, because they have important doctrinal implications related to the expectations of policy makers regarding high tech solutions. This is especially important with regard to Operations Other Than War where the role of new technology in such operations emerges as a “qualitatively different problem from command and control in peace operations…” This requires new thinking in order to use the new technologies effectively. In other words, “…we are moving from a Newtonian and Cartesian paradigm of viewing the world as a clockwork universe, inherently mechanical, predictable, rational and reductionist, to viewing the world as flowing, adapting, nonlinear and holistic (OOTW, 1). This view guides current and forthcoming military analysis such as the Strategic Assessment 2000 published by the National Defense University.

b. Based on this paradigm shift, “…new tools have been developed due to the increased synergy between the computer and our own minds, the ability to compute what models, or descriptions of nature, we had conceived, but had, heretofore, only approximated” (OOTW, 2). Some of these new tools are described below, but the essential point here is that they are useful to the extent that they interface with users and doctrine that embody new operational concepts. This includes successful management on the ground of technology based on phenomena such as neural networks, cellular automata and genetic algorithms.

c. While we need not know the technical scientific definitions or theoretical aspects of these phenomena, the point here is that they refer to different ways of getting things done in the operational environment. In particular, they affect our views of “control” and uncertainty regarding military outcomes. Ironically, the prospect of pinpoint precision and total networking of operational personnel can trigger an overload of information yielding an uncertainty over priorities, protocols and traditional command and control issues.

As the 1998 Marine Corps study on Maneuver Warfare concludes:
Just a few years ago, we could not accept living with uncertainty on the battlefield. The prevailing view was that if we were able to collect and process enough information, with the right models and equations then we could prosecute war better. However, what we know from complexity theory...is that we cannot overcome or “control” uncertainty through the collection and processing of information. In fact, we may be fooling ourselves in thinking that we could have any control at all. (Hoffman and Horne, 1998, 7)

Thus, the technological challenge for the CA / PSYOP officer is to develop a political military interface that accurately matches outcome expectations with appropriate technologies.

VI. Technology in Regional Theatres

a. High Versus Low. High technology has transformed the way we do business in all key areas of life and this has penetrated every major region of the world. Just log on to the Internet for an example: http://www.arab.net/gid/welcome.html. This is the web site of the Jordanian state intelligence service. And consider the political demand of a Chinese politician in a rural northern Chinese village: “We need more trees, also fiber-optic cable so everyone can have a telephone” (Friedman, 60). Fiber optics in rural China where schoolhouses have dirt floors? What does this tell us?

In short, any given region of the world will exhibit a mix of technologies unique to that area so the analyst needs to examine how high-tech resources interface with low-tech environments.

b. Operational Considerations. So, what are the operational considerations? A hallmark of the new technology paradigm is the opportunity, indeed the necessity, to approach the world as a mix of dynamic systems and to adapt to diverse environments with a mix of high and low tech solutions. This means that the analyst must adopt “non-linear [models] and methods that will help better represent the real world.” Then develop new questions that generate field applicability of political military analysis.

c. Observational Exercises. As an observational exercise, for example, the analyst can ask which technologies elites and masses in a given environment use to process and exchange information. How does this compare to the information technology we use? What can we expect counterparts to know and not know about us as a result? Also, how can we exploit what the counterpart does not know about us?

d. Operational Standpoints. From an operational standpoint, additional questions related to mixed technology environments can shed light on how to interpret various aspects of the political military environment. For
example, can low tech environments characterized by manual labor and handicraft economies “modernize” rapidly to attain high payoff productivity? Why (or, why not)? What is the relationship between technology and prospects for sustained development? Along these same lines, what role does culture play in technological and economic development? Do cottage industries and traditional village cultures signify intellectual and political inferiority because of high tech poverty, or are all people essentially equal in capability given the same technology? The analyst may find valuable results by simply asking how local people, and their elites, solve problems in terms of available technology.

VII. Infrastructure and Technology Summary

In conclusion, asking and answering questions can help the analyst use the seven systems of analysis as a “heuristic” device to create a “crude picture of the whole” operational environment, with technology as a pivotal dimension. In this manner, the “inherently non-linear nature of the military C2 system” and the dynamics of mixed technology environments can offer new tactical and strategic opportunities.

This means that observing how people communicate, conduct business and go about their daily lives can tell us not only about technology, but also reveal behavioral “codes” by which people collectively confront challenges, cope with disaster, relate to authority and sustain their identities.

To recap, technology must be viewed in terms that go beyond just a simple spectrum of low to high tech assets that populate any given environment in order to arrive at an effective plan of action. The analyst must therefore ask where each nation(s) of a target environment experiences advantages and disadvantages. And, in doing so, we will have another part of the puzzle that this book seeks to piece together. By assessing the technological dimension of the environment we can create more accurate explanations about how nations and their societies “form, function and fail” (Pentland, 1994).

VIII. Research Sources


e. Andrew Ilachinski. Complex Systems Theory Related URL Links on the World-Wide-Web: HTML Listing. Alexandria, VA: Center for Naval Analyses, 1996. (This is a twenty five-page listing of web sites.)


**IX. References**


I. History and the Political Military Systems

Introduction

Emerson and Twain’s perspectives on history are a useful starting point for understanding the role of history in analyzing political military phenomena. Both ascribe value to a historical perspective while avoiding any tendency towards an absolute reverence for history as a sole means for understanding.

The purpose of this chapter is to discuss the role of history in: 1) understanding the past, 2) helping to illuminate the present, and 3) casting some light on the future. The study of history is not a panacea for understanding other cultures, but it is possible to gain some insight based on an exploration of the past. History is also useful in another vein. Understanding the prevailing conceptions of history among a people, when used in conjunction with the political military systems, can be a powerful aid in designing psychological operations campaigns, planning and execution of civil-military operations, as well as other Special Operations missions (SOF).

One significant benefit of the study of history for the political military analyst is that it can make us less ethnocentric. “Historical study leads to broader views, to escape from the parochialism of the present, to an understanding that there were once other standpoints as commanding as the tenets of today” (Vincent, 1996, 25). Shafer makes a similar argument; the study of history can liberate “us from our own narrow experience, our own time, our own society . . .”(1960, 156). Studying history helps us to unlearn the prevailing myths that we have about our own culture and others.

Definitions

a. History. History is defined as 1) the actual events of the past, and 2) the academic discipline that studies the character and significance of past events. Because it is difficult to have absolute knowledge concerning the actual events of the past, the analyst should focus on the second definition. But the definition by itself does not elucidate the essence of historical study. In addition to describing the past, historians also attempt to explain it.

b. Study of History. The study of history includes the selection and interpretation of facts about the past. In the words of historian George
Kent, “Facts have to be selected, arranged, and interpreted before they acquire meaning . . . the same facts, differently arranged and interpreted, can be used to arrive at different, even opposite conclusions” (309). Since history is about interpretation done by individuals, there cannot be a definitive interpretation. For example, at the end of the 19th century, the history of the American Civil War written from the Northern perspective was a much different story than the history of the War from the Southern perspective.

If there can be no definitive interpretation, then the analyst must look to the philosophy of history to further understand the value of the historical perspective.

II. Why Study History

a. History of History. There exists a plethora of introspective material written by historians focusing on questions concerning the utility and method of historical work. The ancient historian Thucydides gave the following reason for studying history: “The accurate knowledge of what has happened will be useful, because, according to human probability, similar things will happen again.” (As quoted in Jordan, 1960, 53) Like Emerson and Twain, Thucydides does not tend toward an absolute. Throughout modern writings there are recurring themes that reinforce the usefulness of the study of history. But there is also a strong admonition against simply trying to apply historical analogies to current events. Historical lessons are rarely so clear cut. In the process of studying history analysts learn that there are no perfect historical analogies, only complexities and subtleties. The analyst must be on guard against those who claim excessive fungibility. It is at least as relevant to ask how are things different.

Leff (1969) expresses this converse idea when he states that the study of history is “the record of difference rather than of similarity” (60). And from Leff’s perspective, this is the proper role. “Something is historically important in the degree to which it makes a difference.” However, Pratt’s approach may be more complete. According to Pratt (1974), the historian must explore both continuity and discontinuity. The historian’s “interest in continuity obliges him to pay attention to similarities and generalities. His interest in discontinuity leads him to seek the unique, the novel, and the particular” (208). Change and continuity are not mutually exclusive.

Concerning the utility of history, Schlesinger (1966) makes the point: “history generally serves as a ‘negative’ model rather than a ‘positive’ model” (317). History does not tell the things that we must do, rather it tells us the mistakes that we ought to avoid. Schlesinger also warns against those who look to history for superficial analogies: “The only antidote to a shallow knowledge of history is a deeper knowledge, the
knowledge which produces not dogmatic certitude, but diagnostic skill, not clairvoyance but insight” (320). History can be an interpretive guide, allowing us to make judgments about what is possible or probable, particularly when studying the long-term effects of actions.

Jordan argues that the study of history may not be immediately useful to the task at hand; nonetheless, it is valuable as part of a general education. According to Jordan, the greatest purpose of studying history is to increase “depth and breadth of insight” (52). A broad understanding of history helps in developing perspective. For the political military analyst, the study of the history of a particular people helps in a general understanding of those people. And a study of what they perceive to be their history is of at least equal value.

From Kammen’s (1979) point of view, the purpose of studying history is: “To make us more cognizant of human differences and similarities, over time and through space; To enhance our awareness of the complexity of historical causation; To acknowledge more fully than we do the consequences of what is at stake when powerful people interpret history for partisan purposes” (57). History is not merely a factual subject; rather it is an analytical one: “an attempt to discover the significant things that have happened, and why they happened, what caused them and what they caused in their turn” (Perkin, 1970, 70). But Perkin is traveling down a dangerous slope because the issue of causality is rarely clear-cut.

b. Science of History. Is the study of history a science? Concurrent with the rise of the social sciences in the 20th century, historians have debated amongst themselves on the nature of the study of history. Historians look at what has happened and they strive to answer the question: why? Historians often try to isolate causes. But the cause and effect relationship is nebulous in the study of history. Much of the debate is in response to the influential historian, Collingwood, and his theory of historical knowledge which postulates that the discipline of history “should be regarded as scientific because the methods appropriate to it are as rigorous as those utilized in the natural sciences and lead to conclusions which are equally justified and defensible” (As summarized in Pompa, 1995, 168). This attempt to create immutable laws as a result of the study of history is also a reflection of what was once the fashionable Marxist trend to create all-encompassing theory out of past events.

Vincent argues that the study of history is by nature different than the natural sciences because history “is no longer there.” All that the historian can study are the particular pieces of evidence that remain, and “that evidence is an infinitesimal part of the evidence which once existed” (2). It follows that the interpretation of events based on partial evidence is inherently limited.
Science gives the same results in every place and time; history is incapable of such replication, for each historical event is unique... historical circumstances do not have knowable, predictable, and replicable causes and consequences, in a way that causes regularly beget consequences in science. (Vincent, 18 and 46)

As Pompa adds: “the subject matter of history differs from that of the natural sciences because history is concerned with human activities and experiences” (as quoted in Broucher et al, 168). The number of variables, particularly human action, defies the attempt to isolate causes.

Although moving from observations of the available evidence to generalizations is risky, this is not to say that some degree of induction cannot be applied to the study of history. The political military analysts can look for relationships as long as they realize the limits and understand that the rhymes occurring in the centuries of time are not absolute laws.

c. **Types of Written History.** One can identify several classifications of written history. First it is useful to make distinctions based on the purpose of the author. Though all authors have the bias of their own history and opinions, there is clearly history that is written primarily for political purposes. Of this type, there is the **official or state-sponsored** history that is most often the servant of the ruling regime. This history is what is most likely to be found in state sponsored/mandated educational programs, which is not to suggest that all state-sponsored history is necessarily of this type, but the caution flag must be raised.

There is also the writing of history that **supports political positions** already formed. Butterfield (1951) argues that political leaders and statesmen use “history to ratify the prejudices they already had” (227). By the same token, if you look for historical examples to support your preconceived point of view, you will find them.

It is also possible to classify based on what is covered. Much of written history falls into the category of **political histories** (to include diplomatic histories). This type of history focuses on the milestones and the events that seemingly “changed” history. But this type of history is not sufficient if it does not examine the context and the “system of values to which it belongs” (Leff, 62). To the extent that political history ignores a fuller social context, it risks missing important underlying factors.

Another category of history popular in the last century is **economic histories.** These studies often examine development or the lack thereof, the distribution of wealth, and socio-economic conditions and strive to make generalizations based on the economic systems in place. But historians of different bents can examine much the same evidence and arrive at very different conclusions.
Finally, there is what some historians call total history – a history that focuses not only on politics, but also includes social and cultural history. Butterfield was a strong advocate of this approach. In The Whig Interpretation of History he argues history should be the study of more than just kings and battles where the focus is only on politics. This type of total history will probably be the most relevant for the political military analyst.

d. **Role of Myth.** No philosophical discussion of history can be complete without examining the role of myth. In the words of Vaughn (1985), “myth is more powerful than truth in guiding our actions; what we believe to have happened is more important than what did happen” (10). Dunning echoes this sentiment: “whatever a given age or people believe to be true, is true for that age and that people” (as quoted in Kent, 1969, 310). This is not to suggest that the political military analyst should only study myth, but it is important to understand the role and power of myth.

**III. How to Study History**

a. **Bias.** As previously mentioned, historians, like so many others, do not agree. Two observers can look at the same events and draw very different conclusions and/or lessons. It is therefore prudent to be cognizant of sources of bias and proceed with caution.

There are many sources of bias, both intentional and unintentional. Related to the previously mentioned bias of official history, is the possibility of a difference between what actually occurred and what is recorded. As Vincent points out, there is “bias in the creation of evidence, and a bias in the survival of evidence,” (51) and there is also a bias in what is accessible. By any name, much of what transpires in terms of both government and non-government action is “classified.” Since the evidence is imperfect, so too may be the conclusions.

Because the study of history is the study of abridged history, the analyst must be mindful of Butterfield’s (1951) admonition:

_Abridged history gives men a greater appearance of sovereignty over events than they actually possess; and it tends to magnify the controlling power of governments over the next stage in the story. . . men and nations rely on the abridged history they have learned to give them their impression of their place in the sun, their purposeful intent, and their idea of what they can do with their destiny. They acquire an academic dream-impression of what statesman can do in the world, what governments achieve, what their national mission is, and what can be brought about by sheer self-assertion and will._ (226)
This is not presented to minimize the role of planned efforts and campaigns; it is only meant as a caution.

b. **Selecting Study Material.** In selecting materials for study, there are two basic types of sources: primary and secondary.

(1) **Primary.** Shafer defines primary sources as: “manuscripts, published letters and memoirs of eyewitnesses, the public documents, the newspapers, the pamphlets, the books, and the material remains of the time about which we are writing” (151-152).

(2) **Secondary.** Secondary sources are historical manuscripts derived from primary sources, or from other secondary sources (which would more properly be called tertiary).

One of the greatest challenges in studying history is in selection of materials. The limits of time will often not permit the analyst to study and focus on primary sources, so in default must choose from the multitude of secondary sources. The key will be to use the knowledge about the discipline of history to aid in the selection of secondary sources. Then the analyst must read those sources with a critical eye. Because of the omnipresence of bias, analysts should choose multiple and varied sources.

It is particularly useful to read history from both the **native perspective** and the **western perspective** and then synthesize based on those sources. In making choices, ask for assistance from others who have studied the area. In addition, you should consult annotated bibliographies. Trevelyan (1914) sums up the importance of reading diverse points of view: “The only way in which a reader can arrive at a valuable judgment on some historical period is to read several good histories . . . written from several different points of view, and to think about them for himself” (198).

In addition to selection of materials, there are several issues to be aware of when studying history. For example, how far back should one look? There is no easy answer, but generally it must pass the **relevancy test**. That is, what events and periods seem to have an effect on current events and the current psyche?

c. **Role of Facts.** The role of “facts” must also be considered. While facts in and of themselves are not very useful, knowledge of facts and their context can help us arrive at insights. Knowing dates can be enlightening, but only as part of the larger picture. For example, knowing that the American Civil War started in 1861 and that the Emancipation Proclamation was not issued until 1863 can shed some light on causal arguments. In addition, for the political military analyst, the knowledge of key dates in a region and their importance can also increase credibility with members of host nations.
When studying history (and the present) it is important to avoid the mistake of simply looking at political structures and arriving at conclusions based on those structures. Structures do not tell us how things may actually operate. For example, the rules of the U.S. Congress, the importance of agenda setting and control, the role of lobbyists, and the cost of election campaigns, may tell us more about the operations of Congress than a superficial look at structure.

The reading of historical documents is also not sufficient. If one were to merely read the contents of the Thirteenth, Fourteenth, and Fifteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution, one may well conclude that U.S. citizens were at last equal under the law. But if one continued with a study of court decisions, a social history, and an examination of poll taxes and literacy tests, then one would arrive at a very different conclusion (Zinn, 1970, 163).

IV. History Summary

That there is a relation between the past and the present, is not to suggest that they are twins. History does not provide universal laws. “History does serve some useful purposes, but it is not an infallible teacher . . .” (Stephens, 1974, 98). Historical analogies have their uses in thinking about the present and future, but only if we accept the limits. Butterfield’s admonition can be expanded to include the entire spectrum of military operations:

“If men are to shape their minds too rigidly by a study of the last war, they are to some degree unfitting themselves for the conduct of the next one. If a nation decides conversely that it will set out with the particular purpose of avoiding the mistakes of the last war, it is still liable to be the slave of history and to be defeated by another nation that thinks of new things. Historical study, therefore, has sometimes had a deadening effect on military strategists; and it has often been a criticism of them that they were too prone to conduct the present war on the method of the previous one, forgetting how times had changed.
It seems true, however, that many of the errors which spring from a little history are often corrected as people go on to study more and more history. If a man had a knowledge of many wars and of the whole history of the art of war, studying not merely the accounts of battles and campaigns, but relating the weapons of a given period to the conditions of the time, relating policies to circumstances, so that he came to have an insight into the deep causes of things, the hidden sources of the changes that take place — if he allowed this knowledge not to lie heavily on his mind, not to be used in a narrow and literal spirit, but to sink into the walls of his brain so that it was turned into wisdom and experience — then such a person would be able to acquire the right feeling for the texture of events, and would undoubtedly avoid becoming the mere slave of the past. I think he would be better able to face a New World, and to meet the surprises of unpredictable change with greater flexibility. A little history may make people mentally rigid. Only if we go on learning more and more of it — go on ‘unlearning it’ — will it correct its own deficiencies gradually and help us to reach the required elasticity of mind.”

While the study of the past is often a necessary condition for understanding the present, and the possibilities of the future, it is never a sufficient one (Pratt).

V. Interrelationships with Other Systems

Given enough time, you could look at each of the other political military systems from a historical perspective. In fact, in many cases you will do this as a matter of course. In examining a political, social, or economic issue you generally cannot understand the issue without looking at its history. For example, current monetary policy in Germany is probably affected by the German historical experience with inflation in the post WW I period.

a. Social. Culture and history are inextricably intertwined. Shared historical experiences are one component of cultural tradition. According to Lerner (1982), history itself is a cultural tradition: “A shared body of ideas, values and experiences, which has a coherent shape, becomes a cultural tradition” and this “symbolic universe” can unite diverse groups (106). And the method by which a culture captures and depicts its history sheds light on the way the culture comes to terms with its actual history.

As a political force, history can unite and divide. A common history can help to establish tradition, bind men together, and foster a sense of belonging:
Nations, institutions, and social groups use it to inspire loyalty to themselves and to create a sense of shared community by the telling of stories about past struggles, victories and defeats, and the sacrifices made for the benefit of posterity. Heroes are held up for emulation and villains paraded as warnings (Nevins, 1938, 236).

People can be “bound together by reverence for the tale of their past” (Nevins, 241) or they can be separated by a history of ethnic disputes.

b. Political. As previously mentioned, history is also intertwined with political systems and leadership. History “legitimates those holding power, by rooting its source in a distant past” (Lerner, 106). A historical perspective can show how political leaders use ideology to mobilize, legitimate, and retain power and it can show how those not in power use ideals in pursuit of power. The tendency for cultures to “make legends out of its leaders is a factor of immense historical importance” (Gallie, 1978, 80). To understand the qualities that are revered by a culture, look at its historical leaders. But when examining a historical figure, remember to relate the personage to the context in which they operated.

VI. Questions to Consider
The following are questions to consider when analyzing history.

a. What are the understandings about history that are prevalent?

b. What are seen as the key events by the people?

c. What roles does the study of history perform in the society?

d. What role does history play in the socialization of the young?

e. What are the historical analogies used by the current regime in support of policy?

f. How does the regime use history to legitimize the current order?

g. What is the prevailing story associated with the birth of the state?

h. What are some of the prevailing historical myths?

i. What are the events and milestones (collective memory) that have shaped the current psyche?

j. What is the perception about those events?

k. What are the purported causes of selected significant events?
I. What are the important historical ideals? (e.g. the Declaration of Independence has certain ideals.)

m. Who are the important historical/legendary figures and what are their purported attributes?

n. What are the threads of continuity in the state’s history?

o. What is the history of current political and social issues?

p. What are the significant variations in different sources?

VII. References


APPENDIX B

RESEARCH METHODS

I. Introduction to Research

This appendix includes the methods used to conduct social science research. It provides guidance in principle rather than instructions in detail. Therefore, it starts with an overview of how social science research uses ideas and facts to generate knowledge. Then, it moves to a brief description of the standards of scholarly research. This is followed by an examination of how theories and data are used in social science research. The chapter ends with sections on how to structure a research paper and how to attribute and document sources.

Definitions

Important concepts are introduced and defined throughout the appendix, however, it will be useful to define the following key terms from the start1.

a. **Method.** The systematic application of reasoning to the analysis of data.

b. **Analysis.** “The breaking up of any whole into its parts so as to find out their nature, proportion, function, relationship etc.” (*Webster’s Deluxe Unabridged Dictionary*, 2d ed.).

c. **Theory.** A set of ideas that explain observed phenomena in terms of underlying relationships to other phenomena.

d. **Data.** Qualitative and quantitative observations of the world.

e. **Empirical.** Having to do with the analysis of data.

II. Combining Ideas and Facts

The aim of scholarly research is to increase our knowledge about the world. But what is knowledge? Is it simply the sum total of factual information we have about the world? Astrologers have very detailed information about the movement of planets and stars but they ask questions and find answers that are very different from those of astronomers. This is largely because astrologers have a different understanding of how observable events (or phenomena) are related to each other than astronomers do. Given the same information, astronomers and astrologers will claim to know entirely different things about the world.

Therefore, one can define knowledge as a body of facts, and ideas that interpret and explain them. The importance of this definition is that it points to two approaches to gaining knowledge. Theoretical research focuses on

---

1 With the exception of the definition of analysis, these definitions have been derived from common usage in social science. See Johnson and Joslyn (1995) for a more detailed discussion of these terms.
ideas about how the world works and empirical research focuses on observing the world as it works. Though individual projects may be purely theoretical or empirical, social science research as a whole depends on the interaction of theoretical and empirical research to expand our knowledge of the world.

The methods of social science research emphasize the use of both theory and data (ideas and facts). Theories explain how two or more general classes of phenomena (e.g., income inequality and political violence) are related. In most empirical research, data is collected to test the generalizations that theories produce. Theories that survive the testing process (i.e., “hold water”) are used to explain particular events, or to predict the outcome of future events. Table A-1 illustrates the role ideas and facts play throughout the research process.

Table A-1. Interplay of Ideas and Facts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IDEAS</th>
<th>FACTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Observations suggest topic (or preliminary answer to question)</td>
<td>Other people’s work suggests how to break topic down.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Further observation along with other ideas refines understanding of topic.</td>
<td>Develop specific research goal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Look for and develop theoretical framework within which to consider facts.</td>
<td>Use conceptual framework to generate new propositions about the world.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collect and analyze data to test proposition about the world, or use theoretical framework to analyze the world</td>
<td>Interpret results and draw conclusions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III. Standards of Scholarly Research

There are several substantive standards for research in social science in general, and international relations in particular. Though they are often labeled and categorized in different ways, the following list captures the main criteria.

a. **Interest.** The research topic should be positive, significant, and general. A positive topic is non-normative and deals with the world as it is, not as it should be. A significant topic is not trivial and does not leave the reader asking “so what?” Research should also focus on events or classes of events that are likely to occur again and thus are general in nature.

b. **Originality.** Research should extend the existing body of knowledge. This can be done by adding new theories or data, by refining existing theories or data, and/or combining existing theories and data in new ways.
It is incumbent on researchers to review the literature on their topic to ensure that they are not “reinventing the wheel.”

c. **Cumulation.** Though it should be original, research should also build on existing theoretical and empirical research. Researchers are expected to use the information and ideas of previous researchers as a foundation for their research. In doing so, researchers must be candid and critical about what they take from others. That is researchers must properly attribute the sources of their ideas and data to ensure there is no doubt which ideas are original and which are not. They must also scrutinize the ideas and cross-examine the information they use to ensure that the foundation of their research is solid.

d. **Method.** Research must have a discernible methodology that is appropriate, transparent, and persuasive. There are many different methodological approaches from which a researcher may chose. The choice of an appropriate methodology is often governed by the topic and the existing literature on the topic. Whatever methodology is chosen, it must be transparent to the reader how the research is conducted. That is, it must be apparent to the reader how each step of the research was conducted. This standard is that readers should be able to reproduce the research themselves (thus this standard is often described as reproducibility). Finally, the research must be persuasive in that it must support the conclusions of the researcher’s analysis and there must have been the possibility that the research could have pointed to a different conclusion.

e. **Results.** Though the results may be qualified or ambiguous, research is not done until it comes to a conclusion. From the beginning of the research, it should be clear what the research is trying to explain, or what question it is seeking to answer. At the end of the research, the researcher should be straightforward about presenting the explanation or answer produced by the research.

**IV. Using Theories**

Theories explain observed events in terms of unobserved relationships to other phenomena. The events that theories explain are called **dependent variables** and the phenomena that are used to explain the dependent variable are called **independent variables**. Theories tell stories about how independent variables affect dependent variables. They also make predictions, called hypotheses, about what will happen to the dependent variable given different values of the independent variable.

Theories are very useful for analyzing particular events because they suggest likely cause and effect relationships. In so doing, they point out what data is important and what data is not. This filtering function simplifies the data collection task and prevents the researcher from being overwhelmed by data.
Theories not only tell the researcher what data to collect, but also what different the data mean. Thus, by providing a framework for interpreting the data, theories also simplify the analysis task.

One might object that, by driving the collection and interpretation of data, theories bias and limit the analysis. To a certain extent they do, but, as a practical matter, researchers must limit the data they collect and analyze. Whatever bias a theory may impart on the research, it is preferred to the bias that results from basing research on ad hoc explanations, or on the data that happen to be the most readily available. However, researchers must examine the “track record” of the theoretical perspective they select and be aware of the limitations it imposes on their analysis. For this reason, researchers usually employ more than one theoretical perspective when interpreting an event.

a. **Finding Theories.** Choosing a theoretical perspective or perspectives upon which to base one’s research is perhaps the most important part of the research. The selection and development of a conceptual framework of analysis is also the most intellectually challenging task a researcher faces. For this reason many students try to bypass this step and go straight to analyzing data. Unfortunately, in not making a choice, they have chosen to develop ad hoc explanations based on unsystematically collected data. This is an approach that is acceptable in journalism but not in social science.

Therefore, once a topic is assigned or selected, research must begin with a survey of the literature to identify the relevant theoretical perspectives. The *Political Military Analysis Handbook* presents theoretical perspectives on many different topics, and thus, it is a natural starting point. Students should also conduct a search of the scholarly literature on their specific topic to identify additional theoretical perspectives. Students should search academic journals, libraries and publishers for the latest scholarly articles and books on their topic. The emphasis here is on scholarly works because these will have more developed theoretical frameworks, as well as discussions of, and references to the larger literature on the topic.

b. **Using Theories.** Once the various theoretical perspectives have been identified, the researcher must choose which one or more to use. The researcher may choose to refine a theory, combine it with others, or develop a new theory. The choice is generally governed by the theory’s record at producing results and its applicability to the exact question the researcher is interested in answering. Most importantly, the theory’s dependent variable must be the same as that of the researcher. If the researcher is trying to predict how a war will end (the researcher’s dependent variable), then theories that explain when wars start (the theories’ dependent variable) are not applicable.
The goal is to create a conceptual framework that defines key concepts and specifies cause and effect relationships between them. Concepts are general characteristics or aspects of events under study. For instance one might describe events in Chechnya as a war, an ethnic conflict, a rebellion, or a counterinsurgency. Each of these descriptions is a concept that needs to be explicitly defined before its relevance to the particular events can be examined. A good theory about the cause of ethnic conflicts will provide a clear definition of ethnic conflicts and well as of the causes. It can not be overemphasized that it must be clear what aspect of the event the researcher is trying to explain (the dependent variable), and what aspects of the event the researchers is using to explain it (the independent variables).

V. Using Data

Once the conceptual framework has been established, the researcher is ready to engage the data. This part of the process is more concrete and systematic, but also more tedious and frustrating. The researcher must identify, find, evaluate, and analyze the data. Each of these steps is described below.

a. **Identifying Data.** The researcher must determine what data to collect to measure each of the key concepts in the conceptual framework. If the conceptual framework is well thought out, then it will be a fairly straightforward task to identify the ideal types of data. Of course, the ideal data are rarely available, but the researcher must start out with a good idea about what the best data would be in order to identify what the next best data are.

b. **Finding Data.** Given time and resource constraints, most student researchers use existing data, as opposed to conducting primary research to collect new data. Research libraries, such as UNC Chapel Hill’s Davis Library, are some of the most valuable sources of data available. They either contain, or have electronic access to an overwhelming supply of data. More importantly, they have a staff of reference librarians who are professionals at connecting researchers with information.

Unfortunately, students may be tempted to collect all their information off the Internet. While the Internet is an invaluable research tool, the websites on it are a problematic source of information. When using the Internet, the researcher should stick with primary sources such as from recognized organizations like the U.S. Department of State, or the United Nations. If using information from questionable sources such as non-professional organizations like Moveon.org, the researchers should ensure the information is verified from its original sources. If the information cannot be verified it should be disregarded. In either case, information from reputable site can be invaluable.
In addition, many high quality texts, articles and data are copyrighted, and therefore, may not be available to the general public over the Internet. There are however, web sources with access to information on a subscription or access fee basis. Fortunately, libraries have started buying access to these services for their patrons. Many universities offer its full and part-time students remote access to a number of on-line subscriptions such as InfoSeek and EBSCO host. North Carolina Public Libraries offer cardholders access to a wide range of subscription services in a service called NC Live. A description of these services can be found at <http://www.nclive.org/>. UNC Chapel Hill cardholders have access to NC Live and a number of other services described on their website at <http://www.lib.unc.edu/>. NC Live and most of UNC Chapel Hill’s services require the researcher to physically be in the library.

c. Evaluating Data. Researchers have a responsibility to critically evaluate the origin and quality of the information they use. This task has been greatly complicated by the explosion of information available on the Internet. The low cost and relative anonymity of web publishing allows not only for a diversity of ideas and viewpoints, but also for a great deal of dubious or false information, and outright propaganda. Because web publications have typically not been through a review process, researchers must be much more critical of web publications than of traditional publications. Though web versions of publications from reputable sources are generally safe (Newsweek, New York Times, etc).

Researchers must evaluate both the sources and content of their data. To evaluate the data’s source, the researcher must identify the author of the data and how the author originally acquired the data. The researcher must also critically examine the reliability and objectivity of both the original author and the original author’s data. Researchers are free to use data from biased or unreliable sources, as long as they acknowledge and describe the bias or unreliability, and qualify their analysis to account for the imperfections of the data.

When evaluating the content of sources, one of the biggest problems researchers face is sorting out propaganda from scholarship. Bodi (1996) lists the following indicators of propaganda and scholarship that students and researchers can use to evaluate both print and web publications:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table A-2. Scholarship vs. Propaganda</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indicators of Scholarship</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Describes limits of data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Presents accurate description of alternate views</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Presents data that both does and does not support favored views</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Support favored views</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Encourages debate, discussion, and criticism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Settles disputes with generally accepted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
d. **Analyzing Data.** Once the data has been evaluated in terms of itself, it must be analyzed in terms of the overall research question. If the data is qualitative in nature, then the researcher must subjectively appraise the data and use logic to derive conclusions. As stated before, the theoretical perspective adopted provides an invaluable starting point for logical arguments. A detailed discussion of how to construct logical arguments is beyond the scope of this appendix. However, useful discussion of using logic can be found at Purdue University’s Online Writing Laboratory at http://owl.english.purdue.edu/Files/123.html.

Statistical methods are usually used to analyze quantitative methods. Again a detailed discussion of these methods is beyond the scope of this appendix. However, Johnson and Joslyn (1995) provide a thorough introduction to the range of statistical methods used in political science research. In addition, Middleton (1997) provides detailed instructions on using Microsoft Excel to conduct statistical analysis.

**VI. References**


I. Research Report

Introduction
The structure of the report, usually a paper, is a thing apart from the structure of the research. That is, the sequence of the paper does not follow the sequence of the research. While you can, and should, write large parts of the paper as you conduct the research, you must write the paper as a report of your results, not as narrative of your research. The main difference between the sequence of the research and the paper is that research will end up pointing to one fundamental conclusion while your paper must start and end with that conclusion.

Report Structure
A research paper consists of three main parts: the front matter (or preliminary), the text (or body), the back matter (or reference material). In long papers, each part may consist of multiple sections (Turabian, 1996). The following are the basic parts of a standard research paper:

a. Introduction. In terms of presentation, this section is the most important. It orients your reader to your question, methodology and subsequent conclusions. You want to layout your paper for your reader by explaining what you’re doing, and how you are doing it. It is essential that the writer and reader know where the paper is going from beginning to end. Generally, one should be able to read the first and last paragraphs of a paper (or the first and last pages of a thesis) and have it make sense. To that end, the introduction as a whole should answer the following questions:

(1) What is the question, hypothesis or story you are telling? By the end of the first paragraph, the reader should know what your paper is about. You need to be very clear exactly what it is you are trying to explain or understand. In technical terms, what is your dependent variable?

(2) What is the state of the art on this subject? Describe the literature as a whole paying particular attention to seminal works and the most recent works. If there is more than one school of thought on your subject, then describe the debate. Your purpose is to point out what has been done and what has been left undone. It may be that no one has looked at your particular problem or you think there is a shortcoming in their approach. Your review of the literature should lead into the answer to the next question.
(3) **What are you going to do to improve on the literature?** Having pointed out the shortcomings of the literature, you must now show how you’re going to – at least partially – correct them. Explain your methodology and how it improves on existing works. The reader should have a good idea of what it is you are going to do.

(4) **Where are you going from here?** Your introduction should always end with a road map of the remaining paper or thesis. Explain the structure of your paper/thesis and the logic behind it.

(5) **Where will it end?** You should always give a sense of what your conclusions will be. You are not trying to surprise your reader.

b. **Methodology/Theory.** It may be that your methodology or theory is particularly involved and requires a detailed explanation. If so you should briefly describe your methodology in the introduction and go into detail in a separate section.

c. **Substantive Sections.** Here you do the work. It is important that as you present information, you also analyze it. Never assume that a quote, a fact, a graph or figure speak for themselves. Always explain the significance of the data and the implications of the analysis for the overall point you are making. Don’t wait until the paper’s conclusion to point out the major points.

d. **Conclusions.** There should be nothing new in the conclusions. The reader should be convinced by now. If, when writing the conclusion, you see a new argument for or against your point, you need to go back and put that in the body. What you are doing here is summing up what you’ve found and suggesting broader implications of your findings.

e. **Reference List.** All papers require a reference list or bibliography. One should list only works that have been cited in the paper/thesis. There is a school of thought that advocates a “Reference List” of works cited and a “Bibliography” of a wider list of relevant works. However, if the work is so relevant, it should have been cited; or, if it isn’t worth citing, it’s not relevant enough to be listed. If there are interesting works on tangential subjects, it’s better to comment on them in footnotes.

**II. Attribution and Documentation**

The fundamental principle of academic integrity is simple. Ensure that there is no doubt about the origin of all the ideas and information in your work. Earnest adherence to this principle is far more important than adherence to any particular format. For this reason, the principles of attribution and documentation are discussed before going into details of format.

a. **Attribution.** It is a matter of academic integrity that scholars not take credit for the words or ideas of other scholars. At the same time, it is
imperative for the cumulative growth of knowledge that scholars use the ideas and words of others scholars. Indeed, the value of a scholar’s work is often measured by how often it is cited by others. Therefore, all scholars must learn to honestly use and properly attribute the ideas and words of others.

When you use someone’s ideas or words, there are two things you must do. First, make it clear to what extent you are using them and, second, give the original author credit. The dual nature of the standard is most easily seen in the case of using another person’s words. In such a case, the other person’s words are separated from one’s your own by quotes or double indentations, thus satisfying the clarity requirement. Then a citation is attached to it (as discussed below) to give appropriate credit.

When using someone’s ideas, it is generally necessary, and preferable, to paraphrase and reinterpret the original author’s words. Authors are expected to summarize, interpret and criticize the ideas of others, not to “cut and paste” their words. Therefore, lengthy direct quotations are discouraged. Instead authors are expected to write their narrative so that it is apparent to the reader where the other authors’ ideas begin and end. Thus, though there are several specific formats for crediting authors, being clear about how you have used another’s ideas is a very subjective matter which calls for good judgment on your part.

b. Documentation. As discussed before, research must be transparent and reproducible in order to be considered scientific. Therefore, it is important that all data presented as fact is from verifiable sources, and that sufficient information is provided to allow the reader verify those sources. This is not as much an issue of integrity as it is of competence. To this end, citations are used for data from documented sources and appendices for data from undocumented or inaccessible sources (e.g., results from a survey conducted by the author).

It must be added that documentation is not authoritative. That is to say that when you document a fact, you are not showing that it is correct. Rather you are showing that you did not make it up, or misinterpret it to support your conclusion. Furthermore, ideas, as opposed to empirical observations, cannot be presented as fact. Therefore, if Henry Kissinger is quoted as saying that anti-war protests had no effect on policy in Vietnam, documenting the quote only allows someone to verify whether or not he said it. Documentation does not establish whether or not he was right. It is very important to avoid the temptation to prove by citation.

c. Styles of Attribution and Documentation. There are several formats, usually referred to as styles, for citing other people’s work. Almost every professional association and journal will have its own particular style. The style presented below comes from the Chicago Manual of Style (1993;
hereafter referred to as CMS) which contains extremely detailed guidance on writing and editing. While CMS is one of the most commonly used style manuals, it is far from alone in the field. The MLA Style Manual (Achtert, and Gibaldi 1985) is commonly used in the humanities, Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association (1994) is often used in social and behavioral sciences, and Turabian (1987) publishes a guide to writing papers, theses and dissertations which is very popular among students. While all of the styles presented in these manuals will satisfy the requirements of attribution and documentation, it is important that a writer chooses one and consistently uses it.

There are two basic styles of citation, the documentary-note system and author-date system (CMS 1993 15.2).

(1) Documentary-Note System. The note system uses footnotes or endnotes to indicate the source of whatever is being documented. This system is popular in the humanities because it involves the least intrusion on the text.

(2) Author-Date System. The author-date system uses in-text references, which consist of the author or authors’ last name(s) and the year in which the work was published, and a reference lists with full bibliographic information. Since social scientists use citations more often for attribution than documentation, this system is favored in the social sciences because it gets the cited author’s name into the text.1 For this reason, and because the author-date system is so easy to use, I will concentrate on the use of this system.

As already mentioned, the author-date system has two components, the in-text reference and the reference list. In the next two sections, I explain how to list the full bibliographic information in the reference list and, then, how to use in-text references. For clarity, entries in the reference list will be referred to as references and in-text references will be referred to as citations. Therefore, citing an author’s work will involve using a citation and a reference.

III. Understanding References

References provide the readers with the information they need to locate and acquire the works you cite. Therefore, it is important that the information given be accurate and complete. In the author-date system, references are associated with the citations made in the text. Therefore, given a citation, it must be easy for the readers to find that particular reference in the reference list. These then are the two standards for references, completeness of information and ease of use.

1This is not to say that only the author-date is used in social science. There are some social science journals (e.g., International Organization) that use the documentary-note system.
It is easier to meet both of these standards if you write complete references for works when you first get them and build your reference list before you write your paper. Attempting to put the reference in its final form alerts you up front to any possible questions about how the information should be listed. This is a question that is much easier to resolve when you have the book in hand and the time to consult a style manual. Also, if the reference list is written and in hand, it will be easier to correctly cite works as you write.

a. **Books.** Here is the basic format for a book reference and three examples showing how multiple authors are listed.

Author’s Last Name, First Name Middle Initial. Date. *Title in Italics.* City of Publication: Publisher.


b. **Journal Articles.** Academic journals are usually published, paginated and shelved in yearly volumes. Therefore, it is usually sufficient to know the volume and page number of an article to find it. Accordingly, the volume and page numbers are the meat of the reference.

Author’s Last Name, First Name Middle Initial. Date. “Title of Article.” *Title of Journal.* Volume Number (Issue Number): Starting page – Final Page Number.


c. **Chapter in a Multi-Author (Edited) Collection.** A great many academic books are collections of works written by various authors. If you wish to refer to the entire volume, then the reference is done in the following manner:

Editors Last Name, First Name Middle Initial, ed. Date. *Title of Collection.* City of Publication: Publisher.


However, if you wish to refer to a chapter of the collection, then the chapter gets its own reference emphasizing the author of the chapter and not the editor of the collection. This is done even if the author of the
chapter happens to also be the editor of the collection. This type of reference is done in the following manner:

Author of Chapter’s Last Name, First Name Middle Initial. Date. “Title of Chapter.” In Title of Collection, ed. Editor’s First Name Middle Initial Last Name. City of Publication: Publisher.


d. Theses or Dissertations. Universities keep copies of master’s thesis and Ph.D. dissertations somewhere in their library systems. To reference one, it is sufficient to indicate the type of work and the university to which it belongs in the following manner:

Author’s Last Name, First Name Middle Initial. Date. “Title.” Ph.D. diss. (Or Masters Thesis.) Name of University.


e. Electronic Sources. The most important thing to remember when citing information received from the web is that you are citing the content and not the site. Therefore, you need to include the information you would normally include in a citation and reference, plus the information about where and when it was retrieved from the web. Most importantly, as with all citation, you must cite the author not the work. This is both more difficult and more important on the Internet since authorship of websites is often obscured and obscured authorship is often a sign of questionable content.

As in other forms of citation, there are differing styles. However, the International Standards Organization’s format is gaining widespread acceptance. Full description of this format can be found at http://www.nlc-bnc.ca/iso/tc46sc9/standard/690-2e.htm. An adapted form of the basic format is as follows:

Author. Date of Publication. Title of Content. Secondary Responsibility. [Type of Medium]. Place of Publication: Publisher. [Date of Citation]. Availability and access. Standard number.
Examples: Each of these example deviates from the basic format in some way based on the type of online document and the available information.


f. Organizing the Reference List. The reference list must be organized so that cited works can easily be found. Since the citation includes the author’s name and date of the work, the list is arranged alphabetically by the author’s last names and chronologically by date. That is to say, where an author has more than one work listed, the works are listed chronologically. If an author has more than one work from a particular year, adding and a, b, c, etc. to the year distinguish the works. Here is an example of a short reference list.


**IV. Understanding Citations**

As discussed above, citations are used to attribute ideas to their original author and to document sources of information. In the author-date system, the citation is provided through the use of an in-text reference. This reference takes the following basic form: (Last Name of Author(s) Date of Publication)

da. **Single Author.** Citations are quite easy to form if the reference list has already been written. Given the example reference list, if one wanted to cite Bruce Bueno de Mesquita’s book *The War Trap*, one would simply write:

(Bueno de Mesquita 1981)

db. **Multiple Authors.** Notice that only the last name is used (albeit a long one) and no punctuation is used between the name and the date. However, punctuation is used if there is more than one author as in this citation for *Red Flag Over Hong Kong*:

(Bueno de Mesquita, Newman, and Rabushka 1996)

Notice here that all three authors’ names are included, commas separate their names and the conjunction “and” are used. That is to say that their names are written as they would be in a sentence.

c. **Multiple Works, Single Author.** More than one work by an author can be cited at once. In such a case, commas are used to separate the dates. If one wanted to cite Grieco’s three works on cooperation, the following citation would be used:

(Grieco 1988a, 1988b, 1990)

d. **Multiple Works, Multiple Authors.** Works by several authors can also be cited in one in-text reference. When so doing semi-colons are used to separate the works of different authors or sets of authors as the following examples show:

(Bueno de Mesquita 1981; Grieco 1988a, 1988b, 1990)
(Bueno de Mesquita 1981; Bueno de Mesquita and Lalman 1992)

e. **Specific Parts of Works.** If reference is being made to a particular part of a work, the page, chapter, section, or figure number should be given in the citation (note p or pp is not used). A comma is used to separate the date from the page or section number. The following examples illustrate this:

(Bueno de Mesquita 1981, 15)

(Grieco 1990, 20-25)

(Bueno de Mesquita 1981, fig. 3.2)

f. **Using Citations in the Text.** Citations may be put in the text in one of three ways.

(1) If **no reference** to the authors or text being cited is made in the text, then the citation is included where it makes the most sense to be and where it will do the least damage to the flow. For example:

It has been argued that nations will not cooperate if they are concerned about relative gains (Grieco 1988a, 1988b, 1990). Others (Powell 1991; Snidal 1991) argue that nations may cooperate in spite of relative gains concerns.

(2) If the **author is referred to** in the text, then only the date is included in parentheses. For example:

Bueno de Mesquita (1981) presents an expected utility model of war initiation.

(3) Finally, if the **work is referred to** in the text, then the whole citation is included in the text. In such a case the citation acts as a short title for the work. For example:

The analysis in Bueno de Mesquita, Newman, and Rabushka 1996 indicates that Chinese policy towards Hong Kong will be turbulent for the next decade.
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